

REFVAL	SURNAME	FIRST NAME	COMMENT	RESTRICTED COMMENT	HOW RECEIVED	DATE
24/00021/FULEIA	Harris	Geraldine	This is totally inappropriate when a previous proposal was rejected. The building would be too near to the Synagogue and would block the light inside and would take away the beauty of the building.	NO	Public Access website	15-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kovalskiy	Volodymyr	I don't understand the previous objections regarding the endless "we are plunged into the darkness" - utterly absurd, as this scheme should have been given a green light a while ago. A waste of time and money when the city really needs it. I think it's gonna be a game-changer for our city skyline, adding some serious flair and modernity to the scene. The most eye catching element here is the terracotta cladding. This area is one of the few places where tall building are allowed. You can not stop the progress. Many big companies are leaving Canary Wharf for City of London - the building would not stay empty for long.	NO	Public Access website	15-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shollar	Leah-Perl	I was shocked to hear about the recycled proposal for a tower to be built adjacent to the historic Bevis Marks synagogue. This is a building that stands as a testament to a unique period in British and Jewish history. The synagogue and its congregation are one branch in an association of Spanish-Portuguese Jewish communities around the world. This is the synagogue of Benjamin Disraeli's father and of Moses Montefiore. It's unconscionable that its standing or functioning should be harmed or impinged upon. If this were an historic church or mosque would this step even be considered? Please come down on the right side of history. We owe it to our future generations.	NO	Public Access website	15-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fox	Nicola	protection of a unique historical synagogue of which so few remain in London - our cultural heritage must be secured	NO	Public Access website	15-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Marrache	Jacob	Save the historic nature of the neighbourhood. So much of it has already been lost. Save Bevis Marks synagogue from being overshadowed and lost between a jungle of skyscrapers.	NO	Public Access website	15-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Martin Wright	Julia	Having read the new application I cannot see how this is materially different from the previously rejected application of two years ago which would have had a deleterious impact on Bevis Marks. As Bevis Marks now sits within a conservation area, the building and its immediate environs has been recognised as an area of the City of special historic and architectural interest worth preserving now and for generations to come. This new planning proposal will do nothing to preserve the particular character and historic significance of Bevis Marks and the surrounding area.	NO	Public Access website	15-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shelper	Jonathan	Keep it looking traditional. That's what we have to preserve.	NO	Public Access	15-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	GURNEY	DAVID	I'm not Jewish but I work in the city round the corner from bevis marks on mark lane. Please don't destroy the history of the Col for yet another massive tower we don't need as occupancy rates plummet. Enhance the environment to increase take-up. Please don't build another soleless block to sit empty for years	NO	Public Access website	15-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Skellon	Katherine	Bevis Marks synagogue is a unique and significant historic building that needs to be protected from this planning proposal and future ones.	NO	Public Access website	16-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hughes	Tricia	To preserve and not overshadow synagogue and historical area of London.	NO	Public Access	16-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lawson	Robert	This should be objected to as it would leave the nearby historical synagogue in darkness.	NO	Public Access	16-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Odebi	Idris	The tower looks high quality and sleek and will enhance londons skyline and forge greater growth in high quality office space which is imperative for londons economic development.	NO	Public Access website	16-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Crew	Steve	Great proposal, fitting for our global city. Why was it ever refused in the first place?	NO	Public Access	16-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Arenas Martinez	Ivan	Really like the facade of this building, I think it would be a phenomenal addition to the skyline.	NO	Public Access website	16-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	W	A		NO	Public Access	18-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brady	R	I support this development as its a high quality design which will help maintain London's position as a premier financial centre and a can do global city in which to do business, invest and create jobs and thus be a net benefit to the local economy and wider UK economy. The current office buildings are tired, unattractive to business and hard to let. New office space will attract business, create jobs and help support local service based businesses and pay much needed local taxes. The usage of the synagogue is unaffected by this proposed development, likewise the opinion of a religious organisation who's building is used occasionally by a small group of people and should not be detrimental to job growth and tax income for the local community.	NO	Public Access website	18-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Varsamis	Dimitri	* We really really really don't need another super tall empty office block to sit there, or for companies to move into it by vacating other existing offices in the City. * Despite the long reports by 'specialists' who will write what you pay them to write, ultimately this design will overshadow literally and figuratively the nearby synagogue. * A similar design with little material difference was objected 3 years ago. * The carbon emissions due to building a 40+ storey building are unacceptable. * The Holland House design is not sensitive to the original art deco look. The addition of white tiles doesnt go with the austere look. * And definitely the addition of yet more 2 floors on top, cladded in awful white stripes dont either just because they are the same width apart as the original lines, it doesnt make the extra floors look right. Any changes to Holland House need to be in muted weathered concrete look (and ideally not add yet 2 more floors). * Update the existing Holland House, and ideally Bury House, by retrofitting.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Beloff	Jonathan	I do not understand the City of London's constant threat to my synagogue, Bevis Marks. This synagogue is over 300 years old as it contains the first Jews allowed back into the United Kingdom after the 13th-century expulsion. At this time, with increased anti-semitism and attacks at Jewish institutions, I would suspect that the City of London would try to show itself as an international city that respects all faiths. However, it continues to illustrate that some people can be ignored, which justifies anti-semites' belief that Jews are not welcome. The developers of the tower block have not, in good faith, engaged with Bevis Marks Synagogue or the Jewish community, which uses the synagogue as their religious centre. The tower will overshadow the synagogue, posing a significant security threat and hampering Jewish practice, which requires uninterrupted access to the southwestern corner for light in our religious practices. The proposed Creechurch Conservation Area was to protect not only Bevis Marks Synagogue but the unique architecture and history of the region. However, many within the community feel that they were intentionally misled, with the exception of this 45-storey building. If the City of London does not care about Jews or protected minorities, especially in this climate of divisionism and hatred, it must be at least honest with its intentions. History is now being made. Will the City of London repeat the mistakes of the past by dismissing Jewish concerns or stand on the right side of history and actually follow through on their commitments to protect all those who reside and engage in the City and protect Bevis Marks Synagogue? Don't allow this massive building to be built that will greatly harm the longest-serving Jewish community in the UK just for some office space that will most likely be empty with the hope of 'future occupancy'. Do the right thing. Bevis Marks Synagogue must be protected from this unnecessarily large construction protect that will	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Garson	Raphael	This special site should not be blocked by a new tower block. The lighting is limited it as it is. It would be a disaster for worshippers.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bonnet	Joel	Bevis Marks Synagogue is a Grade 1 listed building and the oldest continuously used synagogue in Britain, dating from 1701. A 45-storey structure would completely overwhelm the synagogue by overshadowing its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations and would further reduce daylight in the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. The proposed building would also damage the setting of other heritage assets in the local area, and would compromise the qualities of the Conservation Area as a whole.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kimhi	Emmeli	Will destroy the setting at this important historical sight for Jews in London but also Jews all over the world visiting the synagogue	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Millunchick	Samuel	This tower along the synagogue's southern horizon would destroy the setting of the synagogue, which is so important to traditional ritual and to what makes the synagogue a community hub, and would reduce the synagogue's natural light levels beyond usability.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Knott	Wendy	At 300 years old Bevis Marks Synagogue runs along the edge of this development. Building a 45 storey towerblock will reduce the natural light within the Synagogue to an unusable level. It will make holding prayer services and community events untenable. It will also destroy the unique setting of the Grade 1 building, which is so crucial to the community and to the ritual of its hub.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Reginald	S	London's status as a global city has been on decline since 2020 when we stopped dreaming big and focus on the opinions of small but very vocal groups of people. - The City is already cornered on all sides by restrictions, from St Paul's sightlines in the West, Tower of London silhouettes in the East, height limits imposed by the CAA, ancient roman streets and many, many conservation areas. - Why we stopped progressing and lost our vision for the future, I'm not sure. - This tower is of high quality design, much better than the glass blocks I've seen going up around it. It's not too tall and would fit wonderfully in the 'mountain' that is our City cluster. - The City's 2040 plan highlights the need for millions of square feet of office space, where will you find that space if you keep rejecting every new tower proposal? - I think Councillors should seriously rethink what position London stands at in the world and whether focusing on NIMBYism and economic regression is really the way forward here?	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Toff	Penelope	The Bevis Marks synagogue is the jewel in the crown of British Jewry and I am personally connected to it, as my ancestors were among those who sold their land in the 17th century, so that this beautiful and significant landmark could be built. Having recognised its historical, cultural and architectural significance, it is beyond credibility that the prestigious City Corporation would not immediately act to protect the building's integrity inside and out, as well as that of other heritage buildings nearby, by rejecting this re-application to build a 45-storey tower - utterly out of keeping with this unique setting, which is furthermore a conservation area. It has already been clearly demonstrated that the proposed tower would block natural daylight and obscure the view of the sky, which are both so vital a part of the worshipper and visitor experience of being in this unique and sacred environment. The synagogue courtyard would be overshadowed, making it impossible to conduct meaningful rituals and services outside - in effect destroying the rights of those using the building to enjoy it peacefully and in full for the purpose for which it is intended. The City Corporation is entrusted with guardianship of the area in its entirety and as a whole and welcome as its efforts toward sustainability and reducing traffic are, these would be expected as part of any contemporary planning and are not a substitute for the proper preservation of each historical asset, with their and their users' own unique needs, in line with equality legislation and good practice. This also includes greater efforts to conserve buildings wherever possible, for example Holland House, rather than destroy and rebuild. I hope that the City Corporation will seize this opportunity to be on the right side of history and reject this building application, in order to properly protect the integrity of this precious resource for future generations.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Green	Michael	I am regular worshipper at Bevis Marks and I am concerned about the impact this building will have on the available light and access to Bevis Marks Synagogue	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Orenstein	Joe	As before with the last application the proposed design is not of a design which is in keeping with the scale, character, or appearance of the conservation area and will impact the 300 year old Grade 1 Listed Bevis Marks synagogue which should be protected at all cost. This proposal along the synagogue's southern horizon would destroy the setting of the synagogue, which is so important to the community and its rituals and would reduce the synagogue's natural light levels beyond usability as the synagogue relies predominately on candlelight. I therefore consider that the proposal is contrary to the new conservation area that was meant to protect synagogue from over development and request that the planning application is refused.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ledman	Rebecca	It would overshadow the Historical Buildings of Central London and put the Historical Synagogue in the dark.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cabrera	Carlos	For the second time in two years a developer is proposing a 45 storey tower a stone's throw from Britain's Jewish "cathedral" - the 300 year old Grade 1 Listed Bevis Marks synagogue. This tower along the synagogue's southern horizon would destroy the setting of the synagogue, which is so important to traditional ritual and to what makes the synagogue a community hub, and would reduce the synagogue's natural light levels beyond usability. The City does not need another useless behemoth skyscraper.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	O'Connell	Theresa	These plans will seriously impact the southern light aspect of Bevis Marks Synagogue and therefore will have an impact on religious rituals and rites. Bevis Marks Synagogue is an important part of the heritage of the City of London and many people would like the character of this beautiful building to remain unchanged and to be able to fully function as a Synagogue within the City of London.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Van den Bergh	Martin	Like the previous proposal which was rejected, this proposal will be detrimental to the historic Bevis Marks Synagogue. The Synagogue not only serves its members and visitors. It has been the venue for many City of London occasions as well as national and international celebrations and commemorations. For the short time that I served as interim Rabbi I personally officiated many such events as well as weddings and life cycle events. The building proposal will diminish the unique character of the Synagogue and will also impact on its standing as a national treasure, not only for the Jewish community, but also for the City of London and as centre of interest for visitors from over the whole world.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kendall	Rebecca	Bevis Marks is over 300 years old and an incredibly important place of worship for the Jewish community. This 45 storey tower will greatly diminish the synagogue's natural light and reduce usability for this important and historic landmark.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Altnu	Emily	I have previously objected to this development. I have visited Bevis Marks synagogue many times. It is vital that this synagogue does not sit in darkness. Equally that it keeps the privacy of a place of worship - not towered over by an office block. London is a unique city for its history. Too often this is now being overshadowed. It will lose its character. If this building was next to somewhere like St Paul's it would never be built. We cannot overshadow our Grade 1 listed buildings. Too many were bombed in our previous wars. Let's preserve what we have left. Why not refurbish the existing building? What use is a conservation area if this is allowed to be built - you are undermining the quality of London.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Barnett	Ian	Total inappropriate and unnecessary infringement of both h space and light in this historic religious building in constant use. It would be a total dereliction of your duty to allow this or any other similar structure to be erected . There is already an abundance and oversupply of commercial etc buildings nearby and saturation of new developments . The recent decision of two years or so ago to refuse permission for another similar structure on much the same grounds should carry forwards indefinitely.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Souroujon	Stephanie	This is being built adjacent to one of the most important jewish buildings in Europe. The proposed construction would ruin an important architectural feature as it would block the courtyard. There shouldn't be any tall buildings next to such an important monument.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stone	Lee	The nearby synagogue is an institution and a landmark. It is crucial and part of its essence to have clear views to to the South to preserve the natural light and no tower block should even be built which would obscure this.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pitts	Jonathan	Bevis Marks synagogue was opened in 1701. It's very sad to see over 300 years of history potentially being overshadowed by a big new building.	NO	Public Access website	19-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Forbes	Karen	Why do you need this building next to a snyagoge	NO	Public Access	20-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Querfurth	Keren	<p>Creechurch CA sits within the City Cluster - an area considered sensitive to tall buildings. City Plan 2040 Jan 2024, cites the importance in considering, 'inappropriately sited tall buildings can have an adverse impact on [...] designated heritage assets [...] and their settings. They can undermine the character of a place [...] cause adverse environmental impacts such as reduction in daylight [...] and overshadowing.' [Pt 3.5, CP 2040].</p> <p>The paper highlights the importance of setting to BM Synagogue: 'Where the setting, immediate or otherwise, of a listed building contributes to its significance, then tall building proposals would be sensitive. An example would be BM Synagogue, where the low-midrise buildings enclosing the synagogue and its courtyard are an element of setting which contribute positively to its significance. This sense of enclosure is therefore sensitive to tall building development.' [Pt 9.105, CP 2040]</p> <p>Observations relating to tall buildings and the future of the City Cluster are made by Historic England Note 4 Tall Buildings (HEAN 4): '[...] the tall buildings proposals were likely to [...] come forward in locations and at heights that would cause serious harm to [...] key heritage assets.'</p> <p>Historic England require planning apps to be supported by Heritage Impact Assessments (Pg 31, HEAN 4). This application provides a Heritage Statement relating to the development of Holl Hse (low rise) and a Heritage Impact Statement relating to the impact on the ToFL. We see no Heritage Statement relating to the impact of the proposed tall building on the setting of BM Synagogue, a listed heritage asset. CP 2040 requires the testing of individual tall building proposals against the requirements of the NPPF. This includes giving 'weight to the conservation any heritage asset's significance, including how this is appreciated through the asset's setting. (Pt 25.4, CP 2040).</p>	NO	Public Access website	20-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Waterman	Dov	<p>My family and I are regular users of Bevis Marks Synagogue. The courtyard setting, with its mid rise buildings allowing views to the southern sky, are imperative and form a cohesive part of the heritage of the synagogue and of the experience of the user. The setting of this heritage asset will be adversely impacted, and diminished, with the blocking of the southern sky by the proposed tall building.</p>	NO	Public Access website	20-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lebon	Scott	<p>I support this impressive proposal. The provision of affordable workspace to small companies will be very beneficial to new and starting enterprises. At a time of increased cost of doing business, this is very welcome.</p> <p>This location is very close to the Gherkin and other major developments, so suitable for a tall building. It is aesthetically pleasing and constitutes a step down from the taller elements in the cluster. It will contribute to the visual coherence of the City cluster</p> <p>London is a dynamic city and always changes. If one listened to NIMBYs, nothing would ever get built.</p>	NO	Public Access website	20-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	de Vries	Arnold	<p>The application would block the light into a national treasure. It is used by the public and is also a tourist destination that should be celebrated and protected for us and future generations.</p>	NO	Public Access website	22-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ehrenzweig	M	<p>This development would knowingly block the historic views of and from Bevis Marks synagogue which is a crucial landmark in the history of London and the Jewish contribution to London life. It serves as a religious and cultural place of observance which relies on the sun to determine prayer times. If this development is built it will block out the vital sun view and light incoming which makes the synagogue special and functional. It is more important than ever to preserve the historical and cultural way of life special to this part of London City's heritage. Please do not spoil this ancient religious and cultural place of workshop for a building that no one will remember.</p>	NO	Public Access website	22-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Silver	Lorraine	<p>Bevis Marks is a Grade 1 listed building and its historical presence needs to be preserved. The proposal would cause loss of natural daylight to the Synagogue and its courtyard. The planning application should be refused as construction of the new building would be severely detrimental to the oldest Synagogue in London.</p>	NO	Public Access website	22-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stolerman	Professor Ian	<p>The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Its placement there would make a mockery of the concept of conservation areas and discourage its use in the future.</p> <p>A gigantic tower would bury a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>The building would overshadow the courtyard for much of the day, reducing its usefulness for religious events and for community gatherings.</p> <p>There would be no view of the sky, a vital part of the setting of the synagogue. The tiny amount of daylight that at present reaches the synagogue would be reduced even more.</p>	NO	Public Access website	25-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Nyman	Susan	<p>the synagogue would be overwhelmed by a 45 storey tower. It is a grade 2 listed building of great historic and cultural importance. It would destroy the southern skyline of which the setting of the synagogue is part. A 45 storey building would completely overshadow the synagogue. I cannot see any planning benefits that such an enormous tower would have in the context of Bevis Marks and the City. I am very sad that we keep having to go through this fight to save our heritage in Bevis Marks.</p>	NO	Public Access website	25-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kramer	Miriam	<p>After rejecting the initial application the City is now considering a similar one. To be blunt, a 43-storey building will overwhelm and dwarf Bevis Marks Synagogue, which has been on the site for some three centuries and is Grade 1 listed. The synagogue and its courtyard will be overshadowed for much of the day.</p> <p>In addition the proposed development is in the Creechurch Conservation Area. The City's current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in conservation areas, so how can this be justified? Its erection would compromise the setting of other heritage areas as well as the quality and integrity of this particular one.</p> <p>The Corporation of London, on the one hand, recognises the importance of Bevis Marks Synagogue but on the other facilitates this eyesore in the wrong place.</p> <p>I object very strongly on all the grounds described above.</p>	NO	Public Access website	25-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Leon	Jean	<p>I agree with all the other comments about the damage this would do for the synagogue, and the wider Jewish community. At a time when British Jews are facing such isolation and discrimination as it is, this is a horrific and thoughtless proposal. Please reconsider and stick to your own word. Protect the synagogue, this space and the Jewish community.</p>	NO	Public Access website	25-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ross	Xander	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. At a time when British Jews are facing such isolation and discrimination as it is, this is a horrific and thoughtless proposal. Please reconsider and stick to your own word.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application, to protect the synagogue, this space and the Jewish community.</p>	NO	Public Access website	25-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	WATERMAN	Stanley	<p>A tower of 45 floors would completely engulf the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>As a building such as the one planned would not be permitted to rise next to St Paul's Cathedral, why is Bevis Marks Synagogue not afforded the same preservation?</p> <p>The building would destroy the southward view, an essential part of the setting of the synagogue and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious observance.</p> <p>It would block the light from the synagogue and the courtyard for much of the day. This would reduce the way in which the courtyard could be used for religious practice and celebration and would also reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue even further, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting impractical.</p> <p>Importantly, the development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area and current planning policy is not to permit tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so the proposal is in direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>The current building at 31 Bury Street is only 40 years old and could be refurbished and thereby provide good service for many more years. This planned and inessential demolition simply fritters away the energy embodied in the building. Moreover, the proposed building would also damage the setting of other heritage assets in the local area, and would compromise the qualities of the Conservation Area as a whole.</p> <p>The City Corporation is being insincere here, by claiming to recognize the importance of the synagogue, yet at the same time facilitating this grotesque development.</p>	NO	Public Access website	25-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Seres	David	<p>This proposed development would be detrimental to the beauty of a historical landmark in the City of London and would seriously affect its light .</p> <p>This buiding is not only the oldest place of worship in the City but is a great tourist attraction bringing many visitors to view its unique architecture.</p>	NO	Public Access website	26-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levene	Peter	<p>You will be aware that this is not the first application for a very tall building in the area and when the previous application was turned down, I believe erroneously, that the Planning Committee had decided that such buildings at such a height close to the synagogue should not be permitted to be built.</p> <p>The fact that this synagogue is the oldest, both in the UK and in Europe, and is also a Grade 1 listed building with all its historical and cultural interest should, in my view, in itself be sufficient cause to reject this application.</p> <p>At the time of the Bishopsgate bomb, I formed a group to campaign for funds for the repair of the synagogue following the damage that has been caused. The contributions to this appeal were led with a large and generous donation from the Corporation of London, who fully appreciated the importance and significance of this building. It was thus subsequently repaired, and able to continue as a highly respected place of worship.</p> <p>This new building not only overshadows the synagogue and its courtyard but, more importantly, reduces the already minimal day light that penetrates the synagogue making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Additionally, the development site is within the conservation area. Currently planning policy is not to allow buildings in conservation areas so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>The planning benefits that are outlined in the application do not stand up to close scrutiny. Many are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building and do not represent any gain to the community.</p> <p>The considerable outcry which would meet the granting of planning permission would rebound significantly against the reputation of the Corporation of London. This building of the synagogue is not only the oldest synagogue in Europe, as I have mentioned, it is also one of the oldest buildings of religion in the whole of the City of London, predating many of the churches which ar</p>	NO	Public Access website	26-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Montagu	Sarah	<p>I am truly disappointed that the developers have once again submitted a proposal of an enormous building for this site. As a member of the Jewish community, the importance of this immediate area cannot be overstated; the historic building of Bevis Marks which has been a landmark of this area for centuries will be completely boxed in by this building, preventing light from reaching the windows (and due to the ancient fabric of the building, it is not possible simply to increase the electric light fittings to the interior to compensate) and destroying the setting and atmosphere of the synagogue courtyard. The synagogue is a Grade 1 listed building, and it is of enormous cultural and religious significance, as the oldest synagogue in the country, the flagship synagogue of the Sephardi Jewish community and still in regular</p> <p>This proposal drives a coach and horses through the nature of the Conservation Area within which this site lies, where no tall buildings are supposed to be erected, which will affect not just the synagogue but the whole conservation area and the other historic buildings within it.</p> <p>I do not think that the claimed benefits to the community will outweigh the profound damage to this sensitive site and I do not think that the claimed eco benefits can be set against the detriments of demolishing the embodied carbon within the existing building of 31 Bury Street.</p>	NO	Public Access website	26-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bower	Patrick	<p>As a user of Bevis Marks synagogue I am upset to see that you are again considering a planning application which would have such a adverse impact on this Grade 1 listed building, the most important Jewish site in the UK. This unique 18th century building is a haven of peace in the midst of a concrete jungle. Would you allow new tower blocks of this kind to overshadow St. Paul's cathedral? The proposals would further diminish this sanctuary, by stealing its light and blotting out its view of the sky. It would be disingenuous in the extreme to create the Creechurch Conservation Area and then to permit this massive overdevelopment next to the synagogue.</p>	NO	Public Access website	26-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Somekh	Jonathan	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Graziani	Lia	<p>This is not good for the Jewish community and those attending Bevis Marks Synagogue.</p>	NO	Public Access	27-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Holland	Sasha	As a concerned member of the public, I strongly object to the proposed construction of a massive skyscraper near one of the oldest synagogues in London. This iconic synagogue holds immense historical and cultural significance, representing a vital part of London's heritage. The construction of such a towering structure nearby would not only disrupt the aesthetic harmony of the area but also pose potential threats to the synagogue's architectural integrity and religious sanctity. Additionally, the increased congestion, noise pollution, and overshadowing caused by the skyscraper would diminish the tranquility and solemnity of this sacred site. Preserving the character and sanctity of our religious landmarks should be prioritized over the development of imposing commercial structures.	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sorani	Ornella	This is not good for the Jewish congregation who go the Bevis Marks synagogue and also for the area.	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levy	Oliver	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member and regular attendee of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lebrecht	Elsbeth	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Setton	Malcolm	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a regular visitor to this part of The City and am distressed to see this new proposal, especially after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. The application has not changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a close by Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area. The area is already overrun with sky scrapers. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral or The Royal Exchange to mention other protected areas in the vicinity. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ison	Michael	I am writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I have been a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community for over 30 years and have held important family occasions there. I am very upset to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted a short distance from British Jewry's 'Cathedral' Synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	lee	corinne	Having gone through the last consultation in respect of the protection of an area surrounding Bevis Marks synagogue, and that consultation resulting in what was thought to be a permanent 'exclusion' zone that would allow the synagogue to finally be assured that its need for natural light was guaranteed, City planning appear to have moved the goalposts to enable the application for another enormous structure to tower over the synagogue to go ahead. Quite frankly, it beggars belief. The historic importance of Bevis Marks to both the City and the Jewish Community should be at the forefront of the decision making, not viewed as an inconvenience as it now seems to be. It brings shame on the Corporation that this should even be considered.	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sopher	Rick	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). As a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community which includes Bevis Marks synagogue, I ask: How is the current proposal substantially different from the one you refused refusal two years ago? Is this proposal not in a conservation area? The new application blocks the light of the synagogue, does it not? I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	SUEKE	JOHN	It is utterly distressful and a disgrace that permission has been granted for a building to overshadow and block out light of one of the oldest if not the oldest religious buildings in the area and one of the oldest in the country my protest has nothing to getting the developer to pay any compensation but the callousness of the Planning authority which is shameful and questions their competence to protect the historic and religious sites of the great capital city of our country.	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	David	<p>Dear Cit of London Council,</p> <p>I am writing to register my vehement objection to application number 24/00021/FULEIA.</p> <p>As a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community in Bevis Marks, I am deeply troubled by this renewed proposal, especially considering its rejection just two years ago and the recent establishment of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This application, demonstrably similar to the previous one, appears completely insensitive to the preservation needs of a Grade-I listed building. Let me remind you, this very building is not only the most significant Jewish site in the UK, but also resides within a designated conservation zone.</p> <p>The proposed tower, positioned directly south of the synagogue, would be a towering intrusion on the surrounding environment. It would not only obstruct the religiously significant southern sky-view, but also cast a shadow over the synagogue and its courtyard, drastically reducing its already limited natural light.</p> <p>Surely, such a proposal would never be entertained near St Paul's Cathedral. Why then, should it be considered just meters away from the "Cathedral Synagogue" of British Jewry, particularly on its most sensitive southern side?</p> <p>I implore you to decisively reject this application.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>David</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gomes da Costa	Marcus	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	RIESE	GINA	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I can't believe the waste of public money in considering these applications made time and again when the public has so strongly objected. Surely it is discriminatory to keep considering these applications over and over again.</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Raquel de Almeida	Maria	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Krygier	Emma	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I experience this as anti semitic and unacceptable.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mizrahi	Paulette	Would endanger the ability to worship	NO	Public Access	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levy	Gemma	Important historical site	NO	Public Access	27-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Green	Charlotte	<p>I am really shocked by this proposal and am writing to say that I very much object to this new application. (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and my family has a long association with it, and my daughter was married there. I am also a member of the Worshipful Company of Spectacle Makers.</p> <p>I am absolutely appalled to see this new proposal in what is a Conservation Area (the Creechchurch Conservation Area.) I am all the more appalled, given its refusal two years ago.</p> <p>I would also like to point out that this new application, (pretty much the same as it was last time) is completely out of keeping with the conservation of the Grade 1 Listed Building, our Synagogue, which is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The proposed tower to the immediate South of the Synagogue, would completely dominate it and its courtyard, and it would block out the religiously important southern sky-view.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be allowed near St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be allowed just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral Synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>Please refuse this application.</p> <p>Charlotte Green</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Leon	Roger	<p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is a treasured landmark, not just in the City of London but as part of our heritage, as a Sephardi Jew and as a British Citizen. Services have been carried out there for centuries and are still taking place there every single day. It is not just a religious landmark, but part of our history as a free and tolerant society. A skyscraper as envisaged by the developer would be a disaster, not just for Bevis Marks Synagogue, but for the area around it.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Leon	Roger	<p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is a treasured landmark, not just in the City of London but as part of our heritage, as a Sephardi Jew and as a British Citizen. Services have been carried out there for centuries and are still taking place there every single day. It is not just a religious landmark, but part of our history as a free and tolerant society. A skyscraper as envisaged by the developer would be a disaster, not just for Bevis Marks Synagogue, but for the area around it. A previous application was turned down, This one should as well.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	gubbay	Lucien	<p>I'm writing to express my opposition to this application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am sorry to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This application is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The proposed tower would block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard by dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue.</p> <p>Please refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Zelouf	Diana	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mocatta	Fiona	Object	NO	Public Access	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dawood	Cindy	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm [a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am] appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Cindy Dawoo</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Marshall	Vivien	<p>I wish to protest against the 45 floor high rise building that is being planned near the Bevis Marks Synagogue. Every time we get a ruling saying that the application has been denied another planning application pops up with just a few tweaks on it. Please could you make sure that the Synagogue is protected from further incursions of light and space.</p> <p>It seems so unnecessary to waste money in fighting planning applications when the money could be used for the local community.</p> <p>With best regards, Vivien Marshall</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	salem	phili	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am very concerned to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely revised from the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its already compromised remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Webber	Michael	<p>It is understandably upsetting to see the above revised planning application, despite the previous one having been rejected only circa 2 years ago.</p> <p>As the area around the Bevis Marks Synagogue is now classified as a Conservation Area it is puzzling to understand how there can be a new planning application for a 45 floor tower just 3 less floors than the one refused circa 2 years ago.</p> <p>The proposed new building, as will undoubtedly be readily appreciated by the City of London Corporation, will have a significant, material & detrimental effect on the Bevis Marks Synagogue in terms of blocking out the southern sky view, and overshadowing the synagogue itself and its courtyard, which already has limited light.</p> <p>It should be noted that the Bevis Marks Synagogue is the oldest synagogue in the United Kingdom, in continuous use.</p> <p>The synagogue was built in 1701 & is the only synagogue in Europe which has held regular services continuously for more than 320 years.</p> <p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is therefore an important heritage asset of the UK & I hope that the City of London Corporation will use its best efforts & all the powers at its disposal to appropriately protect & safeguard this important heritage building.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fellerman	Naomi	<p>The proposed development would completely overshadow Bevis Marks Synagogue a historic and culturally important Grade 1 listed building. I cannot imagine any building of this height being allowed near other important religious centres such as St Paul's Cathedral.</p> <p>This building if developed would completely overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, virtually rendering the courtyard unusable for rituals and celebrations.</p> <p>The amount of daylight within the synagogue would be further reduced making it difficult for worshippers and it is impossible to add further lighting because of the age and status of the building.</p> <p>This is a conservation area, so why would any development of this type be allowed?</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Adler	Fiona	<p>The proposed 45-storey tower fundamentally disregards the sanctity and significance of the Grade 1 Listed synagogue, a cornerstone of historical and cultural heritage. Its towering presence would not only overshadow the sacred site but also obstruct essential religious observances such as celestial observations integral to rituals. Furthermore, the development contradicts existing planning policies barring tall structures in conservation areas, directly conflicting with statutory guidelines. The City Corporation's facilitation of this project reflects a stark hypocrisy, claiming to value heritage while condoning its desecration. The purported benefits pale against the irreversible harm to the synagogue and its surroundings. Moreover, the demolition of a structurally sound building signifies wastefulness and environmental neglect. This proposal jeopardises the integrity of the entire Conservation Area, betraying the trust of the community and failing to uphold the standards expected of the Corporation of London.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Salem	Freddy	<p>The erection of the proposed building will overshadow Bevis Marks synagogue and will cast a shadow over the entirety of the site putting the synagogue in the shade all year round.</p> <p>Bevis Marks trustees have invested time and money to create a destination viewing of the synagogue and it's artefacts which will be enjoyed by locals and tourists from all over the world. Placing the synagogue in the shade 24/7 is not attractive to visitors.</p> <p>I must oppose to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Garcia	Barry	<p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This application, hardly changed since the last time it was submitted, it is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>Please stop this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kaplan	Myles	<p>A 300 year old Grade 1 listed building must be protected from unsympathetic designs and architectural blindness and be afforded the same dignity as St Paul's Cathedral. Is this an example of "Jews Don't Count" once again? Are these tower's even necessary? I would object to the building of any towers in this already densely built-up area.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brooke	Jennifer	<p>27th March 2024</p> <p>FAO: Case Officer Anna Tastsoglou</p> <p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Green	Katherine	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Morgan	Ronnie	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Martin	Maurice	<p>As a regular worshipper at Bevis Marks Synagogue I object as the proposed building would block out the light for our prayers</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Martin	Maurice	<p>As a regular worshipper at Bevis Marks Synagogue I object as the proposed building would block out the light for our prayers</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ofer	Lina	<p>I vehemently oppose this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community. I know a very similar proposal was refused two years ago. I cannot understand why this proposal has been put forward when it had already rightly so been denied.</p> <p>This application, contradicts the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building. It is also now in a conservation area, and also an incredibly important Jewish site in the UK.</p> <p>The new tower would Overshadow the setting of the synagogue and its courtyard as well as block out the religiously important southern sky-view.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p> <p>Lina Ofer</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mendoza	Alan	<p>I am reaching out to vehemently oppose the recent submission of application 24/00021/FULEIA. I find the reintroduction of this proposal deeply troubling, especially considering its previous rejection two years prior and the subsequent designation of the Creechchurch Conservation Area, which this application undermines.</p> <p>The proposal, which shows minimal alterations from its prior submission, fails to respect the architectural and historical integrity of the Grade-1 listed Bevis Marks Synagogue, now located within a conservation area. This site holds unparalleled significance for the Jewish community in the UK. The construction of the proposed tower directly to the south of the synagogue would severely impact the site, obscuring the religiously significant view of the southern sky and significantly reducing the natural light reaching the synagogue and its courtyard.</p> <p>It is unthinkable that such a project would be entertained near St Paul's Cathedral. It is equally inconceivable that it should be allowed so close to what could be considered the cathedral synagogue of British Jewry, especially given its vulnerable southern aspect.</p> <p>I strongly advocate for the rejection of this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Zubaida	David	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	nahon	leon	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Garson	Meir	<p>hugely detrimental to listed building,-Bevis Marks-a landmark which should be better protected especially natural light in a building of such importance.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Simon	Ann	<p>For the second time in two years a developer is proposing a 45 storey tower a stone's throw from Britain's Jewish "cathedral" - the 300 year old Grade 1 Listed Bevis Marks synagogue. This tower along the synagogue's southern horizon would destroy the setting of the synagogue, which is so important to traditional ritual and to what makes the synagogue a community hub, and would reduce the synagogue's natural light levels beyond usability. Please refuse this application and any future similar applications. The city should be proud to have this "jewel" without letting it become dwarfed by such a monstrosity.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dwek	Marie-Anne	<p>Sad that this is even being suggested and the blocking of one of Britain's historic buildings at a time when there is such a rise in antisemitism and London being seen as a no go zone for Jews. It adds to the negative sentiments being felt</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Davidson	David	<p>I strongly oppose this new application. Several generations of my wife's family have worshipped at Bevis Marks Spanish & Portuguese Synagogue. My wife and I have attended services there.</p> <p>I am appalled at this new proposal particularly after the refusal of an almost identical one and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, a barely revised version of the last one, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade 1 Listed Building, which is now also in a Conservation Area and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower to the Synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the Synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky view, and overshadow the Synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>A proposal of this nature would never be considered in the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral. It should not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's oldest Synagogue, in effect its Cathedral Synagogue.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Arwas	Jenny	<p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA)</p> <p>I am a member of the Bevis Marks Spanish and Portuguese Jews' Synagogue and the recent adduction of the Creech conservation area.</p> <p>This new application barely changed is not in keeping with the conservation of a grade one listed building which is now also in a conservation area. The new tower would dominate the setting of the synagogue block out the religiously important southern Skyview and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should not be permitted meters from the British juries Cathedral synagogue. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bekhor	Jack	<p>I'm a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>The proposed building would also damage the setting of other heritage assets in the local area, and would compromise the qualities of the Conservation Area as a whole.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Zinkin	Peter	<p>The scale of the development at 43 +4 is massively too large for the Bevis Marks synagogue and obviates the point of the conservation area.</p> <p>The southern view will be devastated and the courtyard over shadowed.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jacobs	Steven	<p>Concerning the new building: It is welcoming to see this project being revised and resubmitted. I wish to see it approved, and I would look forward to the positive effect it will have on the City's skyline. Despite being shorter than the original proposal (20/00848/FULEIA), the updated design still retains a slender silhouette with a progressive taper. Such a form creates an interesting contrast with the broader, rounded shape of the neighbouring 30 St Mary Axe. When seen from vantage points around Tower Bridge, this proposal would appear to the right of the taller tower approved at 100 Leadenhall Street (22/00790/FULEIA). Its comparatively lower height would provide a more gradual transition between the Eastern Cluster and the low-rise buildings beneath it, balancing the view of the cluster as a whole.</p> <p>Concerning Bevis Marks synagogue: It is necessary to mention that the compromises made to the current proposal address concerns from other correspondents regarding the synagogue at Bevis Marks. According to documents submitted in this application, the loss of light to the synagogue is expected to be minimal. The Impact Assessment lists the Cumulative Magnitude of change on Bevis Marks as Very Small. (See ES Volume 2: Heritage, Townscape and Visual Impact Assessment, page 36.) More precisely, the most significant effect caused by this proposal is a mere four-hour reduction in APSH on two of the synagogue's windows. (See Appendix: Daylight, Sunlight, Overshadowing, Light Intrusion and Solar Glare, Annex 4: Daylight and Sunlight Results, page 9. The ASPH of synagogue windows W4/F01 and W5/F01 would reduce from 14 hours to 10, and from 12 hours to 8, respectively. Every other window incurs an ASPH loss lower than this. Indeed, many windows are unaffected.)</p> <p>I anticipate that compensation for this minor loss of daylight, if at all deemed necessary, could form part of this project's Section 106 contributions.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Yentob	Robert	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application. "</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Baroness Deech	Ruth	<p>It is disrespectful to the neighbourhood, to an ancient and significant building, Bevis Marks, even to non-Jews, to put this enormous building near it. It is essential to preserve and enhance Bevis Marks.</p>	NO	Public Access website	27-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Baroukh	Vivien	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>As a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community I am shocked to see this new proposal following its refusal two years ago together with the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area.</p> <p>The Grade 1 listed building is the oldest synagogue in the UK and of great historical importance. The new application is not significantly different to the last, the building with 45 storeys is contrary to the requirements of being in a conservation area and certainly not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building. This tower located to the synagogue's immediate south, would overpower the synagogue's setting, would have the detrimental effect of overshadowing the synagogue and courtyard thereby reducing the minimal light it already has as well as blocking the religiously important southern sky view.</p> <p>The planning benefits proposed by the developers are certainly not a gain to the community. The refurbishment of the existing building which is still relatively new would be environmentally preferable.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>It is imperative that this application is refused.</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Baroukh	Izzak	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>As a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community I am shocked to see this new proposal following its refusal two years ago together with the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>The Grade 1 listed building is the oldest synagogue in the UK and of great historical importance. The new application is not significantly different to the last, the building with 45 storeys is contrary to the requirements of being in a conservation area and certainly not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building. This tower located to the synagogue's immediate south, would overpower the synagogue's setting, would have the detrimental effect of overshadowing the synagogue and courtyard thereby reducing the minimal light it already has as well as blocking the religiously important southern sky view.</p> <p>The planning benefits proposed by the developers are certainly not a gain to the community. The refurbishment of the existing building which is still relatively new would be environmentally preferable.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>It is imperative that this application is refused.</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hougie	Andrew	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community which includes Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new 45 storey tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue (a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance) and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>The City Corporation is being disingenuous: claiming to recognise the importance of the synagogue, yet simultaneously facilitating this monstrous development. We are entitled to expect much higher standards from Britain's most prestigious local authority.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Donoghue	Denise	<p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p> <p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am writing to express my deep concern and outrage regarding the proposed construction of a 45-storey tower adjacent to the Grade 1 Listed synagogue. The Jewish community is deeply invested in preserving our heritage and cultural significance and I urge you to reconsider this project for the following reasons:</p> <p>The synagogue holds immense historic and cultural significance, akin to structures like St Paul's Cathedral. Just as it would be inconceivable to allow such a towering structure next to the cathedral, the synagogue deserves the same level of protection.</p> <p>The proposed tower would not only overshadow the synagogue but also obstruct vital aspects of its religious rituals, such as the view of the moon's passage. These are crucial for the spiritual experience within the synagogue.</p> <p>Despite claims of sustainability and good design, the tower is simply in the wrong location. Its presence would diminish the usability of the synagogue's courtyard for rituals and celebrations and reduce daylight entering the sanctuary, hindering worship.</p> <p>It is disappointing that the City Corporation, despite recognizing the importance of the synagogue, is facilitating this development. As members of the community, we expect higher standards from our local authorities.</p> <p>The developers contend that they offer numerous planning benefits that offset the potential harm to the synagogue. However, upon closer examination, these purported benefits fail to hold up, such as being car-free, showcasing holistic environmental design, and implementing consolidated deliveries, are standard features typically found in modern commercial buildings. Consequently, they do not truly contribute to the community or offset the adverse impacts on the synagogue.</p> <p>I urge you to reconsider the approval of this project and reject it, prioritizing the preservation of our historic landmarks and cultural heritage. Our community's identity and spiritual well-being depend on it.</p> <p>Regards, Denise Donoghue</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	shamash	david	<p>The proposed development at 31 Bury Street is a monstrosity and should not be allowed. The proposed building will completely overshadow the Synagogue at Bevis Marks and reduce its daylight to a minimum thus severely impacting a valuable heritage site. The site for the proposed building is within a conservation area, so why would you entertain such a monstrosity?</p> <p>The proposed development at 31 Bury Street is a monstrosity and should not be allowed. The proposed building will completely overshadow the Synagogue at Bevis Marks and reduce its daylight to a minimum thus severely impacting a valuable heritage site. The site for the proposed building is within a conservation area, so why would you entertain such a monstrosity?</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	shamash	david	<p>The proposed development at 31 Bury Street is a monstrosity and should not be allowed. The proposed building will completely overshadow the Synagogue at Bevis Marks and reduce its daylight to a minimum thus severely impacting a valuable heritage site. The site for the proposed building is within a conservation area, so why would you entertain such a monstrosity?</p> <p>The proposed development at 31 Bury Street is a monstrosity and should not be allowed. The proposed building will completely overshadow the Synagogue at Bevis Marks and reduce its daylight to a minimum thus severely impacting a valuable heritage site. The site for the proposed building is within a conservation area, so why would you entertain such a monstrosity?</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Gostyn	Antony	<p>I object to the proposed development on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. The proposed development is in direct conflict with current statutory development plan, which does not allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas. 2. A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm and overshadow the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance as it is the oldest synagogue in Britain. 3. It would reduce the already low level daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. I understand that because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible. 4. I cannot believe that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's Cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection? 5. The proposed building would also damage the setting of other heritage assets in the local area, and would compromise the qualities of the Conservation Area as a whole. 6. The developers' claim that the planning benefits outweigh the harm to the synagogue. The claimed benefits do not stand up to scrutiny as many are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building. They do not represent a gain to the community. 7. The existing building at 31 Bury Street is only 40 years old and is capable of being refurbished and providing good service for many more years. Unnecessary demolition simply squanders the energy embodied in the building. 	NO	Public Access website	28-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Caddy	Adam	<p>A beautiful proposal, from an established architects with a proven track record of high quality buildings. This will be a welcome addition to the area and the wider skyline, the tone and materials used on the facade seem to be of high quality and the slenderness of the tower will visually have a positive impact here.</p> <p>Concerns over lighting to the surrounding buildings appears to be minimal at worst.</p> <p>I fully support this project and welcome its addition to an ever changing and growing skyline.</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Benzecry	Kitty	<p>I strongly oppose the new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I am appalled to see this new proposal following its refusal two years ago and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This proposal has barely changed since the previous submission and still threatens to overshadow the synagogue and block out its remaining light. This is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The towers surrounding have already vastly reduced the light inside the building. Having had my own wedding in the synagogue last summer, I know it would be a travesty to block out the light to such an important building and would prevent others from being able to enjoy special events in the synagogue. One of my most enjoyable moments of my wedding day was greeting all of our guests as they exited the synagogue and congregated in the sun in the courtyard. This tower would completely overshadow that courtyard, taking away that light to celebrations and festivals which bring our community such enjoyment. It would also block out much more of the light inside the building, making it impossible to read prayer books and preventing worship.</p> <p>We are a multicultural society and pride ourselves on it, yet we don't afford the same protections to historic religious buildings outside of Christianity that we do to Christian buildings in this country. St Paul's Cathedral's light and sight lines are protected, including from distances as far as Alexandra Palace, Kenwood House and Richmond Park. If we protect St Paul's Cathedral to that extent, then it would be a travesty to allow this tower to be built on the doorstep of the British Jewry's Cathedral Synagogue.</p> <p>The drawbacks of this proposal far outweigh any proposed benefits that the developer claims and would be extremely harmful to both the synagogue and the community.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Alliance	Homa	<p>To raise a building of such magnitude in the vicinity of an old building dating to 1701, is inconsiderate as the certain damages to Bevis Marks synagogue will be unimaginable and a big shame, historically. Therefore I request that the authorities will turn down the permission for a high rise office building in this location. Many thanks for your consideration.</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morgan	Cyril	<p>This is for you to kindly consider my strong objection to the new application (24/00021/FULEIA) re this site.</p> <p>As a long-standing member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community I was shocked to note that yet another proposal has been made, especially considering this was already refused only 2 years ago. There has also been the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application is almost identical to the previous one. It is not in line with the conservation expected of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important and historic Jewish site in the UK. The proposed new tower to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>A proposal such as this would never be entertained anywhere near St Paul's Cathedral. On no account should such a proposed building be considered only yards from the synagogue which represents the equivalent of British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue.</p> <p>Kindly refuse this application in no uncertain terms so the developers do not return again wasting both your time and that of the local community. Thank you.</p> <p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Martin	Nicola	<p>My husband's family have been members of Bevis Marks since it was built in 1702. Our family are still members of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and I am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Moses	Selina	<p>I object. Do we really have to go through this again? Bevis Marks is an irreplaceable historic building and very important to the Jewish community. This wouldn't be done to an important cathedral or a mosque so why are Jewish communities being treated this way? We feel as if we do not get the slightest bit of respect or courtesy for things that are important to us. Stop trying to destroy even the light around the synagogue, especially for the soulless generic building you want to erect. London's history is being eroded and if that doesn't concern you, try and think about having some respect for minorities, especially the oldest minority in England. Jews have been here since 1099. We shouldn't have to keep fighting to preserve what is important to us.</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Ish-Horowicz MBE	Judith	I was shocked to hear that you are again considering a planning application for a development that would impact so negatively on Bevis Marks Synagogue, a site of immense historic Jewish significance and indeed British significance in its place in the history of British Jewry. Just this week, I had an enquiry from a visitor to London asking me to recommend sites of Jewish interest to see and Bevis Marks Synagogue was the top of the list. As a user of the synagogue, I appreciate the way its natural light enhances the spiritual elements of the services. A 45-storey tower block would totally overwhelm it and block out the sky. Surely the purpose of creating the Creechurch Conservation Area was to protect and enhance buildings of such cultural and historic importance? I hope we are not living in such a dystopian society that the very organisation that is entrusted to protect Bevis Marks would undermine and devalue this historic Grade 1 listed landmark.	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	ORENSTEIN	Sara	I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Salem	Muriel	It's a unique historical synagogue oldest in UK still functioning had lottery money to open as museum and educational center will be ruined if deprived of natural light . What a shame for the community, the tourists and the City of London . Planners should be responsible not to deface such precious jewels of building full of history and spiritually meaningful. We must save it at all cost !! How else would we learn anything if we stifle and try to bury in darkness such beacon's of past society . Also the fact that public money was spent to save it and enhance it . A	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Khalastchi	Tony	I strongly object to this new application which is barely any different to the one submitted and refused 2 years ago-I have been a member of the Spanish and Portuguese Jewish community for over 40 years to which Bevis mark is an integral part-45 storey tower would overpower the synagogue and there is no way such a tower would be allowed next to st .Paul's -it will definitely affect the light and lastly it is in a conservation area where no tall buildings are meant to be built in summary I strongly urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Martin	Gusti	The proposed building would block out the light to our Synagogue in Bevis Marks, which would interrupt our prayers	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mocatta	Edward Charles	I am objecting to this application on the same basis as I objected to the previous planning application for a 48 story tower on the site. It will completely overshadow and block natural light from the Bevis Marks and agog which was constructed in 1701 and have a very damaging effect on this wonderful historic building and its surroundings. This you previously created the conservation area around this so I do not understand why you are changing your stance and even permitting an application for a 46 story building to be made. Quite apart from that, I feel there are more than enough tall buildings in the area, which I know is favoured because of protective sightlines et cetera. However the vast number of people working in these huge buildings, assuming they all work from their offices, must far exceed the ability of the existing, and basically mediaeval, redneck network in the area to cope with them at peak times. Also one has to ask given the oversupply of office space in the city and more generally following the increase in working from home, whether another 46 story office block is needed. Quite apart from that, there's the application to build a 45 story office block above Liverpool Street station which is not very far away. I feel the whole area is over dominated by very tall buildings and that this application should be refused	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ansell Brauner	G. E.	I feel distressed that construction of an inappropriate tower is again being proposed, overshadowing Bevis Marks Synagogue, a low, historical monument of international note and a Grade 1 listed building, which should be protected under law. Moreover, in daytime, the interior main hall of Bevis Marks where services are conducted is unique in atmosphere, being lit entirely by natural light and this would no longer be possible if all the light is blocked by a high-rise building. It is candle-lit at night. Religious services held there are renowned for a special, ethereal quality afforded by this setting. There is no call or ecological justification to bring more office space and business premises into this area, creating dense land use: furthermore, landmark buildings and access to them should be preserved in their aesthetic surroundings as far as possible. The area is already car-free, to create massive modern buildings would damage it beyond restoration, rather than provide services or enhance it. To claim this as progress is disingenuous - it is pure destruction.	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Judith	This is a very serious application - only a few storeys lower than the previously refused permission. It's about Bevis Marks synagogue, a landmark, an in-use place of worship - the oldest synagogue in the United Kingdom. This proposed building will do immense harm to the light going into the synagogue and courtyard and should never be allowed. Several recent applications have been refused. I wonder why this one is even being considered, given all the arguments put forward to protect this important site in the City of London. There will be hundreds of comments backing my view. I am a member of a sister synagogue in Westminster. We were married at Bevis Marks and the continuation of synagogue services is absolutely vital. Please, please consider the views of those who will be so badly affected, should the planning permission be granted.	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Engelbert	Ronald	It seems to me that the City Corporation is acting in an extraordinarily underhand manner. The proposed development went through the planning process in 2022 and permission was refused, primarily, I understand, because of the unacceptable impact on the synagogue. As a result of the work of the Bevis Marks community, the area surrounding the synagogue is now designated as a Conservation Area where tall buildings are banned. However, the City Corporation is proposing to scrap the ban on tall buildings in Conservation Areas. This is outrageous. The Corporation can't help it's developer cronies by playing by the existing rules, so why not change the rules. In what way would the development negatively impact the synagogue? The proposed 45 storey tower would be out of all proportion to the synagogue. The tower would block the southern sky view, an important contributor to religious ritual. It would also overshadow for much of the day the courtyard, which is used for rituals and celebrations.	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fenton	Susan	Light obstruction Noise during construction Change in local architecture	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Salem	Melody	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Setton	Raphael	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>In 2020, a 48 storey office building was proposed just 25 metres away at 31 Bury Street. After a worldwide campaign which generated more objections than the City Corporation has ever experienced before, the Corporation refused permission in 2022, primarily because of the unacceptable impact on the synagogue.</p> <p>The developers are audaciously trying again.</p> <p>Tireless work by the Synagogue community has led to the area now being designated as a Conservation Area. However, the City Corporation has given with one hand and is taking away with the other - it is proposing to scrap the ban on tall buildings in Conservation Areas and indications are that the leadership is now supportive of the proposed building. Why has the Corporation bowed to these wealthy developers??</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hernandez	Maria	<p>The new building certainly looks more presentable than some other towers. It is neither too tall nor too wide, and it will help to fix the City's shortage of offices and cultural spaces.</p> <p>The synagogue at Bevis Marks is already surrounded by taller buildings, including the nearby Gherkin, so I doubt this one will make much difference to the sunlight inside.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sopher	Rachel	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>It is only fair as a house of worship we see the light and wonder if a proposal in front a prominent mosque or church would even have reached this stage</p> <p>Thank you for your kind consideration</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gold	A	<p>What a beautiful building you have chosen to tear down. The first, oldest Synagogue in London. In an area steeped in history. We should be fighting to preserve this building. It has traces of European history too. I refuse to understand your decision. The Sephardi community only make up about 20% of the entire community, a minority. There are far fewer Sephardi buildings and synagogues in London than Ashkenazi ones. The Ashkenazi community</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gold	A	<p>What a beautiful building you have chosen to tear down. The first, oldest Synagogue in London. In an area steeped in history. We should be fighting to preserve this building. It has traces of European history too dating back to fleeing persecution connected to the Inquisition. I refuse to understand your decision. The Sephardi community only make up about 20% of the entire community, a minority. There are far fewer Sephardi buildings and synagogues in London than Ashkenazi ones. The Ashkenazi community makes up 80% of the Jewish population. We have a right to complain if we feel we are being threatened, or our history eliminated. This cannot go unnoticed. The Sephardi Jewish community connected to this Synagogue is 350 years old. Older than some of the more modern established Ashkenazi communities here. Please consult with an expert before you take any decision to do this. If people from around the world want to visit a building this is their main option. Without it there is nothing to replace it in the city! It is located in the heart of the city and represents all of city life. Do not suggest that the Jewish community does not belong here in the city centre. France has a higher percentage of Sephardi Jews and we have many French Sephardis who have loved visiting this Synagogue when they are in London. In France I visited a historic synagogue which the authorities have recognised as an important piece of history having survived WW2 and the French have refused to just pull it down. Time to become more aware of this as a cultural reference.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Timan	Ezra	<p>Dear Sirs I am writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application. Regards Ezra Timan</p>	NO	Public Access website	30-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Timan	Ruth	<p>Dear Sirs I am writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application. Regards Ruth Timan</p>	NO	Public Access website	30-Mar-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gubbay	Joshua	<p>Object based on the impact it will have on nearby historical buildings.</p>	NO	Public Access	30-Mar-24

24/00021/FULEIA	schmool	barak	once again it seems that my beloved synagogue, already cornered by high rise neighbours, is under threat of having its remaining natural light impacted upon. I note that the corporation's previous promises of protection amount to little, and I am confident that a church of equal historic importance and grade 1 listing would not be treated in this manner. I reject the concessions made to limit a new neighbouring tower to a mere 45 rather than 48 storeys - this is a conservation area and only if you ignore your own rules would this be permissible. Why have rules if they mean so little? Would you bend them in favour of the Jewish community instead? I somehow doubt that. All of the suggested benefits to the development are either not benefits or irrelevant to the Synagogue. The development will clearly only have a negative impact.	NO	Public Access website	01-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	BAYLIN	RONALD	The building you intend will place this still beautiful, still functioning historic synagogue into an extremely negative space. Please reconsider.	NO	Public Access website	01-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	KRAMER	STEPHEN	Whilst I am not a neighbour I was a judge at the Central Criminal Court for 13 years and attend services at Bevis Marks from time to time, especially Kol Nidrei (Eve of Day for Atonement). I am also a Past Master of the Worshipful Company of Bakers and my Election Service took place at Bevis Marks synagogue. It has always been an integral part of the experience to start a service in daylight and see the evening approaching in candlelight. That daylight would be jeopardised if this application is granted. However, my objection is not based on sentiment but on the reality of what would happen if it is allowed. It must be remembered at all times that Bevis Marks is a Grade 1 listed building. If this proposal is allowed, the 40 plus storey tower would overwhelm the synagogue, destroy the southern sky view and blot out much of the daylight presently enjoyed by the synagogue and courtyard. The site is within the Creechurch Conservation area and the proposal itself is contrary to current planning policy not to allow tall buildings such as the proposed one in such areas. If there is a need for yet another tall building in the City in the foreseeable future, which - looking at the number of empty buildings already there - I very much doubt, this proposed building is totally inappropriate for this site and the surrounding buildings. Accordingly I strongly oppose this application.	NO	Public Access website	01-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Seres	Jenny	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Lauderdale Road Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am extremely upset to see yet another new proposal, which would be if passed very damaging to Bevis Marks Synagogue, particularly after yet another refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. It is not fair to keep putting stress on the community to write in opposition to these continued unsuitable applications. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.	NO	Public Access website	01-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	Charles	This is the oldest Synagogue in the country and is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. It has been used continually by the Sephardi community since its founding in 1701. This makes it just four years younger than St Paul's cathedral, where similar developments have been blocked in the past, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection? There is significant office development elsewhere in the City, and there is no evidence of a shortage of office space, given current vacancy rates	NO	Public Access website	01-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Montefiore	Victor	I am a Freeman of the City and I am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK; and one of the most important in Europe. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. How will congregants participate and engage with religious services if the light is so dark that they cannot read and follow services? I insist that you refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	01-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sandler	Patricia	I'm writing to voice my firm opposition against the new application (24/00021/FULEIA). The intended development site lies within the recently designated Creechurch Conservation Area. Given that existing planning policies forbid tall buildings in Conservation Areas, it is troubling to learn that the esteemed City Corporation is giving consideration to a planning application for a 45-story tower, merely three stories less than a proposal that was turned down roughly two years ago. It appears quite disingenuous for the City Corporation to contemplate this proposed development while also enforcing a ban on tall structures in Conservation Areas. It's worth noting the equal historical and religious importance of St Paul's Cathedral and the Bevis Marks Synagogue. It would be unthinkable for such a development to be considered, let alone proposed, near St Paul's Cathedral. The fact that this new application is being considered at all highlights a seeming disregard by the City Corporation for Jewish places of worship.	NO	Public Access website	02-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	OBERMAN	ISABEL	This new proposed development will totally overshadow Bevis Marks Synagogue. This is a historic heritage building mainly lit by candlelight. The height of the proposed development will cut all daylight apart from being far too high in respect to surrounding buildings.	NO	Public Access website	02-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morgenthau	Allan	I strongly object to the plans which will have a disastrous impact on the neighbouring historic building and is not in keeping with surroundings	NO	Public Access website	02-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morris	Sarah-Lou	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	02-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson Levy	Caroline	<p>Bevis Marks synagogue- a landmark for British and International Jewry is again being treated as an 'inconvenience' by developers intent on pursuing their building plans with a relentlessness that feels like bullying to those of us who are members of our beloved and precious synagogue.</p> <p>I urge the members of the planning authority to once again understand, consider and respect the significance of this building and the sanctity it provides - and to deny permission for a new 43 floor adjacent building - instead to protect and support this precious grade 1 listed synagogue and the community it serves.</p>	NO	Public Access website	02-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Epstein	Thelma	<p>This synagogue is the oldest continuously used synagogue in the UK dating from 1701. As such it has enormous cultural meaning and importance to the Jewish community. Though it is located in the City of London it has had many tall buildings erected around it which has meant that it is totally dwarfed save for the southern sky view which means that the sky can be seen from the courtyard with a view of the moon and stars on a clear night.</p> <p>Despite numerous previous applications to erect tall buildings that would dwarf the synagogue a ban was put on these applications, as the area around the synagogue was designated as being in a Conservation area. The Council is now proposing to scrap this designation and consequently an application has been made to erect a 45 storey building which would completely dwarf the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 listed building, and reduce the minimal light that enters the synagogue making it more difficult for prayer. The historical nature of the synagogue precludes adding additional light inside it. The height of the building would also lessen the usability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations as it would be further overshadowed.</p> <p>The importance of this synagogue is equivalent to the that of St Paul's Cathedral and I am sure that no such a skyscraper would be given permission to be built next to St Paul's. This synagogue is much visited by visitors to the UK and its setting, despite the current buildings around it, make it much appreciated.</p> <p>The benefits that the developers mention would be expected from any new tall building and thus of no matter in this case as a particular benefit. The downside of the development far outweighs any of the proposed benefits. In short, it would be a disaster.</p>	NO	Public Access website	02-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Banks	Adrienne	<p>This proposed building work is a threat to an extremely important historical building, Bevis Marks synagogue, which has seen continuous worship by the Jewish community for 350 years. Its significance cannot be undervalued as a symbol of the City's openness and tolerant values. It should be protected and cherished Please do not allow this project to go ahead.</p>	NO	Public Access website	02-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kemp	Malcolm	<p>This synagogue is the oldest continuously used synagogue in the UK dating from 1701. As such it has enormous cultural meaning and importance to the Jewish community. Though it is located in the City of London it has had many tall buildings erected around it which has meant that it is totally dwarfed save for the southern sky view which means that the sky can be seen from the courtyard with a view of the moon and stars on a clear night.</p> <p>Despite numerous previous applications to erect tall buildings that would dwarf the synagogue a ban was put on these applications, as the area around the synagogue was designated as being in a Conservation area. The Council is now proposing to scrap this designation and consequently an application has been made to erect a 45 storey building which would completely dwarf the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 listed building, and reduce the minimal light that enters the synagogue making it more difficult for prayer. The historical nature of the synagogue precludes adding additional light inside it. The height of the building would also lessen the usability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations as it would be further overshadowed.</p> <p>The importance of this synagogue is equivalent to the that of St Paul's Cathedral and I am sure that no such a skyscraper would be given permission to be built next to St Paul's. This synagogue is much visited by visitors to the UK and its setting, despite the current buildings around it, make it much appreciated.</p> <p>The benefits that the developers mention would be expected from any new tall building and thus of no matter in this case as a particular benefit. The downside of the development far outweighs any of the proposed benefits. In short, it would be a disaster.</p>	NO	Public Access website	02-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Obadiah	Sophie	<p>Dear City of London application team,</p> <p>Please would you consider your decision to allow the tower block build to go ahead. What a great shame to block out yet more light and sky from the original buildings- Grade listed I might add. Please would you take the view of King Charles and keep the tower block away. The City will lose its special appeal and result in a city just like any other in the world.</p> <p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application. Many thanks for reading this and reconsidering. Yours faithfully, Sophie Obadiah</p>	NO	Public Access website	02-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sackman	Simon	<p>I strongly object to this application. I have been a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community for over 40 years. I regularly attend services at Bevis Marks Synagogue and I and two of my daughters were married there. I am very familiar with this part of the City, as my office for over 30 years was in Camomile Street. Bevis Marks Synagogue is the jewel in the crown of this country's Jewish community and one of which the City of London should be proud and anxious to preserve. The current application has barely changed from the developer's original application in 2022, to which I also objected. In the context of the setting of the synagogue, the difference between a 45-storey tower and the previous 48-storey tower is negligible. The proposed development, like the original proposal, is totally at odds with the conservation of a Grade 1 listed building, which is now also in the Creechurch Conservation Area established by the City Corporation only a few months ago. The new tower would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard and crucially reduce the natural light illuminating the synagogue's interior, materially impairing the environment in which religious services take place and have done so continuously since 1701. Further, the incorporation of 4 basement levels in the new building would risk damaging the very structure of the 323-year old synagogue. The City Corporation rightly rejected the 2022 application and should do the same again now; there are more than enough tall buildings in the area. What is the point of creating a conservation area and then allowing irreparable harm to one of the few remaining heritage buildings in that area? Such a proposal would never be entertained near St. Paul's Cathedral and should not be permitted just yards from the most important Jewish building in Britain. I urge the City Corporation to refuse this application and make clear that no application on anything like the proposed scale will ever be entertained.</p> <p>I obje</p>	NO	Public Access website	02-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Seruya	Donna	<p>I strongly object to this application.</p> <p>I have been a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community for over 40 years. I regularly attend services at Bevis Marks Synagogue and I and two of my daughters were married there. I am very familiar with this part of the City, as I worked nearby for over 30 years. Bevis Marks Synagogue is the most important Jewish building in Britain and one of which the City of London should be proud and anxious to preserve.</p> <p>The current application has barely changed from that submitted in 2022, to which I also objected. In the context of the setting of the synagogue the difference between the two proposals - a 45-storey tower versus one of 48 storeys - is negligible. The proposed development, like the original proposal, is totally at odds with the conservation of a Grade 1 listed building, especially now that the synagogue is in the Creechurch Conservation Area established by the City Corporation only a few months ago.</p> <p>The new tower would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard and crucially reduce the natural light illuminating the synagogue's interior, materially impairing the environment in which religious services take place. Furthermore, the incorporation of 4 basement levels in the new building would risk damaging the very structure of the 323-year old synagogue.</p> <p>The City Corporation rightly rejected the 2022 application and should do the same again now. There are already more than enough undistinguished skyscrapers in the vicinity. What is the point of creating a conservation area and then allowing the setting and functioning of one of the heritage buildings that has justified that conservation status being severely compromised?</p> <p>I therefore urge the City Corporation to refuse this application and make clear to the developer that no application on anything like the proposed scale will ever be entertained.</p>	NO	Public Access website	02-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jacobs	Steven	<p>Concerning the new building: It is welcoming to see this project being revised and resubmitted. I wish to see it approved, and I would look forward to the positive effect it will have on the City's skyline. Despite being shorter than the original proposal (20/00848/FULEIA), the updated design still retains a slender silhouette with a progressive taper. Such a form creates an interesting contrast with the broader, rounded shape of the neighbouring 30 St Mary Axe. When seen from vantage points around Tower Bridge, this proposal would appear to the right of the taller tower approved at 100 Leadenhall Street (22/00790/FULEIA). Its comparatively lower height would provide a more gradual transition between the Eastern Cluster and the low-rise buildings beneath it, balancing the view of the cluster as a whole.</p> <p>Concerning Bevis Marks synagogue: It is necessary to mention that the compromises made to the current proposal address concerns from other correspondents regarding the synagogue at Bevis Marks. According to documents submitted in this application, the loss of light to the synagogue is expected to be minimal. The Impact Assessment lists the Cumulative Magnitude of change on Bevis Marks as Very Small. (See ES Volume 2: Heritage, Townscape and Visual Impact Assessment, page 36.) More precisely, the most significant effect caused by this proposal is a mere four-hour reduction in APSh on two of the synagogue's windows. (See Appendix: Daylight, Sunlight, Overshadowing, Light Intrusion and Solar Glare, Annex 4: Daylight and Sunlight Results, page 9. The ASPH of synagogue windows W4/F01 and W5/F01 would reduce from 14 hours to 10, and from 12 hours to 8, respectively. Every other window incurs an ASPH loss lower than this. Indeed, many windows are unaffected.)</p> <p>I anticipate that compensation for this minor loss of daylight, if at all deemed necessary, could form part of this project's Section 106 contributions.</p>	NO	Public Access website	02-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Black	Robert	<p>Scheme disregards the local surroundings and will substantially block light getting into the surrounding buildings.</p>	NO	Public Access website	03-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Samson	Alan	<p>A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection? It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked. The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place. It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible. The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. The City Corporation is required to identify locations suitable for tall buildings. It has done some work on this, but there is much more work to be done, and their work eventually has to be assessed by an independent inspector. This process is unlikely to be complete before late 2025. The developers are arguing that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. These benefits do not stand up to scrutiny. Many - for example, being car free, demonstrating holistic environmental design, having consolidated deliveries - are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building, and they do not represent a gain to the community.</p>	NO	Public Access website	03-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mount	Amanda	<p>As a new member of Bevis Marks Synagogue, I am appalled that this planning application has been brought forward again. It is incredibly similar to the application that was previously rejected and will do untold harm to the synagogue and local heritage.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>I urge that this building be rejected as it was before.</p>	NO	Public Access website	03-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Solomons	Lesley	<p>My family have been members of Bevis Marks Synagogue for well over a hundred years. Generations of my family have married there and it is the place that we go to pray, celebrate, mourn and just be together.</p> <p>To think that this irreplaceable building will be put at risk by a tower block that is of questionable need is an abrogation of the City's duties. The sheer size of the building and the fact that it is being proposed in a conservation area are clear indicators that this building should not be given permission to proceed.</p> <p>The impact on the day to day working of Synagogue as well as other heritage assets will be catastrophic and this building must not proceed.</p>	NO	Public Access website	03-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Taylor	Nick	<p>London is both a city of change and historical juxtaposition. Rather than be detrimental to the surrounding environment, the proposal would open up the area, add new amenities, and increase office space (a market that is recovering post-pandemic). I don't see the evidence for material damage to any historic structures, thus it would be erroneous to reject the application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	03-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Alexander	Victoria	It is impossible to underestimate the importance and relevance of Bevis Marks Synagogue. Not only is it an extremely important religious building for the Spanish and Portuguese Jewish community in London. This proposal should be rejected on many grounds, including taking the natural light of an historical building. This is the same way that any similar proposal would be rejected near St Paul's cathedral. This synagogue was built in 1699, following Jews being readmitted to London in 1657 and has been a symbol of London's tolerance and embrace of other cultures and religions for the following 325 years. To disrespect this building and its history with tower blocks adjacent to it is to desecrate everything that Londoners as well as British Jews have stood for over centuries of peaceful living. At this time this message is needed more than ever and should not be ignored. I urge you to reject this proposal.	NO	Public Access website	03-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Alexander	Victoria	It is impossible to underestimate the importance and relevance of Bevis Marks Synagogue. Not only is it an extremely important religious building for the Spanish and Portuguese Jewish community in London. This synagogue was built in 1699, following Jews being readmitted to London in 1657 and has been a symbol of London's tolerance and embrace of other cultures and religions for the following 325 years. To disrespect this building and its history with tower blocks adjacent to it is to desecrate everything that Londoners as well as British Jews have stood for over centuries of peaceful living. At this time this message is needed more than ever and should not be ignored. I urge you to reject this proposal.	NO	Public Access website	03-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sanders	Anna	I strongly object to the proposal of a 45 storey tower at 31 Bury St. As a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish and Portuguese Jewish community I believe that this important and unique place of Jewish heritage and history in the UK should be protected, and such a huge tower should not be built in such close proximity. The courtyard entrance to the synagogue is already very enclosed with limited light and such a tower would reduce the light coming to the courtyard even further. The tower will be located to the south and this is of particular significance as the southern sky has importance in various religious rituals. The developers seem to have taken no notice of the adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area and I truly hope that a scheme of such magnitude will not be accepted in such a sensitive location. I urge to reject these proposals. Many thanks for your consideration.	NO	Public Access website	03-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sebag-Montefiore	Victoria	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	03-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Elfassi	Angie	The synagogue is a historical grade 1 building. The intended block of over 40 floors will take away the beauty of this building built in 1701 and is part of the city like any other building from over 300 years ago.	NO	Public Access website	03-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	Michael	This is a religious place of worship and of great importance to the community . Would such a building be proposed to be built adjacent to St Paul's Cathedral .	NO	Public Access website	03-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Motz	Martin	As a former pupil of City of London School I am highly concerned about this proposal. Bevis Marks Synagogue is a unique Type 1 listed building. Furthermore it is the spiritual home for many Jewish people who live or work in the City. My most joyous experience was at the wedding of friends. The candle lit ceremony combined happiness, hope and continuity, which is so precious. The proposed building - that one might call a carbuncle - would be overbearing presence. Looming over this precious place it would literally cast a dark shadow over this wonderful community that is steeped in history.	NO	Public Access website	03-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Taylor	Diane	Absolutely appalling that an extremely high building could be put next to a Synagogue which is a listed building. It is a beautiful building which relies on natural light which would be compromised by your proposal. Also its foundations could be affected by the new building and it's foundations. I can't think of a worse type of building to put next to a place of worship. Bevis Marks is very old building and would be greatly affected by the proposed building. I strongly suggest that this building should not be built at the site. It is far too high and too many floors underground. I am sure that this and other buildings would be greatly compromised by the proposed construction. Please do not allow this to go ahead.	NO	Public Access website	03-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Spencer	Antony	Why are you thinking of changing your decision to allow a tall building next to Bevis Marks synagogue . SHAME ON YOU FOR CONSIDERING TO CHANGE YOUR DECISION , In my opinion you need to preserve this conservation area . Tall buildings are not compatible in this location ,if you wish preserve working of the synagogue and its setting which would be completely changed by the tall building proposed . Please do not grant permission	NO	Public Access website	04-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Crew	Steve	Preferred the previous proposal and thoroughly support this one. Hoping that ambition and progress can overcome all this political single interest on-line prying and posturing. "The horse has bolted people, look up and around you, The City is right in front of you." No one is tarmac over anything, this part of London is unique because you can turn a corner and find wonderful old buildings slap bang against modern architecture.	NO	Public Access website	04-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Solomons	Adrian	As a long standing member of Bevis Marks Synagogue, I am deeply dismayed by this current proposal, especially following its rejection two years ago and the recent establishment of the Creechurch Conservation Area. The barely changed nature of this new application, compared to its previous submission, does not align with the conservation efforts for a Grade-1 listed building, now situated within a conservation area, and recognised as the most significant Jewish site in the UK. The proposed tower, positioned immediately south of the synagogue, would significantly encroach upon the protected ambience of the synagogue, obstruct the crucial southern sky-view integral to religious observance, and cast an overpowering shadow over the synagogue and its courtyard, further diminishing its natural light. Such a proposal would never be entertained in the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral and certainly should not be permitted mere metres from the Cathedral synagogue of British Jewry, especially given its sensitive southern orientation. I implore you to reject this application.	NO	Public Access website	04-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Easey	Reuben	Please do not succumb yet again to a stubborn minority, as happens time and again in this country; the building should clearly be approved. There are plenty of tall buildings in the City already, and they have improved the place no end - it's far less drab and grey these days. Moreover, the blend of old and new is part of its charm - there are plenty of churches and other religious buildings nearby which are abutted by tall buildings and are no worse for it.	NO	Public Access website	04-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Zarach	Stephanie	<p>I find it hard to understand the difference between this planning request and the previous one for this site. The Bevis Marks synagogue is well over 300 years old and is a mark of the culture of the City and its antecedents. Your preoccupation with faceless towers will blight this synagogue, totally destroying its access to the sky in daytime or at night and therefore affecting the various ritual services that are so important to it.</p> <p>Moreover, Bevis Marks is in a designated Conservation Area: what is the point of such an area if it isn't to preserve the features within it?</p> <p>Security has never been more important in this country as it is now. This building will overlook the synagogue and therefore destroy the privacy of those who pray in it: you will create opportunities for terrorists.</p> <p>This is undoubtedly a matter of the City putting money above the heritage it is supposed to protect. You wouldn't consider allowing an edifice like this to overshadow St Paul's Cathedral: Bevis Marks, built in 1701, is nine years older than Wren's St Paul's. The City is founded on history. It is part of its DNA. Why do you want to destroy this at every opportunity?</p> <p>I strongly object to this proposal on the following grounds:</p>	NO	Public Access website	04-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ainslie	John	<p>Harm to the nationally important Grade 1 listed Bevis Marks synagogue by virtue of the overbearing and overshadowing impact on the courtyard, which will give rise to severe adverse effect on the setting of the synagogue, impacting an essential component of the synagogue complex, and thereby substantially eroding the cultural heritage significance of the listed building itself;</p> <p>Substantial harm to, and loss of significance of, the Creechbank conservation area;</p> <p>Contrary to Local Plan policy DM12.2 as the proposal does not preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the conservation area, by virtue of the size, scale, height, massing, appearance and design of the proposal;</p> <p>Severe adverse impact on the amenity and use of a culturally and religiously significant building viz the synagogue, the listing details of which make clear the cultural and religious significance of this particular synagogue, in a national as well as local context;</p> <p>Threat to the ongoing viability of the synagogue by virtue of the destructive impact on the setting of the building, but more importantly to the essential and vitally important courtyard which is intrinsic to the religious function of the building.</p> <p>In addition I believe the EIA is legally deficient in the absence of a detailed, balanced and considered assessment of the proposal in terms of its impact on the conservation area; and on the Grade 1 listed synagogue particularly in terms of the impact on the courtyard which is intrinsic to the overall heritage value and cultural significance of the synagogue; and on the severe impact on the amenity and function of the synagogue as a cultural and religious building in current use.</p> <p>Finally, heritage is not just about bricks and mortar buildings, it is about the historic and cultural resonance of a building and the cultural function which a building currently serves and the benefit</p>	NO	Public Access website	05-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Tricot	Anthony	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I have been a member as well as a trustee of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	05-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ben-Nathan	Colin	<p>I am strongly opposed to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish Community and am very disappointed to see this new proposal, particularly after (i) the refusal of a similar proposal two years ago, and (ii) the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area (the CCA).</p> <p>The current application, virtually unchanged bare since the previous one, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, a building which is now also in the CCA and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>My understanding is that the Council instituted the CCA, which would normally prevent high rise buildings being constructed, and then relaxed the rules to allow for such construction. This seems extraordinary to me and I am puzzled as to what has prompted this change in approach. In any event in this case proceeding with the development would be very much to the detriment of a historic and beautiful building which has been the flagship place of worship for the Jewish Community for over 300 years.</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kay	Deborah	<p>The extent/height of this building will block out the natural light from the listed heritage building Bevis Marks which will substantially negatively impact the experience of visitors and synagogue users.</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sawdaye	Michael	<p>I am writing to formally object to the proposed construction of a tower that would cast a shadow over the courtyard of the synagogue.</p> <p>On a personal note, I held my wedding ceremony in this very courtyard on a beautiful sunny August day. The abundant natural light contributed significantly to the special memories created for myself, my family, and our friends. A lasting sense of joy and light is associated with this space.</p> <p>The proposed tower development, by blocking sunlight from reaching the courtyard, would significantly alter and potentially diminish the character of this important space. The loss of natural light would be a real detriment to the functionality and beauty of the courtyard, a place that holds significance for countless individuals and serves as a venue for various events.</p> <p>Therefore, I strongly object to the granting of permission for this development. The potential negative impact on the synagogue's courtyard, a space steeped in light and cherished memories, outweighs any perceived benefits of the proposed tower.</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Raphael	Jeremy	<p>I am deeply concerned over the impact of light and the architectural mismatch with the Grade 1 listed Bevis Marks Synagogue. Like others have said, planning permission would not be granted for such a building next to St Paul's Cathedral.</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Radus	Marc	<p>For all the reasons given to you regarding the detrimental impact to the historical treasure - Bevis Marks Synagogue.</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Leifer	Janet	Bevis Marks is very important as a historical building and for over 400 years has been a place of worship for the Jewish community. Jews still worship there. For worshippers and all visitors it is essential that it has natural light in the courtyard and it should not be overshadowed by a high tower block. London should be a city for all its inhabitants and should respect its Jewish heritage .	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morris	Joshua	Bevis Marks Synagogue is a historical landmark essential to both the Jewish community and the wider history of the city of London. The proposed development will heavily impact the synagogue's natural light.	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	ben	Ash	It will block the natural light of the Bevis Mark synagogue which is not acceptable.	NO	Public Access	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Singer	T.	This important & historic building would be totally overshadowed and lose its light by the proposed tower.	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kissin	Ellesheva	Blocking light from incredibly important heritage synagogue for London's Jewish community.	NO	Public Access	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rebak	Sandra	The building will overshoe the synagogue which is one of the oldest in the country and deserves protected status	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	Jaime	This would be an absolute travesty should it go through and should undoubtedly be rejected for permissions	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ezra	Nicola	I wish to oppose in strongest terms this new proposal, particularly after the refusal two years ago and the adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. I was utterly shocked by this new application, which hardly differs frpm the previous and is completely inconsistent with the conservation of this Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most iconic historical Jewish site in the UK. The overwhelming feeling is that the new tower, to the Synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This utterly destructive proposal - metres from British Jewry's iconic, deeply historical religious monumental Synagogue, is totally unacceptable, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. Please urgently ensure that this renewed application is rejected.	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Assor	Marc	The construction of a 44 storeys building will deprave the synagogue from natural light . The synagogue is an historical building and the new tower will denature completely the sight.	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jacob	Deni	It will ruin and block all light into the landmark that is bevis marks synagogue	NO	Public Access	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gartner	Moira	It saddens me to think that such a building would be considered to be erected in front of this beautiful listed building. The loss of light, and the feeling of such an overwhelmingly imposing building is really not appropriate. Please do not build this here.	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Davidson	Pamela	I feel that the proposed 43 storey high tower would be out of character with some of the historical landmarks in the area. In particular, it would dwarf the unique and beautiful Bevis Marks synagogue and reduce its natural light. On these grounds, I urge the committee to turn down the application.	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cowan	Natasha	31 BURY STREET I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA) of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application. Best Regards Natasha Cowan	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fish	Adam	This building will overshadow some historically and religiously significant properties. Blocking the natural light that is vital for these spaces to operate and exist in the same fashion as they have for over 150 years.	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wick	Sandra	I object to the threat of cutting the light to one of the oldest synagogues in Britain by this proposed building. It will be way too crowded. I strongly object.	NO	Public Access website	07-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Davidson	Laoise	This deelopment would negatively affect the amenity of Bevis Marks Synagogue, a very important part of the Jewish Community in London	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	P	Be d	It is an inappropriate proposal and denies the synagogue of ancient right of light. Must not g ah	NO	Public Access	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gocman	Mike	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm [a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am] appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	ISAAC	SAMUEL	This will obstruct the light, view and environment surrounding Bevis Marks synagogue, one of the oldest synagogues in London. Developers only care about profit in this instance and not about the local area.	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Yanovich	Leonid	<p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is the oldest continuously used synagogue in Britain, dating from 1701. a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance, being in effect the cathedral church of Judaism. It has suffered tall buildings being erected around it. However, the very important southern sky view remains unobstructed, enabling the moon and the stars to be viewed from the courtyard. The area now being designated a Conservation Area.</p> <p>43 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue. It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral. It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue and contributes to religious ritual, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. It would further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible. The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area, damaging the setting of other heritage assets. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. The City Corporation is being disingenuous: claiming to recognise the importance of the synagogue, yet simultaneously facilitating this monstrous development. We are entitled to expect much higher standards from Britain's most prestigious local authority. The developers are arguing that they are providing benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. These benefits do not stand up to scrutiny. Many are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building. The City Corporation is required to identify locations suitable for tall buildings. Much more work to be done and assessed by an independent inspector. This is unlikely to be complete before late 2025.</p>	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Blake	Nena	<p>As a significant building in the history of Jewish life in London, I object strongly to this plan which will effectively put a stop to Jews being able to use it for regular worship. A past proposal has already been rejected and nothing in this current plan appears to change the reasons that it should go ahead. My sister was married in Bevis Marks synagogue; the event was unparalleled and very beautiful. Why should future couples be denied this glorious setting to mark their special day? Please do not pass this request to destroy such a special, unique and significant feature of London's history, which is effectively what will happen if it is not allowed to continue to be used due to lack of light and being overshadowed by modern monstrosities.</p>	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Harris	Frank	<p>This application should be not receive permission to proceed as it will impact on an historic City of London 'living' cultural landmark. The Corporation of London should be proud to save its heritage for future generations rather than allow the greed of developers to destroy its greatest assets.</p>	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	taylor	Katherine	It will impede the worship and access of the historic London Jewish community to the Bevis Mark synagogue, overlooking and blocking out natural light to existing properties including residential.	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Marks MBE	Ella	The proliferation of tall buildings in this conservation area is ruining the whole ethos of the neighbourhood	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lane	Charlotte	The impact on the synagogue is significant, this is a historic building and a place of worship and contravenes what is rightly a conservation area.	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lanceman	Stuart	It is a travesty being done to a historical building.	NO	Public Access	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Eden	Bryan	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of British Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application</p>	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Tresman	Janet	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of the British Jewish community. Also, I serve as a Deputy on the Board of Deputies of British Jews, as well as being a committee member of another Charity. I am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important and precious Jewish heritage site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's oldest synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application</p>	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	stanton	chaim	<p>It would affect the light in the nearby synagogue, which because it is so old depends on natural light. The nearby synagogue is the oldest in the UK. I don't understand why the developers are trying again. The synagogue will oversize the new building.</p> <p>It would block the view of the moon which could affect things like "kiddish levana" blessing of the new moon.</p> <p>It would create additional wind in the courtyard as well.</p>	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Davidson	Anthony	<p>As a proud Londoner for over 60 years I am distraught that a building such as the one proposed would even be considered. Notwithstanding the physical restrictions it would impose on Bevis Marks Synagogue (eliminating the southern sky view, restricting light, making the courtyard - often used for various religious and celebratory events and services, etc.) a 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. One would certainly, and correctly, not allow such a structure to be built next to any house of worship. Furthermore, if I understand correctly, the development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area and planning policy does not allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, would the proposal not be in direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>As a Jewish Londoner, I have had occasion to attend services in the Synagogue and it is inconceivable that a house of worship of such historical and functional importance should have it's practical and spiritual functionality compromised to the extent that it would make it at best difficult and at worst almost impossible to continue observance of all the prayers, services and celebrations that take place there.</p>	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	seymour	tony	I wish to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I am shocked and appalled to see this new proposal, especially after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application has hardly changed since the last time it was submitted, which is disgraceful. It is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, and would block out the religiously important southern sky-view. It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, which would result in significant loss of its remaining light. This proposal should not be permitted metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, especially along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application. ==	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Antian	Raymond	I am an attender at services and not only would the proposed work cause terrible inconvenience to myself and other worshippers, it would also be difficult and dangerous to access and use the synagogue premises for people of my age and older. I cannot imagine the possibility of destruction that may ensue, accidentally or criminally. The synagogue was here long before the planning application for over 300 years. The synagogue building therefore demands your respect and begs you to consider far more suitable alternatives. STOP THE DEVELOPMENT!	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sasto	Daniel	Hello. I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). My family are long-standing members of the Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community, and I am disappointed and saddened to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. As a Chartered Surveyor myself, I look at this new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, and quite clearly see that it is not in keeping with the safeguarding of a centuries-old Grade-1 listed building, which is in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower (as with the previously rejected planning application), would completely and utterly dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This would seriously endanger the safeguarding and ongoing operations of the synagogue, and suffice, to say, this kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue. As such, I strongly urge you to refuse this application without delay.	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hart	Paul	It is of great historical importance as it is the first synagogue in England 17th century Due to religious observance it will not allow sunlight to be seen at the start and end of our services	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stone	Robert	Two years ago planning permission was denied for a 48 story tower on this site mainly because of its extremely detrimental impact on the Grade-I listed Bevis Marks Synagogue. Now there is an application for a 45 story tower. This is a negligible change and the impact on this historic building - and no less importantly, on the Jewish community that uses it - will be equally devastating. It will still block out the view and significantly reduce the the light available to the building. Please refuse this terrible betrayal of a building central to the history of British Jewry, and still important for the UK Jewish Community, (and celebrated and honoured only last year by a commemoration led by the Archbishop of Canterbury)	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Krantz	Martyn	Synagogue needs natural light	NO	Public Access	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Blain	Barry	I am writing to object strongly to application 24/00021/FULEIA, the demolition of Bury House and partial demolition of Holland House and Renown House, and the erection of a 43-storey building on these sites. A similar application was refused in 2022, one reason given being the overbearing and overshadowing impact of that (smaller) development, which would adversely affect the setting of the Grade 1 listed Bevis Marks Synagogue and its setting. The synagogue was built in 1701, is a Grade 1 Listed Building, is probably the oldest synagogue in Europe to have remained in continuous use, and is the only non-Christian house of worship in the City. It has only limited electric lighting, and the interior relies on candles plus daylight from the windows which look on to the area of the proposed construction. The projected skyscraper would block much of the natural light through the windows, leaving the inside dark and gloomy. The development would be overbearing and oppressive because of its height and its proximity, and severely harm the historic setting. The City of London Local Plan January 2015 requires that development in conservation areas will only be permitted if it preserves and enhances the character or appearance of the conservation area. The loss of heritage assets that make a positive contribution to the character or appearance of a conservation area will be resisted. It further identifies that in the design of new buildings or alteration of existing buildings, developers should have regard to the size and shape of historic building plots, existing street patterns, etc. The proposed development is of course within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. The current proposals would simply destroy 300 years of history. As a final consideration, it must be acknowledged that City already hosts many office blocks which are partially or completely empty, and there is absolutely no need for yet another. I hope the application is refused.	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Platt KC	Eleanor	i oppose this application on behalf of the local Synagogue which is an historic monument and would be greatly affected by this proposal. This new application has barely changed since the last time it was submitted. The suggested new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Frankel	Rachel	We oppose building a 45-storey tower that will block the air and light from London's oldest synagogue. We're aware that Jewish history and culture count for very little in today's world, and we also realise that isn't much that thoughtful people can do in the face of big money to preserve something of great cultural importance.. We just wonder how you would feel if your church, the one you were married in, the one your ancestors was baptised in - what if rich and powerful people built tower blocks on all sides? Would you go home to your mother, your grandmother, your wife, to say that you had approved the ruin of a place dear to their personal histories? Jews have very little tangible history left. For so long the rich and powerful have denied them this, and here is yet one more example of a kind of thoughtless, evil theft that has affected so many Jewish people over so many centuries. This is just one voice, but we are working hard to ensure that thousands of others speak up and force the end of this terrible, selfish decision.	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Kruger	Doreen	I wish to object to this application. Bevis Marks synagogue is a Grade 1 listed building of significant historic importance and this monstrous development is totally unacceptable. It would dominate the synagogue, and its courtyard, and deprive it of its natural light thereby utterly destroying its unique spiritual atmosphere and rendering the interior almost unusable. Would such a proposal even be considered alongside St Paul's? It would be unthinkable. This unique synagogue must be afforded the same protection.	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Zekaria	Ezra	With reference to application ref. 24/00021/FULEIA, I object to this development in the strongest possible terms and I urge the refusal of it. This massive and dominant building would block out vital light from the south for Bevis Marks synagogue and its courtyard; one of the oldest places in worship, a jewel in the crown of the Jewish faith in the UK and also across the world. This is a Grade 1 listed building in the designated Creechchurch conservation area. Such a proposal would desecrate a holy and religious place vital for the community, and amount to an architectural and planning travesty. The original decision to refuse this application was the correct one, and no attempt by the developer to come back again should be considered.'	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rosenberg	Philip	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of British Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Bevis Marks, as the oldest synagogue in continued use in the UK, has a special status to our community, and to the wider public. Designed by a Quaker architect in an act of inter-religious solidarity, it bears tribute to so much that is good about this country, in terms of diversity and inclusion. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. The whole situation bears a striking resemblance with the story of the Tower of Babel in Genesis Chapter 11, where hubris led vain people to build a tall tower in defiance of the Divine Will. I urge the developers to recall that, in that case, G-d's response caused them to "cease to build", which is what should also happen here. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gerrard	Rachel	This Synagogue is part of my heritage and was my Great grand parents and my Grandparents synagogue. It holds great important to me as a Jew	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wernick	Richard	Such a new building will have a detrimental effect on the area surrounding Bevis Mark Synagogue with no appreciable gain for worshippers or visitors	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Loewenthal	Adrienne	I object to the proposed development as it will overshadow a building of historic importance (Bevis Marks synagogue) and take away its natural light. The synagogue is not only of great importance to the Jewish community as it was the first synagogue in London and is still a functioning synagogue but it also has huge historic value to the greater community. Any development around the synagogue should be within keeping of retaining the synagogue's integrity and this one will not	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lorie	Miriam	Bevis Marks is a historic and spiritual gem in the heart of the City. It should not be further overshadowed and crowded in, just for the sake of commercial profit. Our City is better than this,	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Marks-Bluth	Jonathon	I wish to object to the building of the proposed 43 storey tower at 31 Bury Street. The proposed building would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. I regularly attend services at Bevis Marks synagogue, I was married there as were my parents-in-law and wife's sister. The synagogue is of enormous importance to my community, the broader British Jewish community and British history. As I understand the situation, the development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. Keeping to such plans is vital for local authorities, so as to ensure public confidence in the transparency and fairness of such planning scheme. Further, as work trends change and fewer people commute into the City daily, I doubt the necessity and wisdom of allowing the construction of further large tower blocks in the City, as they have a good chance of being significantly underutilized. This would further add to the decline of the City's urban environment. Best, Jonathon Marks-Bluth	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Abrahams	Carole	I am writing on behalf of Catford & Bromley Synagogue, which I represent on the Board of Deputies of British Jews. We are seriously opposed to application (24/00021/FULEIA) which is hardly altered from the previous proposal, which was refused 2 years ago. Although we are situated in the London Borough of Lewisham, the beautiful Bevis Marks Synagogue, which is the only synagogue in the City of London, is part of our heritage as Jewish Londoners. The synagogue is an important part of the history of London, having been visited by Samuel Pepys and mentioned in his diaries. Bevis Marks is a Grade 1 listed building in a conservation area. The proposed tower, if permitted, will dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application	NO	Public Access website	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levy	Mark	Protect Jewish historical sites!!	NO	Public Access	08-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Tenenbaum	Linda	A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection? My daughter was married in the synagogue 20 years , nowhere else provided such an amazing atmosphere and bevis marks holds a rich heritage for future generations. Don't knock heritage, don't destroy because you can.	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goldenberg	Philip	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of British Jewish community, and spent much of my my working life in the City of London. I am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after the refusal of its similar predecessor two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application is wholly out of keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important historic Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Grubin	Helen	Bevis Marks has recently been placed within a conservation area. Despite this, a new skyscraper is proposed in its vicinity. It is not much lower than a proposal that was dismissed a couple of years ago. This new scheme will not only affect Bevis Marks in a negative way, impacting on its light and viability, but also changing the City for the worse. If accepted, the conservation area will see yet another tower and there are already a number which do not have full occupancy. The proliferation of towers with little thought given to their use or impact on the fabric of the city should be resisted. Bevis Marks has been standing for over three hundred years. The same is not true of some of the skyscrapers in the city which have a relatively short life before being deemed too old to refurbish. Bevis Marks is a functioning synagogue and provides a service not only to the Jewish community. It should be well protected, not undermined by this new proposal.	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Addlestone	Rafi	The tower along the synagogue's southern horizon would destroy the setting of Bevis Marks synagogue, which is so important to traditional ritual and to what makes the synagogue a community hub, and would reduce the synagogue's natural light levels beyond usability.	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dinkin	Raymond	This development would ruin the environment and light of Bevis Marks Synagogue which is an important and historic building.	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jay-Brown	Chelsey	This proposal of a 45 storey tower a stone's throw from Britain's 300 year old Grade 1 Listed Bevis Marks synagogue would destroy the setting of the synagogue, which is so important to traditional ritual and to what makes the synagogue a community hub and it would reduce the synagogue's natural light levels beyond usability.	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levy	Neville	As a user of the Synagogue with long family connections, the loss of light would be a disaster for the synagogue and the multi cultural heritage of the City of London. Please ensure this application is refused.	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shaw	Barry	Why are you contemplating to allow a building to be erected, which will take away light , privacy and a community buidind that is a hub for a religion for many years.	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jay	Alison	This is a very old and important synagogue. What is proposed will affect it so much. Please DON'T do this	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Green	A.	London is all about the juxtaposition of old and new buildings. New modern buildings help to highlight old buildings, rather than detract from them. This is the case all over the City of London where historic buildings sit perfectly fine side-by-side with modern buildings. The debate needs to be based on facts, not on scaremongering. It's important that all the stakeholders in the City of London are heard, not just the group that shouts loudest. This new building has important benefits to businesses and employment in the area and should go ahead.	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Martin	Howard	As a worshiper at Bevis Marks Synagogue since the age of 3 (now 63), I cannot believe that the City is allowing another application on this site. The function and sustainability of the Cathedral Synagogue of UK Jewry is once again threatened. Would this building be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral? Of course not. The proposal will restrict the light I need to read my prayers at services. Many others have poor eye sight and depend on the natural light. It would also badly affect our use of the historic courtyard, creating a feeling of being totally enclosed and putting the courtyard in darkness. And all of this is proposed in the same year as the educational and cultural Visitor Centre is opening. This proposal is an act of architectural vandalism with its impact on the Synagogue, courtyard and surrounding conservation area. What on earth is the City of London playing at? Bevis Marks is a grade 1 listed site, in a conservation area and at a time of anti semitism a precious jewel for Anglo and world Jewry. It's time for the City councillors to do your job and reject this kind of development once and for all.	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Flaxington	Frances	Serious concerns about the impact on the Bevis Marks synagogue, a building of significant historical, religious and cultural significance. This includes the reduction of light and affecting the southern aspect view.	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wheatley	Mark	I believe that this proposal is insensitive to the cultural, historical and religious significance of Bevis Marks synagogue. The proposal causes consternation to members of the synagogue because of issues with disruption during and after construction. I object to it.	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	kwasnik	sefton	I live in the north of England, I have visited the Bevis Marks Synagogue, both for religious reasons and cultural ones. I strongly object to this application of for a high storey commercial building being constructed so near to Bevis Marks Synagogue, the impact of which would be disastrous for a place of worship, let alone the fact that this synagogue is an incredible heritage site, being the oldest synagogue in the UK. The mere thought of this seems unconscionable especially in this day and age. I have visited a number of ancient synagogues throughout Europe, including those in Budapest, Venice, Berlin ,Prague and also on the Greek Islands. It is wholly apparent that in all of those locations the community has sought to preserve and promote the importance of the original structure and presence of the building to keep alive the enormous history that such places of worship embody. They go to the heart of understanding the growth of the community and its persecution through the centuries, and in my very respectful submission the buildings and immediate surrounds should be left as close to the original presentation as is possible. Any development whether commercial or otherwise will deprive the entire community, and the world the opportunity to fully appreciate the significant history that this building represents. It would appear that over and above these observations the plans for the proposed buildings will no doubt have a significant adverse effect on the very important aspect of natural light within the holy place of worship. I would also expect that commercial developments nearby would dilute the history surrounding the building	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Patchick	Jonathan	I strongly object. As a member of the Jewish Community in Manchester who has had the privilege of praying at Bevis Marks synagogue when I have come to London. This grade 1 listed building is unique to the Jewish Community in the UK. Overshadowing the courtyard as proposed will restrict the light for praying and as a religion based on the lunar calendar and lunar activity will restrict visibility and again interfere with the ability to conduct service/ritual that has been able to be conducted at this site since circa 1700. It is shocking that our Capital City planners are even to be considering such a proposal affecting such an iconic and unique synagogue; this proposal must not go ahead as it is tantamount to destruction of religious practices that current and past generations would want to pass on to future generations to be able to pray at Bevis Marks.	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Avisror	Elaine	This will ruin the Synagogue by reducing the natural light beyond usability	NO	Public Access	09-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Del Monte	Richard	<p>I wish to object to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I am a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community. I have regularly worshipped at Bevis Marks Synagogue and was married there. I strongly object to this new proposal, particularly as a similar proposal two years ago was rejected.</p> <p>1. The proposed development, like the previous proposal, conflicts with the conservation of the Synagogue, which is a Grade 1 listed building and is now also in the Creechchurch Conservation Area established by the City Corporation only a few months ago. The City Corporation rightly rejected the 2022 application and should do the same again now.</p> <p>2. There is no point in creating a conservation area and then allowing serious harm to one of the few remaining heritage buildings in that area.</p> <p>3. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, diminishing its remaining light. The prospect of the sky above is an important part of the heritage ambience of the entrance and courtyard area within the immediate precincts of the synagogue</p> <p>4. The proposed development will have a substantial negative impact on the heritage significance of the Synagogue. It would completely dwarf the synagogue.</p> <p>5. The foundations of the Synagogue are also highly sensitive and vulnerable. Should development of these office buildings progress there is a potential for significant damage occurring to the building.</p> <p>6. The Synagogue is the most important Jewish site in the UK. It is an asset for the City of London, and is of national significance. This kind of proposal would not now be accepted within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just yards from the oldest synagogue in the UK (which is even older than St Paul's), particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Warden	Angela	<p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is built in the style of the Sephardi Synagogue in Amsterdam and is of great historic importance not only to the life and culture of the Sephardic Jewish community in London and UK but also the entire nation. My great great grandfather David Aaron de Sola was one of its most famous ministers. His son Samuel de Sola, was elected in 1863 to succeed him as minister of the Bevis Marks.</p> <p>Yet another skyscraper in the City of London where there is a surfeit of unused office space because of increased working from home is not desirable. A clear space around such a building of great architectural importance overrides any overdevelopment in the area.</p> <p>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/David_de_Aaron_de_Sola</p> <p>Bevis Marks is a stunningly beautiful and historic synagogue in an already congested and unsympathetic environment. The proposed building would vastly overwhelm and overshadow this unique patch of London's multicultural history. Roughly the same age as St Paul's Cathedral, Bevis Marks should be given its due respect and share of sunshine.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hall	Rachel	<p>Bevis Marks is a stunningly beautiful and historic synagogue in an already congested and unsympathetic environment. The proposed building would vastly overwhelm and overshadow this unique patch of London's multicultural history. Roughly the same age as St Paul's Cathedral, Bevis Marks should be given its due respect and share of sunshine.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Weichselbaum	Aaron	<p>As someone who has enjoyed the hospitality of Bevis Marks Synagogue and celebrated my son's marriage to his wife there I would want the Synagogue to be equally available to them with the same splendour and significance as it has been for over 300 years. Any diminution of light will not only detract from the ambience of the Synagogue itself but will also prevent worshippers from observing the customary monthly blessings over a new Moon from within the grounds of the Synagogue itself. In the same way as one would not wish to see any historic place of worship dwarfed and obscured by an unsightly and unnecessarily enormous structure along it, I trust those entrusted with making the final decision will acknowledge and accept that this is a step too far and once and for all decide to abandon any further thought of threatening a part of our history and heritage.</p> <p>One also fears for the structural of Bevis Marks Synagogue itself with the amount of drilling and excavation that will be necessary to build a four storey basement below ground level. I write as someone who has had experience of damage to my previous property occasioned by a neighbour's works two doors away building a basement one level below ground.</p> <p>As with all such works, the on-going impact will be detrimental to the Synagogue and worshippers who will have to put up with significant disruption, noise and pollution - rendering it impossible for meaningful prayer and celebratory events.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Collins	Geneene	<p>It is appalling that this beautiful and listed building, Bevis Marks Synagogue, should be threatened by a plan to build yet another vast office block which, in all probability, will be redundant before it's built as demand for office space reduces. Canary Wharf is a good example of oversupply.</p> <p>We should be doing everything we can to protect this beautiful, unique building which is part of the history of the city.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	caplin	esther	<p>The proposed building will overwhelm the grade 1 listed Bevis Marks, which is a significant and important historic building. This was built in the 18th century and represents the freedom of a minority group to worship and become proud residents of London. The proposed building will block worshippers views of the sky as well as dominate the environment.</p> <p>We must preserve heritage, and this means not only buildings but environments too. The City of London could lose all its magic with development of this kind.</p> <p>Why does the City need a tower block this tall? These are not sustainable. We are surely now in an era of human scale not corporate scale - and this sort of building is now out-of-date. Surely the City's planners are sufficiently progressive to see this? We might destroy everything for short-term gain, which cannot be what the City of London wants.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Woolf	Martyn	<p>As the owner of the site of the proposed building is clearly only interested in his profit, would it be possible to find out how much he expects, or hopes to make, from this development.</p> <p>Perhaps the public may be prepared to contribute to a fund to satisfy the owner's expectations.</p> <p>I feel sure that many would support his plans to build a villa on the Riviera, or a new super yacht. That way, the general public may be able to continue to enjoy this area of the City without a further intrusive monument to one man's greed.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Sackman	Paloma	<p>I strongly object to this application.</p> <p>I have been a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community. I regularly attend and many of my family have celebrated their weddings there. Bevis Marks Synagogue is the jewel in the crown of this country's Jewish community and one of which the City of London should be proud and anxious to preserve.</p> <p>The current application has barely changed from the developer's original application in 2022, to which I also objected. In the context of the setting of the synagogue, the difference between a 45-storey tower and the previous 48-storey tower is negligible. The proposed development, like the original proposal, is totally at odds with the conservation of a Grade 1 listed building, which is now also in the Creechchurch Conservation Area established by the City Corporation only a few months ago.</p> <p>The new tower would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard and crucially reduce the natural light illuminating the synagogue's interior, materially impairing the environment in which religious services take place and have done so continuously since 1701. Further, the incorporation of 4 basement levels in the new building would risk damaging the very structure of the 323-year old synagogue.</p> <p>The City Corporation rightly rejected the 2022 application and should do the same again now; there are more than enough tall buildings in the area. What is the point of creating a conservation area and then allowing irreparable harm to one of the few remaining heritage buildings in that area? Such a proposal would never be entertained near St. Paul's Cathedral and should not be permitted just yards from the most important Jewish building in Britain.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Martin	William	<p>I have been worshipping at Bevis Marks Synagogue since I was a young boy, and once again the function and sustainability of the synagogue is being put at risk by this application.</p> <p>This proposal proposes severe restrictions to the light I need to read my prayers at services on the Sabbath and holy festival days. In addition, it would have negative impact to our use of the courtyard, shrouding an important area of community and togetherness in darkness.</p> <p>At a time when anti-semitism in London is at record levels, we need our safe spaces. This is a Grade 1 listed site in a conservation area; the space and its worshippers must be respected by rejecting this kind of development once again.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kass	Harvey	I totally support the well argued case set out in the Bevin Marks objection.	NO	Public Access	10-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	S	Adam	I object strongly to the proposed development, which is fundamentally unchanged from previously submitted plans.	NO	Public Access website	10-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Clemence	Sara	We should protect our historic buildings and protect the ability to worship. Ridiculous that this has already been turned down and it is being resubmitted. Pure folly.	NO	Public Access website	10-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kamenker	Victor	<p>I vehemently object to the construction of a new, 45-story office tower adjacent to the historic Bevis Marks synagogue.</p> <p>There are 10 new office blocks scheduled to go up in the City of London by 2030. With return to office uncertain and plenty of vacant office space in Canary Wharf and elsewhere in Zone 1, what is the imperative behind the construction at 31 Bury Street, with its outsize impact on the Spanish and Portuguese Jewish community?</p> <p>Bevis Marks is a Grade 1 listed building - the positives of another office construction adjacent, on balance, will not benefit the neighbourhood and will adversely impact the Congregation (whose members are part of the most discriminated against minority group in history). There will be reductions to the Synagogue's naturally occurring light, which will limit worshipper's ability to see prayer books in the building; the courtyard will be fully enveloped by skyscrapers - rendering its use as a communal space moot; and due to security constraints and the already semi-hidden location of the Synagogue, worshippers and congregants risk being pushed further underground.</p> <p>Would we allow such a development to negatively impact any other religious group -- adjacent, say, to St. Paul's or the Central London Mosque? Why is it thus under consideration for the second time in as many years to threaten the conservation area surrounding Bevis Marks Synagogue?</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Davis	Robin	<p>This would have a very significant impact on Bevis Marks Synagogue, a Grade 1 listed building which has a great cultural significance.</p> <p>The application, should it be successful, would overshadow the courtyard and block the sky from the South.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Isaacs	Edward	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of British Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Grunwald OBE KC	Henry	<p>I am amazed that this matter is even being considered, given the recent refusal of an almost identical plan. I object, as a Londoner who lived his early life just outside the City boundaries and who has, on the whole, been happy with the way the City has been developed. I am a member of the London Jewish community - Bevis Marks is the most important living symbol of our centuries-old links with, and involvement in, City of London life</p> <p>My reasons are:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Such a tall tower would utterly overwhelm the synagogue, a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. 2) It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's Cathedral. Why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection? 3) The proposed building may be well-designed but is simply in the wrong place. 4) It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and of the stars and planets would be blocked. 5) It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. 6) It would further reduce the minimal daylight that now penetrates the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible. 7) The development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy does not allow tall buildings in such an Area; there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. 8) The developers argue that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. These benefits do not stand up to scrutiny. 9) The proposed building would also damage the setting of other heritage assets in the local area and would compromise the Conservation Area as a whole. 	NO	Public Access website	10-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Braham	Syd	<p>I strongly oppose this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>As a member of the UK Jewish community I dismayed at this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This latest application, is marginally different from the previous submission; it is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and the synagogue is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, almost blocking its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would surely never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted so close to British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>Please refuse this application</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Northcote	Jane	<p>As a City resident and worker I object to this proposal. The proposed new building will overshadow a Grade 1 listed building and relegate it to a chasm. The City of London is rightly proud of its cultural sites. It is wrong, and against the City's own policies, to treat such an important religious and cultural site in this negligent way.</p> <p>The existing building in Bury Street, a mere 40 years old, could be re-fitted, which would be in accordance with the City's own "retrofit first" policy and carbon neutral aims. If this policy is to be disregarded, does the policy have any meaning at all?</p> <p>The proposed building is in a conservation area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>At a time when the City should be respecting diversity and culture within the Square Mile this proposal disregards the needs of a specific faith group, who have used this location for centuries. The proposal consigns the Jewish people who use this synagogue to worship in a chasm. This does not meet their needs. As a citizen of the City, I am appalled that the applicants are treating people in this way, with a lack of respect for their traditions. We want all faiths to flourish and feel welcome here. I value the diversity of cultural and religious institutions in the City, and want them to continue to operate in the future, for the benefit of everybody. This proposal threatens the very existence of an institution which is over 300 years old. It is not simply a building, but the location of a living tradition, dependent on people, light and space in order to fulfil its purpose. The light must not be removed: for that would degrade its usefulness and purpose. The synagogue is an amenity not only for Jewish people, but also an important part of London's living history, valuable for all Londoners.</p> <p>This proposal must be rejected, and the applicants instructed not to try again.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Szasz	Michael	<p>The historical value and cultural significance of this place of worship, being the oldest continuously used synagogue in the country, cannot be underestimated. It is not only the home of the Sephardi community in this country but a centre of tourism for many around the world. If it were any other equivalent religious centre, it would be held in the highest esteem by the community and protected from the severe damage that this project would undoubtedly cause.</p> <p>The proposed plan to build a structure of this size, at this site, would negatively affect the quality of life for those worshipping at Bevis Marks and interfere with a number of essential practices and traditions.</p> <p>Bevis Marks represents not just bricks and mortar but the very heart of British Sephardi Jewry. It has survived for hundreds of years in spite of all the odds and this proposed project would cause irreparable harm. Therefore, I object to the project.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fineberg	Mark	<p>It is preposterous to disingenuously suggest that the urban cliff edge cumulative condition caused by 100 Fenchurch St between the city tower block mass and the Tower of London would benefit from 'mediation' by a so called 'foothill' stepping-down building as a rouse for justifying inappropriate eastward extension of an uncomfortably bloated cluster of skyscrapers. The slight carving away of its uppermost NE corner of the proposal is a minimal concession compared to its overbearing height and impact on the historically sensitive Bevis Marks synagogue. The synagogue is protected by virtue of it being in a conservation area and the obfuscating impact assessment diminishes the significant harm that the development will have on it. This internationally renowned house of prayer and contemplation draws significant emotional awe from its quality of light and glimpses of the sky which will be lost when blocked by the new building. It was established previously that the development benefits do not outweigh the substantial harm to the synagogue's setting and this remains the case.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Persky	Sarah	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm very disappointed to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Motz	Timothy	<p>I am a proud British Jew. I wear my identities with pride. It takes work for me to balance them - to avoid cutting myself off from my Jewishness or from mainstream society - but it's more than worth it. But my existence requires something back from the rest of society. The Jewish community is precarious, by dint of its tiny size and its history. The City of London contains 46 churches but only one synagogue. When Bevis Marks's very character is endangered for the sake of a planning application, it's hard for not me to question the Corporation's real commitment to being a truly welcoming place for all faiths.</p> <p>The proposed development would seriously erode the character of Bevis Marks synagogue and the quality of ritual that is feasible inside.</p>	NO	Public Access website	11-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Saady	Stephen	<p>Synagogue Grade 1 listed, Britain's oldest, is in constant use by people from within and outside UK. It stands in a newly approved conservation area taking in Bury Street, to protect the synagogue from hazards posed by new office developments. I urge you to reject this recent application, as was done re an earlier building proposal for the same site. This synagogue is one of worldwide status. To force it to close would be no less than a tragedy.</p>	NO	Public Access website	11-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fink	Rachel	<p>It is incomprehensible that there continues to be disregard for the preservation and conservation of this historic synagogue which is of importance both to the Jewish community as the oldest functioning synagogue in Europe and to the City of London. The diminishing natural light has a direct impact on worshippers and on the internal beauty of the synagogue. Bevis Marks plays a vital role in the local community that resides in the city and these continuous threats to such an historic site are a blight on the integrity of the corporation. This Grade 1 listed building is being overwhelmed despite promises for a proper conservation and protective area around its location. There are more than enough high rise blocks in the city.</p>	NO	Public Access website	11-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Hilary	<p>I strongly oppose the new development which will impact the Synagogue's natural light. Bevis Marks is very important as a historical building and the Jewish community have worshipped there for over 400 years and still do. It should definitely not be overshadowed by a high tower block.</p>	NO	Public Access website	11-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shenkin	Lawrence	<p>Bevis Marks synagogue is an important historical and cultural site. Overshadowing its natural light would be a tragedy.</p>	NO	Public Access website	11-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Singer	Helen	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of the Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	11-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Grzywacz	Andrew	<p>The proposed skyscraper is being heavily opposed by persons associated with the synagogue at Bevis Marks, with the aim of refusing planning permission.</p> <p>Such a synagogue is located in a secluded courtyard surrounded by offices on all sides, accessible only through a small archway that is easily missed by tourists and other passers-by. Externally, it is quite a plain building of no greater architectural merit than many of the neoclassical structures in the vicinity. The synagogue does not, therefore, provide a significant cultural offering to the City beyond religious services to its attendees. (Note that such services would be unaffected by this new development: the issue of natural light within the synagogue has been addressed by the most recent redesign.)</p> <p>The City Plan 2040 aims to create 1.2 million square metres of office space, and turn the square mile into a seven-day cultural and leisure destination. Comparing what the proposed skyscraper offers to these ends against the limited contributions of the synagogue, it is clear that refusing permission to the former in deference to the latter would hinder the City's stated plan.</p> <p>The suggested compromise of retrofitting the existing building at Bury House would provide insufficient benefit, due to its lack of floor space. I therefore recommend that opposition from the synagogue is rightly overlooked, and that the proposal is approved.</p>	NO	Public Access website	11-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Aleksander	Nicholas	<p>Although I live outside the City, I come into the City to work</p> <p>Bevis Marks is a listed building and a hugely important heritage asset as the oldest place of Jewish worship in the U.K.</p> <p>Daylight is an essential quality to the continuing use of the building. And the construction of a tall tower so near to the building will harm its continuing usage.</p> <p>It is preposterous to disingenuously suggest that the urban cliff edge cumulative condition caused by 100 Fenchurch St between the city tower block mass and the Tower of London would benefit from 'mediation' by a so called 'foothill' stepping-down building as a rouse for justifying inappropriate eastward extension of an uncomfortably bloated cluster of skyscrapers. The slight carving away of its uppermost NE corner of the proposal is a minimal concession compared to its overbearing height and impact on the historically sensitive Bevis Marks synagogue. The synagogue is protected by virtue of it being in a conservation area and the obfuscating impact assessment diminishes the significant harm that the development will have on it. This internationally renowned house of prayer and contemplation draws significant emotional awe from its quality of light and glimpses of the sky which will be lost when blocked by the new building. It was established previously that the development benefits do not outweigh the substantial harm to the synagogue's setting and this remains the case.</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Charles	Michelle	<p>I am very sad to hear that you want to build a multi storey building to tower over Bevis Marks Synagogue. The essence of this congregation is all about light, how we can bring light into our world. What you propose here nullifies this building, and our community. My grandfather came to London as a refugee from Cairo and this building is the only place we have to congregate as sephardi people, that is huge. I would be happy and willing to meet with anyone if you would like to hear more about why you must appreciate our London, that is, that architecture here and what buildings are often used for (to bring people together) is essential. Your idea of a post modernist sky scraper is creative and unrelated to this building, it hinders the light and nullifies the ability to pray in community. Imagine thousands of Arab jews who had to flee and were allowed here in the UK not being allowed to pray any more and having to hide in the dark shadows instead. Would you consider building something that compliments and brings light to our neighbourhood for prayer? With nest wishes, Michelle Charles</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Chapman	Ariel	<p>Having visited Bevis Marks synagogue on multiple occasions I am outraged and disgusted that the developers believe this proposal to be in any way acceptable. Bevis Marks is an important, historic national landmark still in use today by locals and visitors. This proposed development would destroy the nature of the building, its access to natural light and the spiritual peace it offers to so many. Bevis Marks must be protected at all costs, it is simply unconscionable that such an important part of both British and Jewish history can be threatened in this way.</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ellis	Edward	<p>This scheme can surely only be objected to as a planning application and for listed building consent application on the grounds of the irreparable harm it would cause to the Bevis Marks Synagogue which is a Grade I listed designated historic asset, and the loss of significance of the Creechchurch conservation area.</p> <p>Policy DM 12.2 Development in conservation areas identifies that: Development in conservation areas will only be permitted if it preserves and enhances the character or appearance of the conservation area. Contrary to that stated in the applicants DAS Vol 1; page 14, the proposed development is within the Creechchurch conservation area. The scheme 24/00011/LBC proposes the partial demolition to facilitate interconnection with the neighbouring proposed new building and the construction of a four storey roof extension resulting in ground plus 8 storeys. The City of London Local Plan January 2015; Core Strategic Policy CS12: Historic Environment identifies the need: To conserve or enhance the significance of the City's heritage assets and their settings, and provide an attractive environment for the City's communities and visitors, by safeguarding the City's listed buildings and their settings, while allowing appropriate adaptation and new uses. I must object to the planning application and for listed building consent application on the grounds of the forever harm it would cause to the Bevis Marks Synagogue, a Grade I listed designated historic asset, and the loss of significance of the Creechchurch conservation area. The proposed development is also within the Creechchurch conservation area, and will have a significant detrimental impact on the character and appearance of the conservation area, in contravention of Policy DM 12.2. The proposal for the 43-storey tower immediately adjacent to the grade I listed Bevis Marks Synagogue will harm the exceptional significance of the Synagogue in its setting by further eroding its prominence in its immediate surroundings.</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	djanogly	david	<p>i am a member of the S&P Jews' Congregation</p> <p>this important part of history is imperative to be preserved. I was married here and regularly attend services.</p> <p>The City of London is already densely occupied with landmarks, and this is no different.</p> <p>all new development should take absolute care to protect assets of significant community interest as well as those of grade 1 status.</p> <p>the developer is approaching this speculatively, the protection for this asset of value is well documented, and their advisors have clearly been arrogant to suggest they should present something which undoubtedly harms the use and experience bevis marks synagogue.</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Latter	Carole	<p>The idea of this monstrous building being built next door to the beautiful synagogue fills me with dread. Who could possibly agree to this plan?</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Hayim	Alex	I strongly object to this proposed planning application. This will undermine the running of Bevis Marks Synagogue, a building that has been at the centre of Jewish life in London and throughout the UK for hundreds of years. This historic and beautiful building should be protected by the planning authorities today and in the future. Any attempts to develop towers that overlook Bevis Marks Synagogue and shows no consideration or understanding of the the history in this part of London should be thwarted.	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Abrahams	Bianca	This is an unacceptable building project that will completely block the light of the synagogue and destroy the building as a place of worship. It would be tragic to let the building go ahead.	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morgan	Leslie	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm an attendee at Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ballen	Russell	Wrong development in wrong area.	NO	Public Access	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brodie	Phillip	I would like to echo the other criticisms as I feel that this development ignores the treasure of historic buildings in the area. Bevis Marks represents an important part of the city and its social fabric, and must be protected at all costs as much as possible for its intended use. Please do not ignore these important factors at your peril...	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Black	Aaron	It will over shadow a historic synagogue	NO	Public Access	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hayim	Caroline	Bevis Marks Synagogue is a jewel in the City and as such I am firmly against any proposed planning application which threatens the existence of Bevis Marks as it stands today. To allow an application for towers which overlook the synagogue and surroundings would forever change this historic site. London has changed so much in the past few years. Please acknowledge the importance of preserving this outstanding unique historic building in the City of London and its present surroundings.	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brett	Richard	I object, in the strongest possible terms to this proposed development, which would have huge ramifications for historic buildings in the area - a Conservation Zone, containing the oldest synagogue in the UK. A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm Bevis Marks synagogue - a Grade 1 Listed Building of enormous historic and cultural significance that was built in 1701 and has been in continuous use as a house of worship since then. The proposed tower would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the synagogue's setting, and important for the religious rituals. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many other celestial bodies, would be blocked. The tower would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, limiting the use of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. It would also further reduce the currently limited daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship in this historic synagogue. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not practical. It is very unlikely that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's Cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection? The Bevis Marks Synagogue has the same significance for the Jewish community as St Paul's Cathedral or Westminster Abbey have for the wider community. The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. If built, this tower would also damage the setting of other heritage assets in the local area, and would compromise the qualities of the whole Conservation Area. I therefore urge the Planning Committee to reject this proposal and thereby protect the Bevis Marks Synagogue, which would enable it to continue in use for at least the next 300 years.	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Zagoria-Moffet	Mikayla	The devastating effect this would have on the Jewish community in the area is unacceptable.	NO	Public Access	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Querfurth	N	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application. I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application'	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Posnansky	Rachel	Bevis Marks has been around for 300 years built in 1701 and is an iconic synagogue which has served its community. I got married there and would be very sad to see tower blocks built which would destroy the light as well as the surrounding area.	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Monk	Gregory	This application would endanger a historical building that is in current use and should be completely refused.	NO	Public Access website	12-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Leigh	David	The proposed 45 storey tower is an outrageous imposition which would utterly overwhelm the synagogue, a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. Furthermore, the tower would destroy the southern sky view, which is a vital part of the setting of the synagogue and would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day. Other justifications put forward by the developers are a transparently cynical attempt to manipulate the planning system and circumvent the wholly justified objections of the local, national and international community. It is to be hoped that the City of London will not contemplate such a gross violation of one of its most valuable heritage and community assets.	NO	Public Access website	13-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lethbridge	Lucy	The squeezing of important historical landmarks under vast, bland, corporate modern skyscrapers is ruining the city that I have lived in all my life. All that is interesting and quirky about London's historical architecture is lost under the shadow of dreary functionalist buildings that could be found in any city in the world. And please ... let's keep the sky. Without it, the city is a place of darkness.	NO	Public Access website	13-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Strauss	Jimmy	I cannot believe that having refused building consent for a similar plan in 2022, you are contemplating granting consent for this monstrous development that would certainly be refused if it had been overlooking St. Paul's cathedral. Double standards with a hint of anti Jewish racism. For those that are unaware Bevis Marks Synagogue is a Grade 1 listed building that is over 300 years old. It continues to function as a place of worship and is a major tourist attraction. This new development will remove natural daylight and make it more difficult to hold Services in the Synagogue.	NO	Public Access website	13-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Pinto	Andrew	<p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to this new application(24/00021/FULEIA)</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks Spanish & Portuguese Jewish Community and I am appalled to see this new proposal particularly after its refusal 2 years ago and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application barely changed since it was last submitted is not in keeping with the conservative of a Grade 1 listed building which is now in the conservation area and is the most Important Jewish Site in the UK. The new tower to the synagogues immediate south would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky view and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Musikant	Kris	<p>This development will adversely affect the Bevis Marks Synagogue in the same way as the plans for this site, which were previously refused. It is a disgrace that plans for this site, so similar to the previous application are being reconsidered.</p> <p>Having recently unanimously agreed to the Conservation Plan, which afforded protection for this Grade 1 building, the City Corporation is now undermining its decision and reneging on the apparent protection the the Conservation Plan should have guaranteed. This synagogue is the only non-Christian house of worship in the square mile, standing almost unchanged for over three-hundred years and which my family have been part of for all that time - ten generations married under its auspices. St. Paul's Cathedral has protection, not only for the fabric of its building but also its site lines. The Bevis Marks Synagogue is the cathedral synagogue of the UK and should be afforded similar protection. This new / revised application should be refused.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Albert	Simon	<p>This is yet another flagrant attempt to effectively destroy the oldest continuously functioning synagogue in the UK. This tower along the synagogue building's southern horizon would destroy the setting of the synagogue - essential in traditional ritual and in making the place a community hub - and reduce natural light levels beyond usability. Please stop this and any other development like it around the synagogue and finally commit to preserving the country's irreplaceable heritage.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pervez	Imran	<p>It's a beautiful building plan providing much needed investment for the local area and so many jobs, just what we need in tough economic times. The design will add to the local area and for any complaints - they can invest in better lighting if this is an issue for the minimal amount of people actually using teh space that is objecting.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Musikant	Barry	<p>I consider that this planning application should never have reared its head again in only a slightly revised format to that which has already been rejected. It is an absolute disgrace that the City of London Planning Committee that passed the Conservation Plan which was approved so recently should be undermined. This proposed development will have serious detrimental effects on the Bevis Marks Synagogue, which is a Grade 1 listed building and should have the same protection as St. Paul's Cathedral and the Tower of London. Would you do this to those buildings?</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Peery	Alan	<p>As the oldest Jewish Synagogue of London, this religion's primary building should be recorded the same respect as St Paul's cathedral.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ramos	Rosa	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Yudkin	Michael	<p>I am astonished, and appalled, to see this application. A very similar application was refused some two years ago, and since then the area has been made a Conservation Area.</p> <p>I am a member of the Spanish and Portuguese Sephardi congregation and attend Bevis Marks synagogue, although I live very far away (in Oxford). Bevis Marks has a particular meaning for me (my wife and I were married there), but it is not on personal grounds that I oppose the application. Bevis Marks is central to the life and the history of Jews in England, and it would be intolerably painful to the Jewish community to have it overshadowed by the proposed building. But more than that, the architecture and historic importance of the synagogue are of great significance to the community as a whole, well beyond those of the Jewish faith. It's not for nothing that Bevis Marks is Grade One-Listed. Apart from the many practical problems that have been mentioned (the noise during construction, the permanent loss of light and so on) the proposed building would suffocate the historic building and its beautiful courtyard, and would destroy its context.</p> <p>I hope this wholly inappropriate building will not be allowed to go ahead.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	boujo	edward	<p>I'm strongly against this proposal. It's a very tall building that will negatively impact Bevis Marks synagogue. It will prevent a lot of natural light from coming into the synagogue and its court yard will be compromised. It's beautiful building A great wine listed building and in an A great wine listed building and in a conservation area. How it could be deemed responsible to be building such an How it could be deemed responsible to be building such a tall building in a conservation area as beyond me.</p> <p>Furthermore, from what I understand, there are many empty, office buildings and Availability for office space is strong in London , in the city of London and with Canary Wharf. I questioned the need for extra office capacity in the city of London. I would like to see the city of London makers case for more office capacity.</p> <p>Please strongly reconsider this proposal and factoring the negative impact on Bavis marks synagogue.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Chernoff	Barry	<p>There is no further need for a large tower office block in this area as there have been numerous built over the last 20 years and demand for office space is reducing as employees work both fully and partially from home.</p> <p>The 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the nearby synagogue that is a grade 1 listed religious and community building.</p> <p>It would damage the natural light that the synagogue currently receives.</p> <p>The supposed planning benefits of this development do not stand up to scrutiny.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goulding	Niall	<p>The building is of a high quality and provides a much needed visual break from the glass curtain walls of its neighbours, and it's lower height is appropriate for the tapering off of the City cluster. It will enhance views of the city when viewed from the Royal Parks.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Simons	Josephine	<p>I am very concerned about the Bevis Marks Synagogue if this goes ahead. The building would overwhelm the Synagogue, destroy the southern sky view, and overshadow its courtyard.</p> <p>There is no gain to the Community as a whole, and it would belittle even more of our London history and heritage.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	dhalawal	Mandeep	<p>This project is very well designed and is much needed. The extra office workers will help support other businesses and help the local economy. I feel the look of the project adds to the area rather than takes a way from it</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Pemberton	Nichola	I object to the building of a structure so tall within the vicinity of the important synagogue nearby. The synagogue is of cultural significance and this building would directly affect the light within and the religiously significant view.	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Albert	Brian	Destroying the invirament	NO	Public Access	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morton	Catherine	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sneader	Charlie	<p>Fails to consider the position of Bevis Marks Synagogue.</p> <p>Dear Council.</p> <p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and a regular attendee and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>I am also a Liveryman and Ward Member nearby as well as a Freeman of the City of London.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this development send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p> <p>Philip Kelvin</p>	NO	Public Access	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kelvin	Philip	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and a regular attendee and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>I am also a Liveryman and Ward Member nearby as well as a Freeman of the City of London.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this development send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p> <p>Philip Kelvin</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Peterman	David	Will stop light and overcrowd the are	NO	Public Access	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lawrence	Beverley	<p>I write as a Freeman of the City of London, and as a regular visitor to Bevis Marks Synagogue, to register my objection to yet another proposed development on the doorstep of this Grade-1 listed building.</p> <p>A Conservation Area has already been agreed to protect Bevis Marks Synagogue, so I am dismayed that this new proposal is being considered, particularly after its refusal two years ago. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. Additionally, there are concerns that construction of this tower could potentially weaken the foundations of the synagogue, and will create noise, mess and disruption for the community.</p> <p>Bevis Marks Synagogue was built in 1701, and my family worshipped there for generations. It is the ONLY non-Christian place of worship in the City, and recent excavations have shown the site to be of great historical importance. Please protect this wonderful building instead of seeking to destroy it. Do not allow it to become the victim of cultural vandalism.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morris	Malka	I cant believe that you are once again trying to undermine the decision made two years ago not to have any more tall buildings that will block natural light from reaching the historic Bevis-Marks synagogue. Shame on you! How many times do objections to your ill-founded decision to infringe upon the special significance of this 300 year old building have to be made. I strongly urge you to reconsider your plans to allow skyscrapers to be built anywhere in the vicinity of this unique preservation area. Let there remain one small section of the City of London to be preserved into the future as a unique reminder of London's extraordinary history. Thank you for considering this matter. Looking forward to a positive response.	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Somekh	Ronald	Bevis Marks is the most important synagogue in the UK. To hide it in the shadows of a tower block will be a disaster. It is the oldest synagogue in the country and it's not fair to even consider smothering it with a monster shadow.	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rubinovich	Joel	<p>Beavis Marks represents an integral part of the London Jewish community.</p> <p>It is there, and is used., not only by Londoners but also by people like myself who not only visit when in London on business but also attend at other special times.</p> <p>For example, I visited specifically at the special service honouring the accession of King Charles 111 who, I am informed, has a particular devotion to the synagogue.</p> <p>It is far too easy to demolish a property or to build one. The same cannot be said for a religious community..</p> <p>Please do not yield to the demands of commerce and development but remember that London is not a creation of modernity but exists in an aura of tradition which is cherished.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fraser	Lola	This project will affect an historical building: Bevis Marks Synagogue. It will block the light and make access constricted	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Benjamin	Janice	This synagogue is a very important building being the oldest on England.	NO	Public Access	16-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	de Sola Mendes	Eliza	<p>My family have a very long association with Bevis Marks. My 3rd great grandfather David Aaron de Sola was the Rabbi and my 4th great grandfather Haham Raphael Meldola was the Chief Rabbi of England. Bevis Marks has the longest continuous record of daily services unchanged even with WWII and the German bombings of London. No other synagogue sadly in Europe has this record. It is a great treasure not just for the Jewish community but for the legacy of the United Kingdom after Cromwell brought the Jews back to England. His Majesty, King Charles III has visited the Congregation and is Patron. Just as the area of the Tower of London is protected historic property so is Bevis Marks in its legacy for the Old City of London. The recent and ongoing encroachment of towering modern buildings in the area are a danger for many reasons for the light into the synagogue for the structural integrity of the ancient building. The City of London and the Government of the United Kingdom must protect the synagogue and reject this dangerous looming tower. There are so many other places in London they can build large towers this should not be one of them. I know that the following has been stated: A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p> <p>It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked. The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place.</p> <p>It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability. Thank you</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Warren	Martin	<p>A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p> <p>It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.</p> <p>The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place.</p> <p>It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations.</p> <p>It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>The City Corporation is required to identify locations suitable for tall buildings. It has done some work on this, but there is much more work to be done, and their work eventually has to be assessed by an independent inspector. This process is unlikely to be complete before late 2025.</p> <p>The developers are arguing that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. These benefits do not stand up to scrutiny. Many - for example, being car free, demonstrating holistic environmental design, having consolidated deliveries - are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building, and they do not represent a gain to the community.</p> <p>They propose to restore the Listed Holland House[1] and turn it over to various uses which are claimed to be of community benefit. Whilst the restoration of this building is to be welcomed, the claims about the community value of the uses must be viewed with great scepticism.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Skellon	Katherine	<p>The existing building at 21 Runn Street is only 40 years old and is capable of being refurbished and I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm not a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community, but a supporter of the synagogue and am interested in protecting its rich and important heritage. I am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Geismar	Robert	<p>Please don't ruin the historic and cultural character of the City forever by allowing completely inappropriate and self centred development.</p> <p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	roth	brian	<p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kreindler	Simon	<p>Although I do not live in London, I was born in Barbados, a country whose Jewish community has a 300 yr. connection to the Bevis Marks Synagogue. As a historian, I am deeply troubled by plans to permit demolition and construction of a new high-rise building almost on top of the Bevis Marks site. This new building and its associated services will seriously compromise the unique Bevis Marks complex and overshadow an irreplaceable part of the city's history under yet another mountain of concrete. Does anyone in your administration care about anything other than enriching the developer (and his/her associates) in their determination to complete this project?</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Silver	Lorraine	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Frojmovic	Eva	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to the application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am disappointed to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and after the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building (Bevis Marks Synagogue), which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year. Bevis Marks Synagogue is the Jewish counterpart of St. Paul's Cathedral and should be treated accordingly.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. The urban landscape around the historic synagogue would be ruined.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years? That it's all about business to the detriment of heritage?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's 'Cathedral' synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Zeilinger	Tony	<p>Poor placemaking and mitigations need to be made to let southern light access into the historic Bevis Marks Synagogue.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kandel	Susan	<p>Bevis Marks synagogue, in all its glory, has been a part of my community for hundreds of years. My great great grandparents traveled from Holland in the 1800s to settle close by and it has been part of my family's heritage ever since.</p> <p>It is a jewel in the heart of the City of London and a part of its living history. Our children and our grandchildren need to learn about the lives of their ancestors and what brought them to the City of London.</p> <p>The idea of engulfing it within a commercial development is heartbreaking. It needs its own space and its own light. The community has been praying within the walls of the Synagogue since 1701, using the light from the windows. Those windows must continue to allow the light to enter the building. Do not permit this development to happen.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Daniel	<p>It will materially affect Bevis Marks synagogue</p>	NO	Public Access	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Haynes	Michelle	<p>This building is totally out of character with Bevis Marks Synagogue and will totally overwhelm it.</p>	NO	Public Access	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Getto	Melanie	<p>Traffic or Highways</p> <p>Comments: I strongly object to this application of this 45 storey building being constructed so near to Bevis Marks Synagogue, the impact of which would be catastrophic for a place of worship, let alone the fact that this synagogue is an incredible heritage site, being the oldest synagogue in the UK. Bevis Marks is a Grade 1 listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. The height of the proposed building will have a major impact on any natural light being visible in the synagogue's courtyard and it will be dwarfed by this multi storey building. It would destroy the southern sky view which contributes to religious ritual. The proposed building is to be built in simply the wrong place. The application will hem in the synagogue, blocking and encroaching on it's very soul, taking it's view of the sky, it's light, it's peace and tranquility and essentially negatively impacting the right of peaceful worship in the UK's oldest synagogue which has held regular services continuously for more than 300 years. My brother in law's family has had a seat there since the time of Oliver Cromwell. The development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area and current planning policy is to not allow tall buildings in Conservation areas so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. The existing building at 31 Bury Street is only 40 years old and is capable of being refurbished and providing good service for many more years. Unnecessary demolition simply squanders the energy embodied in the building. The developers claims that they are providing planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue simply do not stand up to scrutiny and facilitating this monstrous development is disingenuous and would not be permitted within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral and therefore should not be permitted just metres from Britain's Jewry Cathedral synagogue.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Montagu	Isaac	<p>I am writing to oppose this new development - as I also did the last time around. This new building seems no different and I don't understand why it's allowed to be resubmitted.</p> <p>I am a member of Bevis Marks Synagogue, and grew up in the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community in its sister synagogue in Maida Vale. I have had a lifelong connection to the synagogue, as have many of its members, if not connections stretching back generations.</p> <p>I am now a musician and academic specialising in music of Sephardic Jewish communities, therefore I have this community, and the traditions I grew up with therein, to thank for my whole livelihood. For many within the community, the festive services each year in this building that people return from all of the country for, in some cases all over the world, are some of the most meaningful moments in our year.</p> <p>The historical significance of the building is also huge - not just the oldest synagogue in the UK, but the longest-running synagogue in Europe. Because of this, we have a visitor centre opening soon. With the closure during the pandemic of London's Jewish museum, this will make Bevis Marks the only public site to visit to learn about the UK's very significant Jewish community.</p> <p>This would be all be hugely impacted by this new skyscraper. It's an old, candlelit building, with big windows - the natural light is essential. If you don't believe me just go and visit - it's so so beautiful, really one of London's gems. Then reimagine it with this monster hanging over it.</p> <p>Our cultural heritage isn't worth selling off for another skyscraper.</p> <p>Thanks for your time and consideration. I strongly object to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Berk	Louis	<p>For the last 5 years I have been engaged by the Bevis Marks Heritage Foundation as the project photographer for the restoration of the synagogue and the creation of the new Dangoor Visitor Centre.</p> <p>During that time I have grown to appreciate the unique architectural, environmental and cultural importance of the Bevis Marks estate. It is similar to other sites of significant religious interest, for example the Methodist Centre in Bunhill Fields, St Pauls and Westminster Cathedrals and St Dunstons in the East.</p> <p>It is worth noting that in the case of these other sites, it is clear that the planning authorities take seriously their responsibilities to preserve the area from the venal self-interest of unnecessary architectural vanity projects.</p> <p>For the City of London to consider this appalling addition to the local area, adjacent to the Creechurch Conservation Area is beyond belief.</p> <p>In recent years City of London planning decisions have completely ignored the impact on all Londoners who are compelled to watch our skyline dominated by cynical vanity projects, without architectural merit and planned for short lifetimes (for example buildings on the Broadgate Estate barely 20 years old are already being replaced, so why were they built in the first place?).</p> <p>What kind of architectural heritage is the Corporation providing for future generations? It appears the decisions are only for the generation of income for the Corporation and not cultural capital of all Londoners. Think of the wider responsibility you have to all Londoners!</p> <p>I cannot even understand why in the age of increasing home-working the City needs more commercial space.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lovic	Michelle	<p>This is a Conservation and Heritage outrage. A Grade 1 listed site of supreme cultural and spiritual interest cannot be permitted to be bullied and overwhelmed by a high-rise tower. If it can, then the City of London should be ashamed of prioritizing developer money over its precious historic stock.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mizrahi	Paulette	<p>This building will encroach on the functioning synagogue and all aspects surrounding life of its worshippers.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Poll	Michael	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a daily user of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am displeased to see yet another application that seeks to compromise the historic and unique setting of the synagogue.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. It is the oldest continuously functioning synagogue in Europe and potentially the world.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This development would demonstrate that the City of London Corporation does not value its unique historical monuments, which are to be celebrated and not overshadowed.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Boyar	Michael	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Sherwood MBE	Peggy	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue. It seems unbelievable there is a new application after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, which is hardly changed since last time, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, immediately to the south of the synagogue, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. In times of rising anti-semitism, this is something which is extremely sensitive and should never even has got this far.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Zubaida	David	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Porter	Deborah	<p>I strongly object to this proposal for a 45 storey building in a conservation area. It would have a hugely negative impact on a building which has been there since the 18th century, Bevis Marks Synagogue is a Grade I listed building, a tourist attraction and a place of worship of enormous UK historic significance.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levene	Wendy	<p>Strong objection to building a monstrosity blocking the oldest and most historical Synagogue in the UK. We are living in dreadful times with the Jewish people in this city and throughout the UK being persecuted. Bevis Marks is a beacon to education, history and tolerance with its magnificent history and priceless objects. Think carefully considering giving permission for an eyesore of a building! A huge ugly concrete structure that looms over a beautiful building it is an atrocity and disgrace!!! Do NOT allow this to happen!!</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Walker	Anne	<p>I am writing to express my opposition to the above-noted building application adjacent to Bevis Marks Synagogue.</p> <p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is a very special place. It is of profound historical and religious significance to London and to the rest of world, including Canada from where I submit these comments.</p> <p>Bevis Marks is the only synagogue to have provided continuous services for over 300 years. It is only one of two such buildings in the UK and Europe. It provides a safe spiritual home to many who have left London for the far reaches of the world.</p> <p>Like other historically significant structures in London, and elsewhere, is vital to preserve Bevis Marks in its undisturbed condition. This includes maintaining access to and use of its structures and surrounding spaces. The provision of security to its members cannot be compromised. The proposed demolition and construction of a non-descript office tower, of which there are plenty in London, may forever alter this historic place and compromise its function for the religious community. Even in Toronto Canada, buildings of historic, religious and cultural significance are given the dignity of space, of light, and of air. Thank you for your consideration of this response.</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Phillips	gary	<p>A 45 storey tower, only 25 metres away, would completely overwhelm the Bevis Marks synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. The synagogue has been in constant and continuous use since 1701.</p> <p>Gary Phillips</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goldberg	Mira	<p>The construction of a 45-storey tower next to a Grade 1 Listed synagogue raises concerns about overshadowing, loss of historic views, and disruption to religious rituals due to reduced daylight.</p> <p>The proposed building conflicts with conservation area regulations and lacks sufficient community benefits to outweigh its negative impacts.</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Oakley	Melanie	<p>Too impactful on the surrounding area. This should not be built as a high rise building.</p>	NO	Public Access	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Halban	Peter	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Berger	Doreen	<p>I object to this application as it will detract from the historic appearance and history of the area in and around Bevis Marks.</p> <p>The synagogue at Bevis Marks, established as long ago as 1701, and the oldest Jewish house of worship in the United Kingdom, is a particular point of interest to tourists, of whatever creed or religion, visiting the City of London.</p> <p>The building itself is the centre of communal affairs for the Sephardic community of London and important as a focal point for this Abrahamic religion., while welcoming visitors and tourists alike. There is a unique atmosphere of peace and tranquillity around its central courtyard. and its historic importance in the history of one of London's most established communities, and London itself, has always, been protected.</p> <p>The synagogue and surrounding area of Bevis Marks is indelibly part of the history of the Anglo-Jewish section of the population of London and, indeed part of the very fabric of London. Yet, although part of its past history, it plays an important part in the celebration of divergence of the present day.</p> <p>Its historic importance in the history of the City of London cannot in any way be overemphasised. The area around the Synagogue and its precincts should be protected to protect the history of the City of London itself. To do otherwise is to betray the City itself.</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	ALPERT	MICHAEL	<p>Dear Friends,I am once again sending a call to action for you to help us protect Bevis Marks Synagogue.Despite our successfully achieving a Conservation Area around Bevis Marks Synagogue just a few months ago, the City of London has now undermined our efforts and changed the goalposts which previously barred tall buildings in these protected areas.As a result, developers at 31 Bury St have now submitted a new application for a 45 storey tower, a negligible change from the 48 storey tower that was refused just two years ago. It fails to respect the immense heritage of the synagogue site and would have a disastrous impact on Bevis Marks Synagogue as a living Jewish congregation, as well as the Dangoor Heritage and Education Visitor Centre.We therefore need to you to strongly object, and to do so without delay. We encourage you to use your own words and views, but provide you with a sample template below. You can also read this document for additional points.To submit your objection please follow this link.Thank you, " Melody SalemChair, Bevis Marks Synagogue Heritage Foundation I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.What message would permitting this obscenity</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shaw	Carole	<p>A 43-storey building will dwarf and overshadow Bevis Marks Synagogue, a heritage site and listed building, with many overseas visitors. A previous application for a 48-storey building was rejected two years ago. So should this be.</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Har-Zion	Shay	<p>Will affect badly the existence and activities taking place in the Synagogue</p>	NO	Public Access	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Urazovskaya	Liana	<p>Jews arrived to London in 1651 and lived in City of London . However, a lot of changed since then and the only reminder of Jews on the area is Bevis Mark Sunagogue , which is building of historic and cultural significance. The request for 45 building nearby is not acceptable. I would not imaging that approval could be granted for this project near Westminster Abbey or St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.I would appreciate it if you could consider to leave this area untouched.</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Costa	E	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Steinberg	Jonathan	<p>Terrible shame to erect this building next to such a historic and important synagogue as Bevis Marks. It will drown out the light and the building in general</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jarolimkova	Vita	<p>I believe the tall building should not be built next to a Grade I listed synagogue. It will significantly impair the ability of the local Jewish community to practice their faith and detract from a historical, over 300 years old, monument.</p> <p>The developer significantly overestimates the positive benefit of their proposed construction, any residential building in Canary Wharf has the same features and the proposal therefore barely meets the expectations of current residential buildings. The benefit of building the proposed structure is outweighed by its negative impact on the integrity of the synagogue and its surroundings.</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	bright	georgina	<p>A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brower	Danny	<p>At a time of high antisemitism the City of London should be promoting its history of tolerance and acceptance of Jews instead of blocking its multicultural heritage. The City of London would not permit such an application next to St Paul's cathedral. It should not allow it next to the most culturally significant and historic Jewish site in the City of London either. City of London can decide whether it wishes to alienate its Jewish residents. Ultimately Jews can decide to move our residences and businesses out of the City of London should City of London disregard our firm objections.</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Levi	Ron	<p>I find it alarming that so soon after rejecting a similar application, due to the infringement of light on an incredibly important Jewish heritage site, that the planners are considering another Tower in the same spot.</p> <p>The huge outpouring of negative reaction to the previous applications should have convinced planners that the development of huge monstrous towers in this facility is neither endearing nor desired. There is an important piece of History which seems to be marginalized on the altar of more square footage. At the present time, there is no shortage of office space, the outlook looks even worse, why do you need to build more on one of the few truly historical areas of the city.</p> <p>Please reject this application once and for all time.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Ron Levi</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rubens	Angela	<p>Horrified to see this plan. Bevis Marks synagogue is the cathedral synagogue of the Jewish community. The proposed building will dwarf it and will take light from it. In any case this is a designated conservation area.</p> <p>King Charles is a King of all faiths and your proposal in no way reflects this sentiment.</p> <p>My hope is that you will not go ahead with this plan</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Perdomo	Pedro	<p>You are going to alter the character of the synagogue by placing that huge building.</p>	NO	Public Access	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Crollick	Avril	<p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is a historic building of huge significance not just to the Sephardic Jewish community but to the general public as well.</p> <p>The proposed 45 storey building will block light and be an eyesore to an otherwise unique and beautiful religious site</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ghnassia	Oliver	<p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is the oldest continuously used synagogue in Britain, dating from 1701. It has enormous cultural significance, being in effect the cathedral church of Judaism.</p> <p>It is located in the City of London, where it has over the years suffered tall buildings being erected around it. However, the very important southern sky view remains unobstructed, enabling the moon and the stars to be viewed from the courtyard.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p> <p>The developers are arguing that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. These benefits do not stand up to scrutiny. Many - for example, being car free, demonstrating holistic environmental design, having consolidated deliveries - are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building, and they do not represent a gain to the community.</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sanford	Clive	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	18-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	singer	laurence	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a strong supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p> <p>Yours sincerely Laurence Singer 14 The Rose Walk Ridlett Herts WD7 7IS</p>	NO	Public Access website	18-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Abell	Grace	<p>I'm not Jewish, however the global Jewish community has seen a great deal of persecution which has become more aggravated in recent months. Please let Bevis Marks synagogue have the sunlight and sky view it is accustomed to. If London truly is a global capital where people of all faiths and cultures are protected, the building of the tower next door would not be entertained. I doubt this would be considered to adjoin a cathedral, temple or mosque, so please don't do this to the synagogue, which will be loved far more than any glass fronted corporate tower. Many thanks.</p>	NO	Public Access website	18-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Judith	<p>We are saddened to hear the planning application to build a very tall building very near to Bevis Marks synagogue, is being reconsidered.</p> <p>For all the reasons given before, it would be really serious if this happened. It is the oldest synagogue in London, not just a museum, but a living place of worship. The light coming into the building would be seriously restricted.</p> <p>The planning application apparently removed the height by just a few floors. The whole project will be seriously damaging to the Jewish community in London and it would be very sad to see this synagogue diminished.</p> <p>We do hope that you will carefully reconsider and not allow the application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	18-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Michael	If the application is approved the light coming into the Bevis Marks synagogue building and the courtyard will be further reduced from its already limited state. Bevis Marks synagogue is one of the most notable buildings in London and it is wrong to allow it to be treated with such neglect and disrespect. I strongly disapprove of the application and I hope that you will reject it.	NO	Public Access website	18-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Finkel	Mazaltob Ruth	The proposed building will severely impact Bevis Marks Synagogue, one of the oldest buildings in the city. The amount of light in the synagogue will be drastically reduced and the synagogue will be overlooked by the proposed building. It is outrageous that this is even being considered when a previous application was refused: a reduction of 3 storeys will not make a difference to the amount of light that will be affected. It fails to respect the immense heritage of the synagogue site and would have a disastrous impact on Bevis Marks Synagogue as a living Jewish congregation, as well as the Dangoor Heritage and Education Visitor Centre.	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sneader	Alex	Would affect nearby heritage site, Bevis Markes synagogue. Blocking light in and affecting surrounding ground	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Richards	David	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years? This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Skinazi	Karen E.	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm the president of the British and Irish Association for Jewish Studies and an associate professor of literature and culture at University of Bristol and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area. The synagogue is the most important Jewish site in the UK, and remarkably the only synagogue in the world in continuous use dating back to 1701. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, puts this at risk and is not in keeping with the values or policies I would expect from the City of London and the UK. The new tower would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. The end result would be to further stretch an already challenging situation, making it increasingly difficult for the synagogue to continue to serve its primary function, that of a functioning synagogue. This puts at risk the immense intangible heritage remarkably preserved in this Spanish & Portuguese Jewish congregation, a surviving ancient religious tradition from centuries ago. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Green	Abigail	I am a Jewish historian who works extensively with the heritage sector. I previously gave evidence to the Planning and Transport committee when an earlier proposal for this site was made, and have subsequently drafted two reports (see details here https://jch.history.ox.ac.uk/article/our-work-bevis-marks). I am not paid for this work, which is time-consuming. The reason I do this is because I consider the attempt to construct a very tall building on this site to be an existential threat to the heritage value of Bevis Marks synagogue, which is not merely architectural but also communal and historic, intangible as well as tangible. I note that the City has admired the quality of my work on this issue, whilst ignoring it to all intents and purposes. This, to my mind, demonstrates a desire to pay lipservice only to the need to preserve Bevis Marks Synagogue as a living place of worship. It is a shocking state of affairs and, as someone whose family have worshipped here since it was built (and still do) I am very distressed. This is a very difficult time for British Jews and the government is keen to press ahead with a British Jewish heritage month - a course of action at odds with the City's support for the proposed building. In that context, I want to add that I am deeply concerned by the threat this proposal poses to community relations at a very sensitive time: it is in clear breach of the Public Service Equality Duty to preserve inter-community good relations.	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bennett	Michael	I am a regular attendee at Bevis Marks Synagogue & I objected to the planning application to develop a tall building at Bury Street which took away much of the light from the Synagogue. The application was rejected & a new application has been made for the same site which is marginally lower in height than the original application but is largely the same so my grounds for objection are unchanged. The planners granted a conservation area in rejecting the original application & this protected the Synagogue & it would that the benefits derived from this are now being taken away. Bevis Marks Synagogue has a 300 year + history & this should be respected.	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Copitch	Cyril	This application has already been rejected and now it has been submitted again the reasons for the injection in the first place has not changed I find it very upsetting that thing of beauty and Importance to the community and the history of Great Britain it being damaged by this application	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Richards	Mark	<p>Dear Sirs</p> <p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>After the original plans were rejected, quite rightly, this new application represents exactly the same issues as the original and should be strongly refused. This site is of huge historical interest to London's Jewish community and this application represents a clear and obvious threat, especially after the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower is yet another hideous monstrosity that only serves to crowd the skyline and produce more office space that is likely to stay empty with more and more people working from home. The tower to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the PROTECTED setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p>	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Blume	Dame Hilary	<p>I urge you to refuse this application</p> <p>the proposed development adversely affects Bevis Marks Synagogue, and as such it shows little regard to the contribution made by the Jewish community to the City of London.</p>	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	LEVY	JOHN	<p>I write to voice my vehement opposition to this "new" application .</p> <p>I am a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this proposal, especially after it's refusal two years ago and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This "new" application is a slight "rehash" of the one previously submitted and is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade 1 listed building which is now also in a conservation area. It is also the most important site of Jewish history in the UK and a Heritage and Education visitor centre is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's south would dominate the protected setting and block the religiously important southern view. It would overshadow the synagogue and it's courtyard dramatically reducing it's light.</p> <p>Approval of this scheme would simply reinforce the impression that The City of London is more concerned about another monolithic tower block (and the accompanying income) than preserving the history around this magnificent Jewish synagogue.</p> <p>Using the analysis of David Baddiel - would such an application be made if it was adjoining St Pauls Cathedral? No I don't think so either.</p> <p>I trust you will make the only sensible decision and reject this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Eshel	Rosemary	<p>Our family has long been members of the Spanish & Portuguese Congregation connected to Bevis Marks for several generations I feel strongly that the proposal to build a 45-storey tower would very much overwhelm the synagogue which has much historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>Bevis Marks is a vibrant functioning synagogue with a large congregation who use both the inside & outside courtyard areas. The plan to erect such a tall tower would reduce considerably the function and use of the courtyard for rituals, functions and community celebrations.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a project would not take into account the historic significance of this important building and plan to dwarf it by the current proposal.</p>	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	King	Albert	<p>Awful.</p> <p>It will severely affect the Bevis Marks Synagogue, a building of national importance</p>	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Joory	Joy	<p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I am a strong supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue. I am appalled to see this new proposal, especially after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	TREUHERZ I	Francis	<p>I hope that you will not permit the proposed new building to be constructed and so please preserve the life of the beautiful historic synagogue. I suggest that you visit the synagogue for a sabbath or festival service and see for yourself how the service is conducted. Thank you.</p>	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Gold	Jeffrey and Bonita	<p>Planning application reference: 24/00021/FULEIA.</p> <p>I wish to object to the above planning application on the following grounds: -</p> <p>It will have an extremely damaging impact on the Bevis Marks Synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>Grade 1 listing affords protection to such buildings not only as regards their physical integrity but should also preserve their setting. In this case both the synagogue and its courtyard would be overwhelmed and its setting irreparably harmed</p> <p>- The proposed new building will destroy the view of the southern sky view. This is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.</p> <p>- Both the synagogue and its courtyard would be overshadowed for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard including for rituals and celebrations.</p> <p>- The proposed new building would further reduce the little daylight currently penetrating into the synagogue. The proposed new building would make it even worse - it would be more difficult to conduct worship and other activities. It should also be appreciated that, due to the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p> <p>- The development site is within the Creechurh Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, and the current external appearances and settings of the buildings should likewise be protected.</p> <p>- The proposed building will be detrimental to the setting of other heritage assets in the local area, and will therefore offend against the qualities of the Conservation Area as a whole.</p> <p>The above factors are reinforced by the following: -</p> <p>- It seems highly unlikely that a building such as that proposed would be allowed next to St Paul's Cathedral - such an historic synagogue sh</p>	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brooks Evans	Michelle	<p>If built the tower will cause great damage to Bevis Marks synagogue's protected setting, internal light levels, and the community's ability to worship by blotting out its southern exposure sky-view.</p>	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gomes da Costa	Diana	<p>I strongly object to application 24/00021/FULEIA for the following reasons:</p> <p>1) It violates the newly adopted Creechurh Conservation Area as it threatens the conservation of a Grade 1 listed building, namely Bevis Marks Synagogue.</p> <p>2) Two years ago a similar application was rightly rejected on the grounds that it would deny the Synagogue and its courtyard, of necessary light. Application 24/00021/FULEIA would do the same, if it is allowed to succeed.</p> <p>3) Bevis Marks Synagogue is the oldest Synagogue and the most important Jewish site in the UK. It has held Services consistently since its foundation in 1701 and is still a most popular venue for weddings and other notable events.</p> <p>4) The Synagogue is also a popular destination for tourists and a new Heritage and Education Visitor Centre will soon be opening its doors, potentially likely to attract more visitors, which is certainly to the benefit of the City of London and in particular to other nearby tourist attractions and businesses, such as restaurants. The possibility of a new structure, over-shadowing the Synagogue and the new Visitor Centre, will undoubtedly mar the experience of sightseers, who visit from the UK as well as from all parts of the world.</p> <p>5) Bevis Marks Synagogue is the only non-Christian place of worship in the City of London. This raises the question as to whether if a similar planning application to 24/00021/FULEIA, were made adjacent to St. Paul's Cathedral, would be acceptable.</p> <p>In conclusion, the City of London has over many years rightly rejected previous ill-conceived planning applications which threatened the existence of the Jewish Community's most treasured possession, namely Bevis Marks Synagogue. Hopefully, planning application 24/00021/FULEIA will be similarly and decisively vetoed as furthermore, agreement would contravene the City of London's own conservation plans for the Creechurh Area of the City.</p>	NO	Public Access website	19-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Page	Anne	<p>Too many tall office buildings in the City already. Demolition bad for the environment. Damage to the surrounds of the newly refurbished and mighty ancient synagogue.</p> <p>Don't do it.</p>	NO	Public Access website	20-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Carver	Shirlee	<p>I strongly object to this new development as it means Bevis Marks Synagogue will be obstructed by the tall buildings and it is the oldest synagogue in the UK (constructed in 1701) as well as being a beautiful place of worship and a safe haven for people practising their religion. The synagogue is also a heritage site and a famous landmark too and many people flock to see it, especially tourists from around the world. Why would any construction firm choose to develop tall tower buildings so close to a famous heritage site??</p> <p>It would be a tragedy if this development was allowed to go ahead. This is the second time this Synagogue has been in the firing line. Pick a DIFFERENT location!!!</p> <p>Please reconsider this.</p>	NO	Public Access website	20-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Smilg	Jeremy	<p>1) It will dominate the area.</p> <p>2) It is not needed.</p> <p>3) Building it will cause vast local disruption.</p> <p>4) Many of the existing office buildings already in the City will be left vacant.</p> <p>5) Architecturally, it is hideous,</p>	NO	Public Access website	21-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stein	Janis	It fails to respect the immense heritage of Bevis Marks Synagogue.	NO	Public Access	21-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stewart	Rosalind	It will spoil the heritage and outlook of the very historic Bevis Marks Synagogue.	NO	Public Access	21-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Coelho	Jane	Please do not allow a tall building to be built that will block the light of the historic and culturally significant religious Bevis Marks. No. We do not need another looming structure.	NO	Public Access website	21-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Franks	Joanna	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	21-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Ronish	Yarema	<p>Please see objection submitted by email on 21 April 2024, as this form did not allow sufficient length to address the relevant issues.</p> <p>My wife and I are full-time residents of Aldgate Ward and Freeman, the City is our full time home. Briefly, this application should be rejected due to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Harm to setting listed buildings 2) Harm to conservation area and streetscape 3) Lack of public benefit 4) Errors in the Daylighting analysis, as well as unacceptable effect on residential daylighting. <p>In addition, the residents of 4-8 Creechurch Lane were not invited to the June 2023 Public Exhibition as was claimed.</p>	NO	Public Access website	21-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Franks	Joanna	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p> <p>Jo Franks</p>	NO	Public Access website	22-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Grubin	Donald	<p>It's hard to believe that yet again an attempt is being made to erect an imposing building that threatens Bevis Marks Synagogue, especially as since the last proposal was rejected a conservation area was established in the area in which the Synagogue sits. The effect on this Grade 1 listed building will be to dominate it and its courtyard. Given that a very similar proposal was rejected just a couple of years ago I urge you to reject this one as well.</p>	NO	Public Access website	22-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pilo	Jacquelynne	<p>Please do not allow the Bevis Marks Synagogue to be overshadowed by this development. The Bevis Marks is historically significant. It is British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue and is used and visited by people from all over the world. Don't let this beautiful site be diminished. This kind of proposal would never be considered if it was in the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral.</p> <p>Thank you Jacquelynne Pilo Boynton Beach Florida, USA.</p>	NO	Public Access website	22-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Black	Pat	<p>This proposed development is totally unacceptable given the impact it will have on Bevis Marks Synagogue. The development proposed in 2020 was rightly refused planning permission. This new application should be refused for the same reasons. It is too tall and will overshadow the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 listed building of great historical, cultural, and religious significance being the most important Jewish site in the UK. In particular, the new building will destroy the southern sky view which is an important part of the setting of the synagogue. The developers state that the new development will have many benefits for the community which, even if true, does not justify the harm which will be done to the synagogue. This development is in the Creechurch Conservation Area, this alone should be sufficient for the application to be refused. A development like this would not be permitted close to St Paul's Cathedral and should not be permitted here.</p>	NO	Public Access website	22-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	GARCIA	Nicola	<p>My objection to this is that once again the building of an extremely high rise block is being proposed that would block out light in the oldest continuously active place of Jewish worship in this country and the only synaogogue in the square mile. It is the cathedral synagogue of Great Britain and as such should be treated with the same respect as St Paul's Cathedral. Nobody would contemplate such a plan in the immediate environs of the Cathedral.</p> <p>I was married at Bevis Marks as were my ancestors and my husband's ancestors and now my son had his wedding ceremony there; his wife's family from Italy have also had connections to Bevis Marks going back to the 18th century. Whilst ours is a personal connection, it is a story of migration and settlement that must not be lost. Given the City of London's clear sensitivity to the heritage of others, why is the Jewish community not being afforded the same treatment?</p> <p>More importantly, a plan that was extremely similar to this was rejected and in the intervening time the Creechurch conservation area has been established so how is this proposal even on the table? Current planning policy prohibits the construction of new tall buildings in conservation areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan; this tower would compromise the qualities not only of Bevis Marks but of the whole Conservation Area.</p>	NO	Public Access website	22-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Courtney	Yvonne	<p>The whole premise of the proposal is that of greed. From five floors to 43, is an 800% increase in height - in a conservation area.</p> <p>Even the addition to Holland House is appalling - in the name of extracting as much potential floor space as possible, to maximise profit. The current rooftop area is perfect as it is, especially considering that it is a much loved listed building. Any addition destroys that.</p> <p>The scheme overscales everything surrounding it, in a dense, tightly woven urban fabric which is not what one has at the Gherkin or at Creechurch Place. Those two tall buildings are surrounded by space. The area at Creechurch Lane and Bury Street has no space around it. So increasing the existing height by four to twenty floors would seem a more appropriate scale of development than a New York 'pencil tower'.</p> <p>With a historic church and synagogue less than 100m away, it seems arrogant to suggest a skyscraper would be appropriate. I am surprised that this scheme has got to this point and must have had officer support to be submitted for planning. We as residents have been left out of any consultations. We therefore don't trust the cloak and dagger approach of the planning application, and are surprised and disappointed that the City of London Corporation would support such a scheme.</p>	NO	Public Access website	22-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sale	Anabel Unity	<p>If the construction of this building went ahead it would significantly and negatively damage the Bevis Marks synagogue, which has stood unfettered for centuries as an important global symbol of British Jewry.</p> <p>The proposed building would significantly reduce the daylight in the synagogue, which relies on daylight and candlelight for its services. Previous proposals suggested the building would be 48 stories high and this proposal says it would be 43. This is still inappropriate because such a building would dominate the landscape, overshadowing Bevin Marks and its historical importance to British Jews and others.</p> <p>The construction of the building would also disrupt the religious lives of those who use the synagogue. Such a proposal would not be made for next to St Paul's Cathedral for the reasons stated above. It should be rejected and the land around Bevis Marks protected against building tower blocks.</p>	NO	Public Access website	23-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Harris	John	As a now retired City Worker I am very familiar with the Bevis Marks area and the encroachment it has suffered from nearby developments in recent years. The persistent climate of development in the City does not reflect a need for accommodation or retail space it only seeks to enrich the developer at the expense of the historic fabric of the City of London. The time has come for a stand by local and national planners to prevent further unnecessary development in sensitive areas. For context in this time of heightened religious tension you should note I am an atheist so not partisan in this matter, my objection is untainted by bias.	NO	Public Access website	23-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Burstin	Stephen	Thank you for the opportunity of commenting, indeed objecting vociferously, to what will not only be a true blight on the area but a total disregard for the glaring detrimental effects this proposal will have on the oldest, most revered place of Jewish worship in this country, . I conduct walking tours several times a week of the Old Jewish Quarter and include Bevis Marks Synagogue as a stop-off when I relate its enthralling story and links to famous historic figures. I have on each tour mentioned the current planning threat to Bevis Marks and without exception my clients, Jewish and non-Jewish Londoners alike, have been appalled by the proposal and its indisputable negative consequences. On behalf of these hundreds of objectors I have met (and myself) I urge you to turn down once again what would not only be a travesty for the synagogue and the area generally but also what would be seen as a shameful act by society today (especially its authorities) in the eyes of tomorrow's generations.	NO	Public Access website	23-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Othen	Gillian M		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	23-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rees	Allison	It would be completely wrong to allow a 45 storey tower, when a 48 storey tower was refused just two years ago. It fails to respect the immense heritage of the synagogue site and would have a disastrous impact on the Grade 1 listed building which is Bevis Marks Synagogue - a living Jewish congregation, as well as the Dangoor Heritage and Education Visitor Centre. This project would destroy the sky view as well as overshadow the synagogue courtyard, impacting significantly on the light within the synagogue. The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so needs to be rejected as there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.	NO	Public Access website	23-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Coleman	Brian	I am the former London Assembly Member for Barnet and Camden and represented the largest number of Jewish voters in the United Kingdom. Please will the City Corporation see sense and stop this proposed development which will have severe detrimental effects on the Bevis Marks Synagogue which as you know is the oldest Synagogue in the United Kingdom . The proposed development will overshadow the Synagogue which will lose natural light. Further the proposed building has no architectural merit and the developers just must do better in order to justify the damage to Anglo Jewry heritage.	NO	Public Access website	23-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Carson	Steven	Objection on the same grounds as we're put made with the previous application which was refused. Mayor Sadiq Khans bias should not influence this decision	NO	Public Access website	23-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Denham	J	I strongly object to this application - It will impact negatively on the new Creechurch Conservation Area designated by the City of London earlier this year. This unnecessary multi-story office block will overshadow & decimate the daylight / sunlight on neighbouring buildings most notably the grade 1 listed Bevis Marks Synagogue where natural light is vital. It will also impact negatively on the nearby Tower of London World Heritage Site.	NO	Public Access website	23-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jeffrey	Robin	This is a historical building of the first importance, its position and function should not be compromised in any way. Bevis Marks is centrally important to the history of the Jews in this country, but also more broadly to our tradition of religious tolerance, dating back to the reign of Charles II. This proposal shows a grotesque disregard for the history of London, our country and our diverse society. It should not be considered for a moment.	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	jackson	claire	My family are members of Bevis Marks synagogue and it is a beautiful space within, with of course a magnificent history and heritage. It is important to preserve its setting as well as its interior so new buildings erected to obscure what remains of its outlook would be disastrous. Apart from the need to protect Bevis Marks, wouldn't it be much better to keep the height of as many new buildings as possible modest in scale? We are not New York, we all need to breathe and to be in harmony with our surroundings. We have sufficient high rise, ugly buildings. Let's stop thinking profit profit profit. Let's just stop building high rise altogether.	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mann	Alan	I regularly visit this area of London, and marvel at the wonderful Bevis Marks Synagogue which is an historical landmark not just in London, but for the history of the Jewish community in England, and their place in the growth of the City of London. The construction of such a tall building will destroy the context in which this synagogue sits, and is completely inappropriate for this area. I object strongly that commercial profit is being put before historical heritage, and would ask that this application be rejected by the City of London.	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Baharier	Linda		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Topper	Amelia	Nuisance and disruption to our synagogue and failure to recognise and value the cultural significance of Bevis Marks and its importance to the Jewish people. In a time such as this, it is more important than ever to respect and protect the Jewish community, their landmarks and to appreciate their contributions to British society. This development threatens to damage the legacy of this synagogue which poses as a cultural and historical landmark, giving people a place to appreciate Judaism in central London. It is the only building of its kind, and is among many other religious establishments for other organised religions in Central London. Jewish people deserve their religion to be represented safely as much as anyone else.	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Schonfield	Amos		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Burstin	Stephen	Thank you for the opportunity of commenting, indeed objecting vociferously, to what will not only be a true blight on the area but a total disregard for the glaring detrimental effects this proposal will have on the oldest, most revered place of Jewish worship in this country, Bevis Marks Synagogue. I conduct walking tours several times a week of the Old Jewish Quarter and include Bevis Marks as a stop-off when I relate its enthralling story and close links to famous historic figures. I have on each occasion mentioned the current planning threat to Bevis Marks and without exception my clients, Jewish and non-Jewish Londoners alike, have been appalled by the proposal and its indisputable negative consequences. On behalf of these hundreds of objectors I have met (and myself) I urge you to turn down once again what would not only be a travesty for the synagogue and the area generally but also what would be seen as a shameful act committed by today's society (especially its authorities) in the eyes of tomorrow's generations. Stephen Burstin. Jewish London Walking Tours	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Solomons	Jonathan		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shupak	Harold		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dobson	Owen	This monstrous construction would utterly dwarf and overshadow Bevin Marks Synagogue, one of the most significant historic buildings in the city, and indeed, the country. It would be an astonishingly insensitive act even in this age of rampant iconoclasm.	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Fox	Vicki		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Moreland	Lee S		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Simon	Barbara		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ward	Kevin	There are too many tall buildings already which detract from the amenities of these sorts of buildings. This synagogue is a jewel in the crown of all our shared history and a beautiful cathedral of the Jewish faith. We must seek to preserve it and the integrity of its surroundings. To fail to do that is to fail the people and those who worship here.	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Comaroff	John		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rubens	Fiona	This will adversely affect Bevis marks- a home from home for many Jewish people in this country. It is an affront to their light as well as being an eyesore for the synagogue. Does the city of London need another tower block when people are WFH? This proposal will completely blight the area as well as affect the sacred space that is bevis marks. This synagogue has fought many battles about building applications. When is it time to stop and respect the history of this building? It would never happen if this was a church or mosque. An utter disgrace that this application keeps being tweaked then resubmitted. It's time to put this historic building first as opposed to such a soulless proposal. Stop this application.	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rubin	Miri		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Schmool	Marlena		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Inglesant	Philip	Bevis Marks is a building of enormous cultural significance not only to the Jewish community but to the whole of London. It has a particular importance to the Sephardic Jews, the first community to return to England after their 1290 expulsion, at first covertly and then openly under Oliver Cromwell. I am not Jewish but I recognise this very special place this has in the history of our country. Already surrounded by high buildings, its character would be very detrimentally affected by this proposal, which would block the all-important southern light. It is unconscionable that this proposal is being considered when another similar one was already rejected in 2020.	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	PNatali			N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gulland	Yvette	There was a planning proposal which was heavily objected several years ago because it would block the view of the heavens and would created such a heavy impact on the nature of this very important holy and religious building This new proposal follows a similar line of determined overshadowing and monstrosity and is very cheeky but we have not forgotten the last one which received more objections than the council had ever received and was Her thrown out	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Simmons	David	The space of this ancient synagogue must be protected and not invaded by developments designed purely for financial gain.	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Matthews	Stephen	I am at a loss to understand how this application can be considered. A massive slab which will be visually intrusive and will overlook and block light from this famous synagogue. I sincerely hope that the City Councillors will not take away the newadway of high rise offices in conservation areas. With working from home being so prevalent nowadays would there be sufficient demand for any resulting offices? For the sake of the environment a total refurbishment and extension of the existing building should be the way forward, encompassing the two other buildings mentioned in the application. Please refuse permission for this application!	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Walden	Kiri	The proposed development will damage the setting of the historic and culturally important Bevis Marks Synagogue. The height and style if the building impose yet another threat to the historic integrity of what little remains in the area, looming over the synagogue and many other vulnerable and important historic buildings in the vicinity	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sawyer	Jenny	The City doesn't need this tower. The changes in real estate since the pandemic means its a white elephant. The fact it will overshadowed a Synagogue that Oliver Cromwell allowed is even more shocking. The City needs to remember its history as well as its future Jenny Sawyer, City of London Guide	NO	Public Access website	24-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levine	Stuart	I have been attending Bevis Marks synagogue for over 35 years. After prayers we tend to congregate in the courtyard with sky views. The thought of a huge building being built shadowing the synagogue is extremely distressing to the community especially in the times we are now in this is another nail in the coffin for the Jewish religion! Totally unacceptable and not needed.	NO	Public Access website	25-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	de Pass	Jonathan	I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and write to object to the new planning application (24/00021/FULEIA) which, if passed, would allow for the construction of a modern tower causing immense damage to the setting of such an important and historic building. This new application is a cynical proposal coming so soon after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area and I strongly oppose it. It is clear that this new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year. Other culturally important and historic buildings within the City of London, e.g. St Paul's Cathedral, have been protected in their immediate vicinity from insensitive developments. Why should Bevis Marks Synagogue, which is of equal cultural and religious significance to the Jewish Community in the UK and worldwide, not receive the same consideration? Please refuse this application. It is an abomination.	NO	Public Access website	25-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sneader	Graham	A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. I work in St Mary Axe. The creation of more potentially unlet office space does not justify spoiling historic views across the square mile.	NO	Public Access website	25-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lawrence	Nigel	This proposal will have a detrimental impact on one of London's oldest synagogues . Like other proposals for the area it should be blocked and further planning for areas in this building declined of they will effect a the Bevis Marks Synagogue. This part of London has enough high rise buildings -	NO	Public Access website	25-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Baskin	Usevalad	<p>I am writing to express my deep concern and opposition regarding the new application (24/00021/FULEIA), which threatens the cultural and historical significance of Bevis Marks Synagogue, a Grade-I listed building in the recently established Creechurh Conservation Area.</p> <p>As a nearby resident and frequent visitor to the synagogue, I am appalled by this proposal, especially given its previous refusal. The planned 45-storey tower, towering over the synagogue to the south, would dominate and cast a shadow over this sacred site, blocking the religiously significant southern sky view.</p> <p>The development would compromise the limited natural light in the synagogue, impacting worship and rituals. It is unthinkable that such a proposal would be considered near St. Paul's Cathedral, and yet, here we are, risking the integrity of a site that is the very heart of British Jewry.</p> <p>The tower's proximity would overwhelm the synagogue, sending a troubling message to the hundreds of thousands of visitors expected at the upcoming Heritage Centre. The City of London must uphold its values and protect this cherished landmark.</p> <p>I urge you to reject this application and prioritize the preservation of our cultural heritage.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Usevalad Baskin 701 Satin House E1 8PW</p>	NO	Public Access website	26-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morganstein	Stuart	<p>We are members of Bevis Marks Synagogue and cannot believe we have to write again to express our strong opposition to this application. It was turned down two years ago and this new application is just three storeys lower and is now adjacent to a conservation area. The new tower would overshadow the setting of the Synagogue and its courtyard, in the same way as the previous rejected building.</p> <p>We feel that this sort of building would never be allowed in the vicinity of St. Paul's Cathedral and should not be allowed adjacent to such an important Grade I listed building, of particular importance to British Jewry and internationally.</p> <p>Carole and Stuart Morganstein</p>	NO	Public Access website	26-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gauthier	Charlotte	<p>I strongly oppose the wrongheaded development proposed in this application.</p> <p>As an historian who works with heritage buildings, I am concerned that the proposed development would damage the setting not only of Bevis Marks Synagogue, but of other heritage buildings in the area.</p> <p>Bevis Marks is a Grade I Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. The proposed development would overshadow the Synagogue courtyard and destroy the southern sky view from inside, which would negatively affect the worship of the congregation as well as materially diminishing the amenity for everyone who came to see the Synagogue out of historical interest.</p> <p>The proposal also contradicts two of the Corporation's stated policies:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The City of London is rightly focused on 'green' and sustainable building. 31 Bury Street is only 40 years old and is capable of being refitted for continued use. Unnecessary demolition is the antithesis of 'green' and sustainable therefore, and would simply squander the energy already embodied in the building. 2. There is presently - and most properly! - a ban on tall buildings in Conservation Areas. The development site is within the Creechurh Conservation Area, so development of a tall building on that site is in direct conflict with the statutory development plan. <p>This proposal and all further proposals for development of tall buildings in the Creechurh Conservation Area should be rejected.</p>	NO	Public Access website	26-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ward	James	<p>Menu THE NEW THREAT TO BEVIS MARKS SYNAGOGUE A CALL FOR WIDESPREAD OBJECTION For the second time, the synagogue is under threat.</p> <p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is the oldest continuously used synagogue in Britain, dating from 1701. It has enormous cultural significance, being in effect the cathedral church of Judaism.</p> <p>It is located in the City of London, where it has over the years suffered tall buildings being erected around it. However, the very important southern sky view remains unobstructed, enabling the moon and the stars to be viewed from the courtyard.</p> <p>In 2020, a 48 storey office building was proposed just 25 metres away at 31 Bury Street. After a worldwide campaign which generated more objections than the City Corporation has ever experienced before, the Corporation refused permission in 2022, primarily because of the unacceptable impact on the synagogue.</p> <p>The developers are audaciously trying again.</p> <p>Tireless work by the Synagogue community has led to the area now being designated as a Conservation Area. However, the City Corporation has given with one hand and is taking away with the other - it is proposing to scrap the ban on tall buildings in Conservation Areas and indications are that the leadership is now supportive of the proposed building.</p> <p>We therefore need even more people to join the campaign to defeat this latest attempt.</p> <p>WHAT YOU CAN DO</p>	NO	Public Access website	26-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sondack	Julia	<p>The above property is of significant importance to the Jewish community and history of London and the UK.</p> <p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm of the UK Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	26-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Naar	John	My family have been members of Bevis Marks since the 1840s. It is with great pride that the Synagogue, which has Cathedral status in the UK, has remained relatively unchanged since it was built. To have a tower block erected to the south of the building will overpower to building. Attempts have been made in the past which have been rejected. St Pauls Cathedral has its aspect controlled in all directions from miles away yet Bevis Marks, built some 25 years later seems to be fair game for developers. This intrusion should be stopped.	NO	Public Access website	26-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Schonfield	Jeremy	I have attended Bevis Marks Synagogue each week for prayers since the early 1980s, and vigorously object to the proposed plan. Having recently rejected a similar plan, and established a conservation area around the synagogue, the planning office should not have agreed to consider a similar project, unless it is intended slowly to wear down the synagogue and its defenders, and to waste the resources that should be directed to more worthwhile issues. The plan should not, in my view, have been considered by the authorities, and it was wrong to present it to the public for consideration. There are several clear reasons for rejecting it. The construction will generate dust, posing environmental and health issues; it will cause vibration that could damage the delicate fabric; it will make noise that will disrupt worship; the proposed building will throw a deep shadow over the synagogue, blocking the sunlight that sometimes lights the interior. It will also permanently shield the view of the sky, and prevent the recital of the monthly prayers over the new moon, an ancient ritual that it has always been possible to perform at the synagogue. It is more than doubtful whether the City needs additional office space at the moment, making this a particularly speculative development. A conservation area, to be meaningful, should protect this unique building from encroachment by new constructions. Because Britain was not invaded in 1939-45 (Nazi invasion halted Jewish worship everywhere it was established), the synagogue is the only one in Europe of its period to remain in uninterrupted use, so is of international importance, besides being the oldest working synagogue in the UK. If the plan were approved, it would raise serious questions about the attitude of the City of London to its role in Jewish history in the early-modern period, which is to be reflected in the visitors' centre which will be opened there in the coming months.	NO	Public Access website	26-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ridler	Katharine	I strongly oppose this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I support Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its closely similar predecessor was refused two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK, and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year. The proposed new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. What message would permitting this grossly overblown proposal send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including schoolchildren and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the next years? A terrible message. Many City offices stand empty already; this vastly over-sized new one is not needed at all. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	26-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dingle	Barbara	As a Christian with a great appreciation of our Jewish community and Jewish culture in the UK and particularly in London, I'm writing to oppose in the strongest possible way application (24/00021/FULEIA). This new application, which seems hardly changed since it was last submitted, is surely not suitable so near to a conservation area including this most beautiful and historic Grade-1 listed synagogue. It is a very important Jewish site which I have been honoured to visit on a number of occasions including last year when the Archbishop of Canterbury was interviewed there. Yes, many in the Christian community appreciate and greatly enjoy visiting this synagogue. The proposed new 43 storey tower would utterly dominate the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its attractive courtyard. I urge you to refuse this application as you did with the previous attempt. Thank you.	NO	Public Access website	26-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Amado	Jozef Ercevik	I have been attending services at Bevis Marks' for the last 10 years. As a separtid Jew it is a land mark a safe heaven and reminder of Jews coming to uk for the first time. It is very essential for London to preserve the history.	NO	Public Access website	26-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Waller	Suzanne	It would be most unreasonable for one small building to be completely surrounded by high-rise blocks.	NO	Public Access website	26-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lewis	Rebecca	I object to this development for the following reasons: Overbearing Will significantly reduce the natural light into the synagogue	NO	Public Access website	26-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bernstein	Antony	I think that this is an appalling proposal. I object to it as the proposal is overbearing and crucially will block out too much light required by Bevis Marks synagogue.	NO	Public Access website	26-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kindelan	Adam	Why is there such a furore over sunlight? Bevis Marks synagogue is in such a built-up area that this tower would have less of an effect on it than, say, a few extra overcast days each year. (This is especially true since the proposal's redesign, which is shorter and more slender to minimise its shadow.) Refusing the skyscraper on such grounds would be no more reasonable than somehow outlawing clouds, or legislating for more daylight during winter months. I hope the City planners will approve the proposal on the basis of its design strengths, and ignore the objectors' exaggerations. Why is there such a furore over sunlight? Bevis Marks synagogue is in such a built-up area that this tower would have less of an effect on it than, say, a few extra overcast days each year. (This is especially true since the proposal's redesign, which is shorter and more slender to minimise its shadow.) Refusing the skyscraper on such grounds would be no more reasonable than somehow outlawing clouds, or legislating for additional daylight during winter months. I hope the City planners will approve the proposal on the basis of its design strengths, and ignore the objectors' exaggerations.	NO	Public Access website	27-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Richards	Paul	<p>This plan will be extremely detrimental to the character of the historic Bevis Marks Synagogue, located immediately behind these plots. Bevis Marks is the oldest synagogue in London, and as such holds a critical significance in the history of London's Jewish heritage. The synagogue grounds also house the museum of Spanish and Portuguese Jewry as well as being considered consecrated ground. I am quite sure that if this were a church or a mosque and not a synagogue, the planning application would not have been made in the first place, or would have been thrown out without any consideration! I trust the same will be true for the synagogue.</p> <p>Last year, a similar plan was rejected by your good selves, and this one is very close to that, with simply 4 floors less. This is making a complete joke of the process! This plot should be limited to a reasonable number of floors to allow the remaining area to continue operations as it has over the last hundreds of years!</p> <p>The synagogue in question has survived many challenges over that period, not least of which the blitz in WW2, and this plan will present new challenges with which it has not had to deal with, like excavation of 6-7 levels underground (including building foundations etc.) which potentially would lead to subsidence, putting the entire structure of the synagogue at risk (not to mention other buildings in the area)!!</p> <p>The other point to mention is that the area is already saturated with office blocks with plenty of empty room to rent, so there is no real commercial justification for creating more empty space!!</p> <p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Richards	Guy	<p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral or any other church, nor indeed any of the multitude of mosques around the capital, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shamash	Sue	<p>I am writing to formally object to the planning permission application for the proposed 45-storey tower adjacent to Bevis Marks, a Grade 1 Listed building of profound historical and cultural significance. My objection is based on the following grounds:</p> <p>Historical and Cultural Significance: The towering presence of the proposed development would overwhelm the delicate ambiance of Bevis Marks, much like St Paul's Cathedral, yet it lacks commensurate protection. The juxtaposition of such a structure would diminish the integrity and significance of the synagogue.</p> <p>Impact on Sky View and Religious Rituals: The proposed tower's height would obstruct the southern sky view crucial to the religious rituals conducted at Bevis Marks, hindering observations of celestial movements integral to these practices.</p> <p>Contravention of Conservation Area Policy: The development site falls within the Creechchurch Conservation Area, where current planning policy expressly prohibits the construction of tall buildings. The proposed tower represents a direct conflict with established statutory development plans.</p> <p>Doubtful Community Benefits: Claims of community benefits, such as the restoration of Holland House, fail to outweigh the irreversible harm to the synagogue and the broader Conservation Area. The proposed benefits lack substantive evidence and remain speculative.</p> <p>Squandering of Existing Resources: The proposed demolition of a structurally sound building, only 40 years old, represents a wasteful disregard for embodied energy and undermines sustainable development principles.</p> <p>Compromise of Heritage Assets: The proposed tower would compromise the setting of other heritage assets in the local area, detracting from the historical character and charm of the Conservation Area.</p> <p>Lack of Expected Standards: The endorsement of the development by the City Corporation contradicts its purported recognition of the importance of Bevis Marks.</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Petretti	Richard	<p>I have just heard the news that a plan has been revived to build an oversize office tower adjacent to the Bevis Marks synagogue (See planning application reference: 24/00021/FULEIA). Please stop this terrible idea and reject the application.</p> <p>Bevis Marks is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance to not only for England but for people around the world. A 45 story tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue which will destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual.</p> <p>The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place and at the wrong time. Inexplicably, the development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>The developers argue they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. But of these - for example, being car free, demonstrating holistic environmental design, having consolidated deliveries - are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building, and they do not represent a gain to the community.</p> <p>Furthermore, the existing building at 31 Bury Street is only 40 years old and is capable of being refurbished and providing good service for many more years. The unnecessary demolition itself raises very serious concerns regarding potential impact upon the neighboring structures and the environment generally.</p> <p>The City Corporation is being disingenuous: claiming to recognize the importance of the synagogue and other nearby heritage assets, yet simultaneously facilitating this monstrous development in a Conservation Area.</p> <p>Please put an immediate stop to any application to build such an inappropriate structure adjacent to historic Bevis Marks.</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stock	Lisanne	<p>I am writing to object to the proposed building as it will impose significantly on Bevis Marks Synagogue which is a historic and current place of prayer. The proposed height of the building will overshadow the synagogue which is a Grade 1 building of historic and architectural value.</p> <p>I do not think a building of this nature is appropriate given the impact it will have on this place of worship and even if certain safeguards have been point in place in the current proposal it continues to impact Bevis Marks and those in its community.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Langner	Lola	<p>I have just heard the news that a plan has been revived to build an oversized office tower adjacent to the Bevis Marks synagogue (See planning application reference: 24/00021/FULEIA). Please stop this terrible idea and reject the application.</p> <p>My great, great, great grandfather, David de Aaron DeSola, was a distinguished Rabbi at Bevis Marks from 1818 on.</p> <p>Bevis Marks in England was a beacon for Sephardic Jews and afforded us the safety we had been denied for hundreds of years. We still consider Bevis Marks a pivotal location in our family migration from Portugal (where many family members were killed in the Inquisition), to Amsterdam and then to England. Indeed, my husband and I regularly donate to Bevis Marks (though we live 8000 miles away), because Bevis Marks matters so much to us.</p> <p>Bevis Marks is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance to not only for England but for people around the world. A 45 story tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue. It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked forever.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>The proposed building would also damage the setting of other heritage assets in the local area compromising the qualities of the Conservation Area as a whole.</p> <p>The City Corporation is being disingenuous: claiming to recognize the importance of the synagogue, yet simultaneously facilitating this monstrous development in a Conservation Area. We expect much higher standards from Britain's most prestigious local authority.</p> <p>Please put an immediate stop to this or any other application to build such an enormous and inappropriate structure adjacent to the historic Bevis Marks synagogue.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Eskenzi	Yvonne	<p>The building of this substantial nature will totally overshadow this building causing loss of light, towering over the building. This is a 46 storey building with the basement which is like having an ancient Ewe tree expecting to survive in the shadows of a jungle being planted of eucalyptus trees sucking the life of everything around drip of water and light around it. It will suffocate its neighbouring buildings.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gritzman	Jeffrey	<p>Dear Anna Tastsoglou</p> <p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>I've visited a number of European cities such as Prague, Amsterdam and Seville where their best examples of Sefardi synagogues are prized and valued for their importance to Jewish and their city culture. It would be nice to think that London with its claims to be truly multicultural could do the same. This new application, barely changed since the last time it and takes no account of Bevis Marks being the most important Jewish site in the UK. Additionally and Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year deserves highlighting NOT overshadowing!</p> <p>The Jewish connection and contribution to the City and into the east end of London is worthy of promotion and a physical overwhelming of the building sends out the wrong message.</p> <p>This proposal would not be acceptable next St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's most important religious building.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p> <p>Regards Jeffrey Fritzmansn</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dreyfuss	John	<p>The development will destroy a view of a listed building and also overwhelm the synagogue.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Edwards	Matthew	<p>The City of London is a thriving commercial and leisure hub for London and I wholeheartedly support the addition of new floor space. A modern building will have a positive impact on the environment locally and generally.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Vock	Ido	<p>The plans do not propose to demolish Bevis Marks. They are to build another building some distance away from the synagogue. The objections are therefore on grounds of "light" and "overwhelming" the synagogue - this in the centre of the City and just metres away from the Gherkin!</p> <p>Preserving our heritage is important but nebulous objections to the size of neighbouring buildings in the very heart of our capital city cannot be used to hold back economic development. I support this application and hope it will be approved.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Flaxington	Frances & Lee		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lawrence	Beverley		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gayer	Stephen		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hart	Ruth		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Owen	Denise		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kalinowska	Jo	<p>This is a terrible proposal! It would completely overwhelm the neighbouring synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. It would block out daylight and have an immediate effect on the usage of the surrounding area.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Nowill	Rob	<p>The VERY last thing we need is another soulless skyscraper, there are literally dozens of unfilled ones already in the area. It will utterly spoil the surrounding area.</p> <p>It's also in direct contravention of the conservation area, which should not have tall buildings erected within it.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Darley	Gillian	<p>Some years ago I visited Bevis Marks with Biba Dow, architect to the synagogue, to look at proposals to enhance this remarkable survival. I was reminded of the absolute magnificence of the building - a tribute to the Great Synagogue in Amsterdam. I was representing SPAB on that occasion and we were alerted to the (previous) development proposals while looking at Dow Jones's careful work then under discussion. Then comes this monstrous new tower. I was given my OBE in 2014 marking work as an historian, author and conservation campaigner. This latest assault on one of the most evocative and atmospheric functioning buildings in the City is, not to mince words, barbaric. The City Corporation is prepared to countenance application after application on the site. This time it must forego the inducements of specious 'planning gain' to stand its ground. This is a no, no, and no.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Apr-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Symonds	V	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I am a visitor to Bevis Marks Synagogue and am dismayed to see this new proposal, particularly after a similar application was refused two years ago, and also in the light of the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application is only very slightly changed from the last submission and therefore should also be refused. It is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, now also part of a conservation area. It is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre is due to open later this year. Its special history, reminding us of the readmission of the Jewish people to England, must be carefully preserved and not compromised by new developments.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this overwhelmingly inappropriate development send about the City of London and its values and attitude towards the Jewish community's unique heritage to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's flagship synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I strongly urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Verbick	Jade	Bevis Marks is a landmark that shouldn't be overshadowed	NO	Public Access	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Craig Cohen	Leonora	Please do not permit the building of an enormous tower block near to London's oldest standing synagogue. This is supposed to be a protected area and there are other places to build. The construction will overshadow the synagogue and prevent full religious use of the space.	NO	Public Access website	29-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Roumani	Judith	Constructing a building of this height right next to the most historic synagogue in Britain would jeopardize many of its features. It would block the light and view of the sky and render religious services difficult. Such a building would never be constructed next to St. Paul's Cathedral, so how could it be constructed next to the 'cathedral' of synagogues? I consider this project misguided and a caving in to financial considerations over cultural, historical and spiritual ones. Thank you.	NO	Public Access website	30-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lampert	Dorothy		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	30-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kateb	Fuad		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	30-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bloom	Alastair		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	30-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	sitch	peter	<p>I've lived and worked in London since 1968. During that time I've seen London grow change and evolve. Much of this is good but every now and then a development is a step too far.</p> <p>This is one such please continue to oppose the scheme</p>	NO	Public Access website	30-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Tamman	Jessica	Bevis Marks synagogue is an absolute pillar of the Jewish community and a historical site. It is a abhorrent that this planning permission is even being considered to be going ahead and as a member of the Jewish Community and member of the city of London working community absolutely object to the building of a sky rise that will dwarf, block the only natural light the synagogue has and further plunge this beautiful and important place into darkness	NO	Public Access website	30-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shaw	David	<p>This building would herald untold permanent damage to the conservation area, casting shadows across the district and blocking the key and already limited view from Bevis Marks Synagogue. The access to light and ability to see the stars are important parts to Jewish ritual that have been practiced on this site continuously for over 300 years. Such an impingement would never happen down the road in St. Paul's - why is this being contemplated here? What's the point of a conservation area if you don't preserve the totality of precious gems we have left?</p> <p>The City has many unused office spaces since the pandemic and I can't see how it is in anyone's interest to knock down a building that is only 40 years old and replace it with this monstrosity.</p> <p>Please do the right thing and reject this erroneous planning application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	30-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fyne BA FRSA Solicitor (Non Practising)	Daniel	<p>I object to this application.</p> <p>A 42 storey tower would overwhelm the synagogue which has Grade 1 status. The synagogue has enormous religious, cultural, heritage, historic significance and importance which would be extremely harmed by the proposal. The proposal would overshadow, and harm the synagogue and courtyard. In terms of its physical and amenity aspects the proposal would be wholly inappropriate to the wider setting. The alleged benefits are not justified in the context of the harm caused and do not stand up to scrutiny. The importance of the heritage aspect of the building for the Jewish Community nationally and international must be taken into account so as to protect this valuable religious and heritage asset from the harm caused by the proposal.</p>	NO	Public Access website	30-Apr-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brett	Edward	<p>A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p> <p>It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual.</p> <p>It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations.</p> <p>It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship - installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>The City Corporation is required to identify locations suitable for tall buildings and has to be assessed by an independent inspector. This process is unlikely to be complete before late 2025.</p> <p>The developers are arguing that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue but do not stand up to scrutiny. Many - for example, being car free, demonstrating holistic environmental design, having consolidated deliveries - are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building, and they do not represent a gain to the community. Claims about the community value of the uses must be viewed with great scepticism.</p> <p>The existing building at 31 Bury Street is capable of being refurbished and providing good service for many more years. Unnecessary demolition simply bad for environment.</p> <p>The proposed building would also damage the setting of other heritage assets.</p>	NO	Public Access website	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mainz	Andrew	The proposed new building will intrude on the Bevis Marks synagogue and its small outside space. This should not be permitted, to preserve the historic nature of these premises.	NO	Public Access website	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Tarlton	Calvin		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Farrell	Tommy		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Dean	Gemma		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Feldman	Alex		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cory	Graham		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gorman	Suzanne		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Baccus	Aslam		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hillman	Michael		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sames	Dan		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sapphire Employability			N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Castle	Lauren		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Webster	Oliver		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Webster	Oliver		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Chadwick	Nicola		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Parsons	Kathleen	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a Sephardic descendant and a friend of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community. I am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the incorrectly titled Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade I listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. Also, I genuinely believe in the importance of London's current and historical survival to the existence of European Jewry, and Bevis Marks is the most crucial example of this continuing struggle</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern skyline, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of Saint Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Scott	Adam	Great design. Respectful of its neighbours in a consented tall buildings area. I am in favour of the massing and the height - in fact I think it could probably be taller. I full support this proposal.	NO	Public Access website	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morley	Phillip	<p>This is question comes up time and time again, which is completely valid, but is never really answered by planners. Why do we need another high skyscraper building? Less people are going to the office and remains empty at weekends, just doesn't make economic sense. We have a lack housing stock, surely the money is better spent focusing on that or improving the current building or brownfield sites.</p> <p>I have no objection to change, but when the designs are not authentic or respects it's surroundings, then it is a different matter. We are loosing or have indeed lost allot of our London skyline and this just adds to the problem.</p>	NO	Public Access website	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Franco	Mario	Respect history, respect the Jews, respect the synagogue.	NO	Public Access	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Alexandra	I'm horrified that there is again a threat to Bevis Marks Synagogue- an historic building which is still in use. It pre dates St Paul's Cathedral which would never be faced with a development of this type. This synagogue is important on number of levels in this country's history and was where my family worshipped for many years (Saquii). The plans represent inappropriate overdevelopment of this site and would dwarf and disrespect this historic Synagogue. A 43 story building with a 4 storey basement could easily be located in Dockabds where there is plenty of space. Once this is allowed there will be no going back so the planning committee should stand up for what is right and not be pressured by commercial concerns. Don't grant this permission.	NO	Public Access website	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Paul	I object to the development. It will overshadow a landmark listed building that is used daily for religious services, and which itself is a tourist landmark. The interior will be darkened by the proposed overbearing development, which is barely meters away from the synagogue. I am a member of the synagogue.	NO	Public Access website	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shaw	David	This proposal is incredibly insensitive to the Jewish community in London. To build a tower so close as to obscure the oldest synagogue in the UK is cultural vandalism of the highest order. Furthermore as the main site of the Spanish Portuguese Jewish community, it hurts an even smaller community. Please consider this and reject this proposal in its entirety. Thank you	NO	Public Access website	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rabinowitz	Monica	I object to the construction of a 43-storey tower next to Bevis Marks Synagogue, a Grade I-listed building. As the oldest continuously used synagogue in Britain and one of cultural and historical significance, this would create an unacceptable impact on the continuing Jewish community who still use the premises today. It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, overwhelming and overshadowing the synagogue building and courtyard. There is not good enough reason to build such a tall building on these premises, when there are other sites that would suit it, instead, and have less of a damaging impact on its sensitive surroundings.	NO	Public Access website	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Denham	Alison	It will tower over a beautiful historic site.	NO	Public Access	01-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	oleary	Lorraine	The Synagogue at Bevis Marks serves as an important cultural and historical landmark for jews throughout the world and should've saved at all costs	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Chissick	Michael	The Bevis Marks synagogue is of historical importance and the building would reduce its light. I thought this had already been sorted but it looks like the developer is back. Please stop it	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Midda MBE	Loraine	This development will disrupt the calm and peace of the beautiful Bevis Marks synagogue. The synagogue will be shadowed and the light will be blocked. This is the third time development has been requested even though plans had been rejected previously. And for the reasons previous plans were rejected these should be as well. The synagogue is an important historical living building for the Jewish community and should be treated with the respect it deserves.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hollick	Jeanette	This is disgraceful and should be stopped. Already too many high rises in the area spoiling its special character.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Goldstein	Andrew	As an occasional worshipper at Bevis Marks Synagogue I am inspired by the tranquility and spirituality of the place. It really is a unique experience in Britain. Yes, it is hemmed in by other buildings, but just about retains its unique atmosphere. The proposed development would undoubtedly overshadow the building and overtake and dominate this atmosphere. Such a massive development is totally out of place and must be opposed. Even the proposed 4 stories of basement present a danger to the structure of the historic synagogue.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Samsworth	Jane	I object to the diminution of real history, Bevis Marks This development would be ruinous.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stock	Caroline	I have written in before for a previous application and this is only a modification of that application. This building is inappropriate in this position particularly as it's a Conservation area. The building would totally dwarf the historic synagogue that would be below it. There are plenty of tall buildings in the square mile, but to build this size of structure in this position which will have a dramatic visual impact is inappropriate. Please listen to the objections.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Heitlinger	Philip	The development would block out light completely for this 340 year old synagogue which is on active use today	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hammond	Joan	Developers have erased too much of London's beautiful and colourful history in the name of making money! There has to be a halt to this before all of London's history - in particular, the original City of London - is erased and forgotten. We cannot allow that to happen. It's too important to London, Great Britain and the civilised world. If evil hasn't been able to achieve the ruination of London's rich history, then corporate planning has to be stopped from achieving such evil. Stand with Bevis Marks and stand with great history.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Crowne	Tilla	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). As a trustee of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community closely involved with the back and forth regarding the proposed development at 31 Bury St I am dismayed to once again be having to write in to object. Having fought hard to have the new Creechurch Conservation area approved, the proposed development at 31 Bury St is virtually unchanged after its initial refusal two years ago. Despite having knocked off two upper floors, it in fact now has a larger footprint and so is an even larger development than the previous one! Despite a slight reduction in height and re-angling of the building, it still lies right in the path of both the sun and the moon in the little remaining skyline the synagogue has left. The result is that, by day, a significant amount of sunlight is blocked to both the synagogue building proper, and to the courtyard which is crucial for reflected light entering the windows. As a Grade I listed building, not an office block, we cannot simply install bright overhead LED tubes, nor should we have to. Holy texts are read from parchment and congregants follow in books, as no electronic displays are permitted on sabbath and festivals. By night, the transit of the moon after dark, a crucial component of Jewish worship is actively blocked. Both of these are important not simply because of the character of the building, but because this is the home of a living congregation, the longest-standing in the UK, for whom worship is being made increasingly difficult. The proposed tower would dominate the setting of the synagogue, and makes a mockery of the conservation area. From ground level, the tower would dominate and block views not just from the courtyard but also from Heneage Lane and create a virtual cliff-face, entirely changing the scale of the entire block. Proposed benefits are negligible and the harms are real. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Krol	Alan	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of British Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Grossman	Deena	Having reviewed the over development of this site I am horrified to even see the initial plans which will again blight the landscape of the City of London. London has enough skyscrapers we do not need another one and certainly not one in the shadow of a historical building like Bevis Marks Synagogue. This is pure greed on the part of the owners and developers. No thought has been given to the history of the area. I strongly oppose the planning application	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Graham	David	This building is a poor quality ugly design, of undue scale and massing which detracts from the character of the conservation area. It will cause substantial harm to the significance and ongoing optimal use (for religious worship and study) of Bevis Marks Synagogue, a Grade I Listed building, by overshadowing, loss of daylight to its interior which was designed to mainly be naturally lit, and marrying the setting/ views around the building. The site would also be better used for housing above mixed-use development at street level, rather than unsustainable office space in a new building with a short design life. Houses are unaffordable in London whilst there is a massive surplus of office space owing to remote and flexible working.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Clarkson	Paul	It is not suitable for this kind of setting. Please deny this application	NO	Public Access	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Firth	Tanya	This application is unacceptable as it will change the character of the neighbourhood and affect local low rise buildings like the synagogue. Our heritage is more important than profit and insensitive building projects. Tanya	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Braithwaite	Nick	This would seriously affect the light coming into the very important adding Bevis Marks synagogue	NO	Public Access	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wertheim	David	I wish to object on the grounds that the proposed development contravenes the NPP Guidelines relating to Conservation Areas and Listed buildings as it will detract rather than enhance the area and will visually detract from the neighbouring Grade 1 listed Bevis Marks Synagogue. I am personally familiar with the Synagogue and attended the wedding service there of the child of one of my oldest friends. The building has survived miraculously for over three centuries including the bombing of London during WWII. To allow this development to go ahead would be an act of wanton architectural and heritage destruction.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dorey	Helen	These plans for a 43-storey tower would seriously harm the significance of one of Europe, not just the UK's - most important religious buildings, Grade I-listed Bevis Marks Synagogue (1701). This extraordinary building commemorates tolerance in the UK and has survived wars and waves of City development as a centre of worship and Jewish life since 1701. This is extraordinary, remarkable building is the Jewish counterpart to a Wren City Church and of equal importance. The synagogue now has official protection with the designation of the new conservation area in Creechurch - so how is it possible that fresh plans for a 178m tall tower right next to it can even be put forward, let alone seriously considered. The City must act to protect this internationally important building - both architecturally and symbolically immensely significant. This development is simply totally unacceptable and it would be the most appalling dereliction of duty were it to be approved.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	homewood	alison	Why on earth do you want to make London like Hong Kong? This is a disastrous proposal - 43 storeys, ever higher, right next to one of the most historic and beautiful and reasonably-sized buildings in a heritage area. Do you want a target for planes? For bombs? For fires? And even if none of those ever happen this building is entirely disproportionate to its proposed site. It is ugly, banal, of no architectural merit whatsoever, and post-COVID, totally unnecessary as there is so much vacant office space already. It will cast an ever-present shadow, set a precedent for other 40+ storey buildings and before you know it there will be a total wind-tunnel. Please please kill this proposal in its tracks.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Webb	Julia	I object to this application on heritage grounds. The incalculable importance of Bevis Marks synagogue in historical, heritage and cultural terms, in addition to its religious importance, will be irretrievably damaged by such an overbearing massive development right next door. The City of London has declared this a conservation area, so permitting this development would breach the City's own policies. The rampant overdevelopment of the City of London means that it is losing its spirit and charm, along with its heritage assets. To inflict this development on Bevis Marks would be an absolute disgrace. I hope that the City will reject it out of hand.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Banatvala	Fiona	This proposal is for a 45 storey tower would completely dominate the Grade 1 Listed synagogue skyline. It would also reduce daylight into the synagogue dramatically and because of the historic nature of the building, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible. The development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is to not allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. It is so important to protect our grade 1 listed buildings from harm and this, undoubtedly will harm a grade 1 listed building, which is an important part of the local heritage within this protected Conservation Area. The plans have been previously turned down and have only been amended with superficial changes. This proposal is not supported by the local community.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hepher	Roger	The proposed tall building would be highly damaging to Bevis Marks Synagogue, the Creechchurch Conservation Area and the setting of the Tower of London (World Heritage Site). It runs completely counter to national and local heritage policy, and the claimed "public benefits" do not begin to outweigh the heritage harm (some of which would be "substantial").	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Horowitz	Michael	Please do not overwhelm a precious island of history. This is a symbol of a history of tolerance in London's story.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wakeman	Lindsay	I wish to register my objection to the planning applications. My reasons are as follows: 1. The planned developments will seriously adversely affect the Bevis Marks Synagogue in terms of both its existing setting, and its supply of natural daylight. 2. Possible further adverse effects and damage to the foundations of the Synagogue and the fabric of its structure. 3. The Synagogue is a Grade 1 listed building of national significance, the oldest in the UK, and has been for over 300 years continuously a working building and centre of Jewish faith and for these reasons should not be subjected to any potential damage or detrimental changes to its surrounding, daylight supply, and outlook. 4. The proposed developments are of an entirely different scale and design, will not be in any way in sympathy with the ancient Synagogue, and will dwarf and forever change its setting. 5. The London Plan contains policies that state that tall and very large buildings must not adversely affect the surroundings of, or overshadow, existing buildings.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dunkley	Sam	This is an outrageous breach of the protection of a grade 1 listed building /conservation area, with enormous historical resonance. thanks to the Nazis there are few such historic synagogues left. This, proposal show complete disrespect for that, as well as the conservation protection..	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Montlake	Martha-Anne	This historic building needs to be protected and be available to future generations without anything impeding its future character and function.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Glaessner	Verina	We object most strongly to this application which will by virtue of its scale and mass at a stroke and quite without any necessity at all, reduce this finely conceived physical testimony to the texture and social lives of those who walked these streets before us. This precious sense of place and time, which such evidence of the depth and importance of lives that gives cities their character, to be overwhelmed by buildings of	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Julius	Corinne	The proposed development will overshadow the synagogue, changing the light in the interior and the view from the courtyard. This is an important religious building with symbolic significance, not only to Jews but to the wider community. It is a tranquil space that can be used by all. The new building would destroy the calm and peace, so relaxing in such a busy area.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Glaessner	Verina	We object most strongly to this application which will by virtue of its scale and mass at a stroke and quite without any necessity at all, reduce this finely conceived physical testimony to the texture and social lives of those who walked these streets before us to the merely vestigial and cute. It is this precious sense of place and time, which such evidence of the depth and importance of former lives lived, that gives cities their character. And that to be overwhelmed by buildings already way out of date, dependent on dwindling resources, water and energy ! Unacceptable.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hunt	R. G.	This is a 43 storey tower next to a Grade 1 listed building in a conservation area. Does the developer think the councillors are fools?	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Scott	Paul	I object to having a 43 storey building on this location for numerous social, environmental, public health, fire safety and heritage reasons. It will greatly change the character of Bury Street if this structure is built so close to a well established historic synagogue which has a lot of architectural value. There would also be an increased amount of noise pollution during construction which means that many other nearby people are going to be suffering the adverse effects of this proposed scheme too.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Penny	Susan	I object to the proposed new building because of the serious negative impact it would have on the historic nearby synagogue.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cannell	Dave	This is eroding a very historic part of the City. Do we really need another skyscraper? We have no need for further office space now so many people work from home. We cannot allow unnecessary structures to bully and dominate such a precious piece of our joint heritage.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morris	Shelagh	Do not be deceived into thinking that this is only Jewish heritage, because beauty that has been loved and preserved belongs to all of us and we are responsible for it. At a time when our very civilization seems to be tottering into chaos, the wanton destruction of yet another building of architectural, historical, cultural and spiritual distinction merely to further greed of monetary gain can only fill us with despair.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ramsay	Steve	This magnificent building needs to be protected from close development.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kustow	R Alexandra	I'm a Londoner, born and bred, although temporarily in the US. I object to the demolition of the Bevis Marks synagogue and Bury House. This is part of our London cultural, historical and physical heritage which many of us are proud of. To destroy this in order to erect yet another - from the exterior likely faceless, or worse, unappealing in every way stated - excessively tall building is to trash our heritage. And for what reason? To make the rich even richer. The quality of our streets and our lives are far more uniquely valuable than this. I STRONGLY OBJECT!	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lilley	Rebecca	I object to application 24/00021/FULEIA on the basis of its negative impact on the setting of Grade I-listed Bevis Marks Synagogue, and the conservation area in which it is. The application is at a scale which does not respect the heritage building line of the area, nor the character.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Plummeridge	Joy	Apart from the significant impact this would have on the environment, the traffic congestion the demolition and construction would cause, there is the matter of the amount of Co2 which will be released not only by the demolition but also by construction of a new building. There is the fact of the historical context of this building, and what it means to the people who use it, its links to their fore fathers.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hibbert	Neil	This is an outstanding historic building.If this is in a conservation area then a high rise block affecting light and dominating the area is totally inappropriate.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Miller	Jonathon	As a member of London's Jewish community I object in the strongest terms to plans for a tall building next to Bevis Marks Synagogue. Such a building would have a detrimental impact upon the synagogue's light which would negatively impact upon its use and enjoyment by the community. This is a grade 1 listed building and one of the most important and historically significant Synagogue's in the UK. As with any building of such unique and irreplaceable historic and cultural significance, it must be protected and I urge you to deny the planning application. In this matter you act as protectors and conservators of London's history and heritage have an obligation on whose behalf you hold office to make the right decision when it is in your power to do so. London needs to progress as any city does, but it must not be at the expense of its heritage nor of the rights of its ethnic minorities to continue to use and enjoy their historic places of worship and irreplaceable centres of culture. There will be many new buildings constructed in London in time to come, yet there can never be another Bevis Marks Synagogue and it is your responsibility to ensure it not only survives but does remains in the same condition and with the same quality of context as befits a building that represents so much. Please do the right thing, reject the planning application, protect this important building and in doing so send a message that the interests of all minorities in London will be respected and protected for the generations who have been and the generations to come, for who Bevis Marks is their legacy and inheritance. Thank you	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Howe	Andrew	Totally out of keeping for this area. This monstrosity will dwarf the synagogue and should not even be considered. Throw the application out please.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ellis	Peter	Bevis Marks Synagogue is a little haven of tranquillity off a busy thoroughfare. The proposed development would destroy the setting of this iconic building and compromise its unique atmosphere.	NO	Public Access website	02-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Salter	Amy	This should be a protected view from the synagogue Many years of history at Bevis Marks	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Beard	David	To place a 43 story skyscraper next to a unique Grade 1 listed building that relies upon light streaming through its windows is sheer folly and insults the synagogue's unique status. In the right place the skyscraper is a welcome addition to the City, but this is not the right place. If Aldgate and Creechurch are to keep their heritage, equally so should Bevis Marks. This is the wrong building for this site. (FYG I am a businessman who has spent 45 years working in the City)	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Corrin	Christopher	I am very surprised and shocked to see that yet another attempt is being made to erect an entirely inappropriate tall tower block in the Creechurch Conservation area. The proposed building would completely alter the aesthetic character of this area and its special buildings, especially the Bevis Marks synagogue but also Holland House, Renown House, St Catherine Cree Church and St Botolphs. There are so few areas of the historic City that have been left relatively unspoiled and this proposal would utterly spoil the character of this particular conservation area with a scale and design that is completely overwhelming. I urge you please to reject it.	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hardisty	Michael	This development, and others like it, goes completely against London's and the UK's ambition of achieving Net Zero carbon emissions. The emissions associated with demolition and construction will be massive and contradicts the first step of carbon management in infrastructure (PAS 2080): build nothing. It will also take natural light from surrounding buildings and streets, as well as trampling on local heritage. No.	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pike	Graham	I would like to object to this application for the following reasons: 1. The application is in the Creechurch Conservation area and tall building are in appropriate in that area.. 2. The application would be for a building that is overbearing in height for that location to the detriment of the other local properties. 3. The application would be for a building that would, for large parts of the day, create shadows on adjoining buildings to their detriment. 4. The streetscape would be altered dramatically to the detriment of the Conservation Area. Thank you. Graham Pike.	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bilbul	Jonathan	Would cause great harm to the Bevis Marks Synagogue of which I am a member. It is a site of great historical significance. A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shintag	Rachelle	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). Having already objected to the previous (refused) proposal two years ago, I'm appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area which was supposed to protect Bevis Marks synagogue and other heritage buildings in the area. This new application, barely changed since the previous (rejected) submission, is simply not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building (now also in a conservation area) which is the most important Jewish heritage site in the UK. The new tower to the synagogue's immediate south would dominate the synagogue's setting, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue premises, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's oldest active synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. Heritage sites such as Bevis Marks Synagogue, by their very nature, cannot be moved and deserve protection as an integral part of their surrounding built environment. By contrast, the proposed new tower is utterly generic in design and use, and there is no compelling reason why this cannot be developed in another location which does not impinge on a listed building and agreed conservation area. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gubbay	Judith	Bevis Marks has been a haven and a sanctuary for Jewish people whose forebears (including my own) had borne the brunt of religious persecution and exile during and after the Spanish Inquisition. We are grateful to the city fathers who have supported us in remaining a vital part of the community and allowing us to pray in peace, under a sky unimpeded by valueless buildings that are out of proportion with the human-scale historic city. Please turn down this latest attempt to spoil all this.	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Schluter	Angela	I object to a high rise block so close to this ancient place of work.	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Owens	M	The new build could affect the structural integrity of the historic building and any potential damage may have irreparable and catastrophic consequences. Such a proposal should not be considered in a Conservation Area.	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Thompson	Alan	Totally unnecessary to build this.	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Baskerville	Patricia	Our once beautiful City of London has already been desecrated enough, it's doesn't need another short that will stay empty.	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	ISRAEL	ANTHONY	BEVIS MARKS IS A HISTORIC LISTED BUILDING IN THE CITY OF LONDON AND HAS ALREADY CELEBRATED OVER 300 YEARS IN THE CITY OF LONDON. YOUR NEW DEVELOPMENT WILL DESTROY THIS HISTORIC PLACE OF WORSHIP, TO WHICH MANY FAMOUS PEOPLE HAVE VISITED FOR CELEBRATIONS AND MEANINGFUL WORSHIP. THIS INCLUDES KING CHARLES, A SUPPORTER OF " FAITHS " WITHIN THE UNITED KINGDOM. A DEVELOPMENT OF THIS SCALE WILL OVERWELM BEVIS MARKS AND CAUSE A NUISANCE OF TRAFFIC AND OF PARKING. ADDITIONALLY THE BUILDING OF THE NEW DEVELOPMENT WOULD CREATE IN ITSELF MUCH DIRT, DUST AND DISTURBANCE TO THE CITY OF LONDON.	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Tyson	Diana	<p>I object to the plan to build a 43 story building overshadowing Bevis Marks synagogue, which is in the Creechurch Conservations area and listed Grade 1. The synagogue dates from 1701 and is one of the most ancient heritage monuments in London. It depends for its light provision to there being space around it to let in natural light. The planned tall building would severely impact on this need and on the proper functioning of the synagogue.</p> <p>This is the third time that planning permission is sought to erect a very tall building next to it; the fact that the previous two attempts failed surely should show that such a procedure is unacceptable.</p> <p>Respect for our cultural heritage is an urgent need. Too much of it has vanished, through the ravages of time or weather, through war, through natural disasters. What is left should be cherished, not vandalised through totally avoidable adverse planning decisions.</p>	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Garnham	Lucy	<p>I believe to take away this historic place of worship from the local Jewish community would be incredibly disrespectful and racist. I also believe it would be a tragic loss of a beautiful example of historic architecture. After noisy and invasive construction of the unattractive and cheap looking block which has been proposed, there would have been nothing gained only lost by the local community. I would dub the proposition a nonsensical waste of funds and I consider myself strictly against this proposition, and wholly in favour of preserving this historic place of worship.</p>	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stallwood	W	<p>This is a historical place of interest and a place of beauty I think that dwarfing it with a glass monolith will seriously detract from its beauty We need to keep more places like this</p>	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Saunders	Joanne	<p>The synagogue is of significant cultural importance and has a protected status. This application will encroach on the purpose and culture of this historical site. I strongly object.</p> <p>I am writing to condemn the plans for the new skyscraper next to Bevis Marks.</p>	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mocatta	Michael	<p>Why is it necessary for me to write to you again? I have written several times before to explain my objection. It is thoroughly disingenuous, immoral and underhand of you to have allowed a new skyscraper to be submitted so recently after approving a conservation area around the Bevis Marks synagogue. You and the developers should be deeply ashamed of yourselves.</p> <p>As mentioned before, my family have been members and regular attendees at Bevis Marks since before its foundation (we arrived in London in 1680, and helped pay for the construction of Bevis Marks). My family name is literally written on the walls there.</p> <p>The building is holy - and the lighting within it is part of its holiness. You should know by now that blocking access to natural light through building a skyscraper nearby will change the character of Bevis Marks synagogue deeply, for the negative.</p> <p>Please log my objection - and please desist in this silly game you're playing with the developer.</p>	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Balkin	Brian	<p>The building (s) would be entirely out of keeping with the surroundings, especially given the historic building right next door. It would diminish the area to have such a building in such a position. There are plenty of other locations for a build if this type.</p> <p>A 43 story building, quite rightly, would not be allowed next to St Paul's.</p>	NO	Public Access website	03-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Amar	Janine	<p>This should be a heritage site. It's the oldest synagogue in England and it is still in use. Would you do this the to St. Paul's Cathedral?</p>	NO	Public Access website	04-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Liverseidge	Janice	<p>Application to knock down buildings and erect this too large, totally inappropriate building is so wrong. It will have a huge negative impact on Bevis Marks Synagogue which is an important and vital part of London's heritage and contemporary life. The proposal should be rejected outright</p> <p>Dear Persons ,</p>	NO	Public Access website	04-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lawrence	P	<p>I am not sure this would fall under your planning legislation , however aside from maybe taking over any sunlight which persons in the U.K. often have an issues its most likely a blight on the sky line , will create over crowding , congestion , ruin heritage ,</p>	NO	Public Access website	04-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Childerstone	Meredith	<p>I object to this proposal for two key reasons. Firstly, it heavily affects listed buildings that are an important part of the Heritage both to the City of London and the UK. The overwhelming size and proximity of the development will seriously impact on existing Listed Buildings and in particular an ancient and important place of worship. Secondly the plan does not appear to meet the UK's legal carbon commitment or the rapidly changing pressures from climate change. Climate change requires much greater use of structure and material together with repurposing and retrofit. Infrastructure needs such as water and renewable electricity are also critical. The current proposals do not appear to meet either of these.</p>	NO	Public Access website	04-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Moses	Selina	<p>Will you stop trying to take natural light and space from a historical building that is still used today. What disrespect. BM synagogue is irreplaceable</p>	NO	Public Access website	04-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rothschild	Louise	<p>I work in EC3 and it is becoming an increasingly more unpleasant space. Huge monolithic structures gobble daylight and create wind at ground level. The City used to pride itself on architecture however it is now allowing glass boxes. This building is inappropriate for the area - too large, and inflicting irreparable damage on areas of English heritage such as the Bevis Marks synagogue. Those of us using the area have no desire for yet more tower blocks, we want buildings that are human in scale, architecturally congruent with what is already there, and considered with regard to maintaining street scenes and heritage. This is none of the above.</p>	NO	Public Access website	04-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kal-Weiss	Holly	<p>Despite being in a conservation area, there are plans to build a 178m tall tower right next to Bevis Marks.</p> <p>This will seriously harm the significance of this internationally important building.</p> <p>We all benefit from such an historically significant and iconic building. Please protect Bevis Marks for future generations of worshippers, residents and tourists alike.</p>	NO	Public Access website	04-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Carp	Debbie	<p>This synagogue is of vital historical interest to the whole Jewish community in the UK if not in the world. It has historic significance and has stood in this location for centuries. A high rise block of offices situated immediately next door to it will block light, encourage crowds and heavy footfall, noise, disturbances, litter and take away the quiet peaceful surround necessary for a place of worship. Please don't go ahead with plans for this high rise office block.</p>	NO	Public Access website	04-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Walker	Caroline	<p>Totally unacceptable to develop multiple storey, excessive height buildings which dominate and destroy the character of listed and cherished adjacent buildings.</p>	NO	Public Access website	04-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goldman	Jeffrey	<p>This development will overshadow and overpower one of the most important buildings in the City - Bevis Marks Synagogue, the oldest place of Jewish worship in the country that is still operating. The proposed development would be an act of cultural and religious vandalism showing that the City authorities no longer have any appreciation or respect for its historical buildings that are of importance, not just to Londoners, but to people around the world. This should not be the type of message or impression that the City should be giving.</p>	NO	Public Access website	04-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Beenstock	Sian	<p>Protect Bevis Marks Synagogue it is in a Conservation Area and soon opening a Tourist Attraction in the grounds.</p>	NO	Public Access website	04-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Whaley	karen	<p>This new building is a monstrosity that will overshadow a beautiful grade one listed synagogue. It will cut out the light, seriously impacting the appearance of the glorious interior and will have a negative effect on the worship experience of the congregation. Please do not allow profit to come before beauty and history.</p>	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Caller	Niki	<p>A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p>	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Tolley	Tamara	It is vital that Jewish heritage sites as important as this are fully protected. The protections in place do not stand up to scrutiny. The light the synagogue needs will be overshadowed and its very character destroyed. This would never happen to St Paul's Cathedral. This Bevis Marks Synagogue is as important to London's diverse history as the Cathedral and as such should be protected.	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Silverstone	Fay	My husband and I were married in this beautiful synagogue in 1994. I have worked in this area for many years. It is a beautiful, historic building that needs to be protected from any unnecessary detrimental works that could in any way infringe its historic importance.	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gilbert	Dina	* The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. * The City Corporation is required to identify locations suitable for tall buildings. It has done some work on this, but there is much more work to be done, and their work eventually has to be assessed by an independent inspector. This process is unlikely to be complete before late 2025. * It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is this heritage site not afforded the same protection? * It would further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible. * The proposed building would also damage the setting of other heritage assets in the local area, and would compromise the qualities of the Conservation Area as a whole. * It is disingenuous to claim to recognise the importance of the synagogue, yet simultaneously facilitate a development that will damage its use.	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kuhn	Michael	how many times do we have to object to the negative impact this will have on the treasure that is Bevis Marks synagogue?	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Symons	Geraldine	I strongly object to this planning application for the building so near the Historically important Bevis Marks Synagogue..To block out so much more light is outrageous.. Developers are getting much too greedy. Bevis Marks is the most important and oldest Synagogue. in the country and must be looked after with great care.	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gafsen	Susan	This is a grade 1 listed building and a very important part of Jewish heritage in London. My great great grandparents were married there. This development would severely impact the light and the enjoyment of the synagogue and at the current time it's important that we respect the significance of this building for the diaspora and for London heritage.	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goodman	Judi	A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection? It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked. The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place. It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stone	Lee	The proposed building would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship.	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Newman-Crane	Sue	I write as a member of SAVE Britain's Heritage, hoping to do just that to protect the surroundings of the Jewish synagogue nearby which is both Grade 1 listed and in a conservation area. This vast tower would adversely affect the setting of the synagogue, and in addition, add another nail in the coffin of the City of London skyline, which is increasingly ruined and is so important to the capital's unparalleled visual heritage.	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sinclair-Horne	Annabel	A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm this historically significant Grade 1 listed synagogue. It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for most of the day, reducing its useability of the courtyard for religious festivals and celebrations. It would also particularly affect the daylight into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct religious practises. Also, due the protected significance and design of the synagogue, it is not possible to install additional electric lighting. This area is within the Creechurch Conservation Area which does not permit tall buildings, and therefore this development should not be granted. The proposed building would further undermine this historically valuable Conservation Area.	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rahamim	Simon	The proposal will severely and adversely affect a Grade I listed building of major historic relevance and of great importance to the Jewish community. It will completely overshadow the synagogue, block its southern sky view and affect the light. It is disappointing to see yet another proposal being put forward that will seriously diminish this important site. As a regular visitor and worshipper at Bevis Marks I believe that the proposed development will be disastrous to this historic site.	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	LEHMANN	Laura	A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bekhor	Alan	As a worshipper belong to the historic Spanish and Portuguese Jews' Congregation, which is the oldest Jewish Community in the UK, I am appalled by the proposed scheme. Not only does the scheme severely restrict light into this beautiful building, but it further eclipses a heritage site which is unique in Britain. Our planners will not be doing their job in preserving our historic landmarks, if they allow this scheme to go ahead. Alan Bekhor	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Pick Crystal	Suzana	<p>A 45 storey building would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building with a huge historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>I can't understand why such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p> <p>It would change the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.</p> <p>Although, the proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability it is simply in the wrong place.</p> <p>It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations.</p> <p>It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechurh Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>The City Corporation is required to identify locations suitable for tall buildings. It has done some work on this, but there is much more work to be done, and their work eventually has to be assessed by an independent inspector. This process is unlikely to be complete before late 2025.</p> <p>The developers are arguing that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. These benefits do not stand up to scrutiny. Many - for example, being car free, demonstrating holistic environmental design, having consolidated deliveries - are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building, and they do not represent a gain to the community.</p>	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Daniel	Edward	<p>I think this is an unnecessary and gratuitous project.</p> <p>A 45 storey building would involve horrendous levels of disruption during construction and become an eyesore and obstacle to the local area.</p> <p>The extensive damage to the nearby station would cause chaos and the endangerment to the Bevis Marks synagogue is unforgivable.</p> <p>This project should be cancelled.</p>	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Harris	Joy	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member the Jewish Community at large and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rose	Helena	<p>This Synagogue is a prominent landmark in London and a holy synagogue for Jewish people. It has been in continuous use for over 300 years and two world wars. Why should greedy developers be able to desecrate such a holy place and destroy an historical house of worship of this stature?</p>	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Angus	Bernard and Ruth	<p>Why are our heritage sites always the ones councils want to pull down to build new Britain's heritage is important to us older people</p>	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stagni	Silvano	<p>I am a member of Bevis Marks synagogue. I think that a building of that caliber would not be allowed next to StPaul's cathedral. Bevis Marks is a grade 1 listed building, the first synagogue established in the U.K. after the readmission. It is a symbol for Anglo-Jewry at the same level as StPaul's for every Londoner.</p> <p>A building of over 40 stories next door would completely obliterate it and reduce the already low level of natural daylight.</p> <p>It would not be allowed near StOaul's cathedral, why is it allowed near Bevis Marks?</p>	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brice	Katherine	<p>I object to the creation of a 43 storey tower so close to the unique and very significant Bevis Marks synagogue. This is a building of immense historic importance and it will be completely overshadowed by the proposed tower. I urge the planners to think again and protect this important part of our heritage.</p>	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Son	Michael	<p>I object to the building of yet another office block in the 1 sq mile of the City of London. It would be a a tragedy for another historical building to be destroyed.</p>	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hilsenrath	Alex	<p>This is completely unacceptable. This building will have a massive negative impact on the Bevis Marks synagogue, which has stood at this location for over 300 years. It is still in use today! Such an imposition on the skyline currently visible to congregants is incomprehensible.</p>	NO	Public Access website	05-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Baharier	Michelle	<p>The historic site of Bevis Marks must be prtected, it is one London's oldest buildings ...thess new builds will damage it and London's Jewysh history.</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levy	Raymond	<p>As a Jew and a member of the public I am strongly opposed to this development next to Bevis Marks one of the oldest synagogue in Europe and the oldest in the UK.</p> <p>To proceed would be an act of great vandalism.</p> <p>Incidentally this form does not allow me to insert my title of Emeritus Professor</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levy	Raymond	<p>As a Jew and a member of the public I am strongly opposed to this development next to Bevis Marks one of the oldest synagogue in Europe and the oldest in the UK.</p> <p>To proceed would be an act of great vandalism.</p> <p>Incidentally this form does not allow me to insert my title of Emeritus Professor</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ereira	Angela	<p>I strongly object to the new proposal to build an extremely tall building close to the Bevis Marks Synagogue. It is a Grade 1 listed building in a conservation area. The new tower will block the sky view and overshadow the synagogue.</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Leigh-Wood	Oliver	<p>This application, as with the previous two for this site, should be turned down. The synagogue one of England's most important religious buildings, is listed grade 1 and Holland house grade 11* and both are in the newly created conservation area.</p> <p>The setting of both will be severely and permanently compromised by the proposed new tower.</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Shapiro	Adam	<p>A 45 storey tower will completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p> <p>It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.</p> <p>The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place.</p> <p>It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations.</p> <p>It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>The City Corporation is required to identify locations suitable for tall buildings. It has done some work on this, but there is much more work to be done, and their work eventually has to be assessed by an independent inspector. This process is unlikely to be complete before late 2025.</p> <p>The developers are arguing that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. These benefits do not stand up to scrutiny. Many - for example, being car free, demonstrating holistic environmental design, having consolidated deliveries - are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building, and they do not represent a gain to the community.</p> <p>They propose to restore the Listed Holland House[1] and turn it over to various uses which are claimed to be of community benefit. Whilst the restoration of this building is to be welcomed, the claims about the community value of the uses must be viewed with great scepticism.</p> <p>The existing building at 21, Bury Street is only 40 years old and is capable of being refurbished and I comment as a member of Bevis Marks Synagogue, where my family has prayed and been an integral part of the community since it was opened in 1701. Indeed some 14 continuous generations have been married there. This development is in a newly created conservation area and goes completely against its objects. The proposed monstrosity will leave the Synagogue completely in shadow and will impede services which are reliant on seeing the moon.</p> <p>It does not do the City any service to see how planning rules within conservation areas which the Corporation itself has put in place can be flouted at will.</p> <p>Finally the developers are being disingenuous in the "amenities" they are offering which they admit are being offered to try to mitigate the disastrous effect this will have on Bevis Marks and which would in any event form part of any modern development</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Martin	Frank	<p>The proposed development would have a very significant negative impact on Bevis Marks synagogue, a highly important listed building, as well as in the other buildings in the conservation area in which the synagogue stands.</p> <p>What is the point of the Creechchurch Conservation Area if permission is granted to erect a building of such an overpowering nature as this?</p> <p>The City's planning policy states that tall buildings are inappropriate within conservation areas.</p> <p>It's a historic building been standing a very long time. In fact it will still be standing when all these modern monstrosities have long gone. We don't want it destroyed. Go build your plastic tower somewhere that the sun doesn't shine. We are sick of losing our heritage to greedy developers who don't have an ounce of talent between them. Leave the synagogue alone. If it was a mosque you wouldn't touch it.</p> <p>This is a historic synagogue and should be left alone. There should not be any building around it. It holds records from many synagogues that no longer exist such as the one my great grandfather worked at plus many of the records for my father's family.</p> <p>Opposition to Application 24/00021/FULEIA</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Richardson	Joanna	<p>Application almost unchanged since last submission was refused and does not remain in keeping with the conservation of a Grade 1 listed building which is also in a conservation area</p> <p>The proposed tower to the synagogue's immediate south would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue and would severely limit the southern daylight view</p> <p>The proposed tower would affect the ambience of the synagogue and would have a negative impact on the Heritage and Education Visistor Centre due to welcome many visitors from around the world later in the year.</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Billingham	Keith	<p>The proposed tower is completely out of character with the site and will overwhelm the local historic buildings</p> <p>In particular, the world famous Bevis Marks synagogue will be overshadowed, thus losing a jewel in the crown of the city landscape.</p> <p>The growth of the City of London depends on a sensible and thoughtful balance of new buildings in their setting with existing.</p> <p>This tower is completely out of line with all idea of design and feel of the area and should not be allowed</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Evans	Chris	<p>I object strongly to this skyscraper. It is deeply inappropriate in this location, given its proximity to the historic synagogue and its location in a conservation area and its effect on the setting of the Tower of London. I hope that the City Corporation will refuse this planning application. The Corporation has a duty to protect its unique heritage not only for today, but also for the generations of the future.</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gower	Judith	<p>I strongly object to this development which is very little changed since it was rejected a couple of years ago. It will overshadow the grade 1 listed Bevis Marks Synagogue and take the daylight away from the building during most of the day. I thought this was now a conservation area and it would be protected from such radical redevelopments.</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Steinberg	Susan	<p>My husbands grandparents were married at Bevis Marks. He visits there often remembering his grandmother's Spanish / Portuguese name of Corre They were persecuted then. Much like today How dare the council even consider pulling down a much loved HISTORICAL BUILDING .Shame on you</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kessler	Charles	<p>Sir, Madam</p> <p>As a Christian and prospective City of London Tour Guide, I object to the application for a building well over 10 times the height of Bevis Marks Synagogue being erected and thus compromising the intrinsic benefits that the building holds to the Jewish community and the wider City as a whole.</p> <p>The Christian faith has seen encroachment of modern City buildings on its incredible architectural legacy. As such Tour Guides, amongst the wider faithful, are beginning to struggle to enjoy these buildings from both outside as well as inside. The Bevis Marks Synagogue is already in an enclosed space. It would do the City immense disservice to allow a huge building to provide further distraction from it, and its history which is so intertwined with that of the City itself.</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hepher	Margaret	<p>Chris</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Kindelan	Adam	<p>Why is there such a furor over sunlight? Bevis Marks synagogue is in such a built-up area that this tower would have less of an effect on it than, say, a few extra overcast days each year. (This is especially true since the proposal's redesign, which is shorter and more slender to minimise its shadow.) Refusing the skyscraper on such grounds would be no more reasonable than somehow outlawing clouds, or legislating for more daylight during winter months.</p> <p>I hope the City planners will approve the proposal on the basis of its design strengths, and ignore the objectors' exaggerations.</p> <p>Why is there such a furor over sunlight? Bevis Marks synagogue is in such a built-up area that this tower would have less of an effect on it than, say, a few extra overcast days each year. (This is especially true since the proposal's redesign, which is shorter and more slender to minimise its shadow.)</p> <p>Refusing the skyscraper on such grounds would be no more reasonable than somehow outlawing clouds, or legislating for additional daylight during winter months.</p> <p>I hope the City planners will approve the proposal on the basis of its design strengths, and ignore the objectors' exaggerations.</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Silver	Rachelle	<p>This is a historic building, a synagogue that my great grandparents married in and I use to Allen's when I lived in the city of London . We need to preserve the view and area, this is crucial part of the history of immigrants into London, the Jewish immigrants contributed so much to the city . My great grandfather was an alderman of the City , he was so proud of being one. Let's not block all beautiful historical buildings with skyscrapers, progress is great but we can't move forward without acknowledging our heritage and our past. I'm 73, but I remember houndsditch as a thriving warehouse area , my family had Jandow, my father and grandfather traded in Cutlet street Jewlery market, all I can do is pass memories to my grandchildren, but generations should have easy access, and unspoiled views of there heritage, so much of the old has gone.,</p>	NO	Public Access website	06-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Scott	Marion	<p>This Grade 1 listed building, serves as an important place of worship for the past 400years. There are so many tall modern buildings surrounding Bevis Marks, that the natural daylight is almost obliterated. This will be the one that will completely obliterate the natural daylight, and ruin the last part of the beauty this building holds. This will make it dark, cold and a wind tunnel. I do totally object to this planning application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hudson	Lee D		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Riella	Samantha		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Riella	Samantha		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Furness	Sara		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	McGurran	Deborah	<p>The Bevis Marks Synagogue is a hidden gem in an already overcrowded area. It is important that we retain and protect our historical buildings and protect them for future generations. It is also incumbent upon us, in this generation, to preserve the integrity of these buildings. In order for the Bevis Marks Synagogue to function properly it needs to maintain the aspect of the southern sky simply for daylight access to the building. It is also important to have the space to enjoy for celebrations and to have it available for any ritual practices - without being hugely overshadowed by such an enormous tower block. Is it really necessary to out a massive tower block right there? There will be other sites in the area that will not impinge on such an esteemed, historical and religious site. As well as wholly preserving the city's ancient monuments without compromising their use or aspect, I would also question the carbon footprint of the proposed demolition and rebuild of a such a sky scraper. I do hope this proposal is not approved and the Bevis Marks Synagogue is not impacted in any way by this or any future developments. It should be celebrated for generations to come and not compromised by huge developments nearby.</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Walker	Marcus	<p>These plans are a direct threat to the continued operation of Bevis Marks Synagogue, the oldest synagogue in the country and the only non-Christian place of worship in the City. While this would be an outrage in normal times, at the moment when the Jewish population of the UK feels particularly at risk, this would shame the City. There is also a very direct threat to the physical safety of the Jewish congregation from having so many people overlooking them, which could not be adequately mitigated, nor could the risk of people within the new tower blocks abusing their position to abuse the congregation racially.</p> <p>The City has a good and growing reputation in supporting the diversity of the City's transient and domestic populations. This would set back the City's reputation by decades.</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Simpson	Karla		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Eisner	Phillippe	<p>The Synagogue is a historic building the oldest in Uk . Much treasured by the Jewish community and visited by Schools and public. Its destruction would be a terrible blow to our heritage</p> <p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Waterman	Dawn	<p>I am a member of British Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application has hardly changed since the last time it was submitted and is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Montgomery	Ruth	<p>This scheme would blight Bevis Marks, the oldest synagogue in the UK, which is also an historic building of cultural interest. In addition it would entail a security risk to users and visitors to Bevis Marks by putting it in direct view of the proposed building. This is not acceptable. Surely such office space could be found elsewhere in the City without such impact on historic buildings?</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Hogan	Margaret	<p>I am a frequent visitor to London and on a recent visit I learned of the planned blighting of the wonderful, historic Bevis Marks Synagogue, by the developers of 31 Bury Street, whose planned 45 storey, tower will overshadow this Grade I listed building. Their plans show no sense of history, of culture, of religion or even of the financial benefits to be gained by the city of London, through the many tourists who visit Bevis Marks. They are taking a wrecking ball, not only to this most ancient of Synagogues, but also to one of the most historic areas of London.</p> <p>I first saw Bevis Marks when it was included in a cultural tour during a Conference I attended in London some years ago. All the conference delegates looked in awe at this stunning building which was enhanced by the sympathetic surrounding environment.</p> <p>Any authority that would grant planning permission for a new skyscraper which would darken this Synagogue internally and externally and totally overshadow it, would be rightly condemned and vilified by future generations.</p> <p>Could you imagine the planning authorities in Paris doing such a thing? Take note of how they are restoring Notre Dame Cathedral. Beautiful historic buildings cannot be replaced, they are a priceless heritage which we are duty bound to preserve for future generations. Unlike modern buildings, some of which barely last 40 years e g 31 Bury Street.</p> <p>I trust that the London planning authorities will not be left behind and put in the shade by the French planning authorities, who are now leading the way in the preservation and restoration of their historic, religious buildings.</p> <p>Being over shadowed is not to anyone's benefit.</p> <p>Margaret Hogan</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Isaacs	L	There are enough buildings already offering what Bury house are offering. It's important to respect old historic buildings. Suggest one asks King Charles for his comment.	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Johnson	Donna	You are trying to erase a beautiful synagogue which is a part of the Jewish community and a place of worship. Considering what is occurring at the moment I find the decision to eradicate a part of my religious history and fellow Jews absolutely unnecessary and hurtful.	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bankover	Michael	Object	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Roston	Natasha	A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sacks	Rachel	Bevis Marks is the oldest synagogue in the UK and has been used as such for over 300 years. Already, because of local development, it is surround and overshadowed by so many buildings. There is only the southern aspect, which is so important for religious rituals that remains open to the sky. The proposal, similar to a 2022 rejected proposal, is for a 45 story building to be erected blocking the last remaining view of the moon's passage, as well as further diminishing the last remaining natural light into the courtyard and the already dimly lit interior of the synagogue. The synagogue holds huge historical, religious and cultural importance to the Jewish community. Please reject this proposal so that the synagogue can remain fit for purpose for another 300 years. Thank you	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Renton	D	Out of scale with surrounding buildings, showing no sensitivity to the setting or usage of Bevis Marks. Couldn't see this proposal flying if sited next door to St Paul's, Mansion House or The Guildhall.	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Segall	Edwin	<p>I am a member of the S&P Sephardi Congregation and a regular worshipper at Bevis Marks Synagogue.</p> <p>I was horrified to learn that a new application has been made to erect a new skyscraper office building on the site of 31 Bury Street, despite the fact that a similar application received so much opposition fairly recently and, even more so, the fact that the existing property and the Synagogue itself are now within a Conservation Area.</p> <p>The proposed building would considerably reduce the already limited amount of natural daylight inside the Grade 1 Listed Synagogue building and would also obscure the vision of the Moon, which is required as part of Jewish worship on the appearance of the New Moon each month.</p> <p>Any major building works, particularly underground excavation, in such proximity to the Synagogue could seriously endanger its foundations and fabric, so soon after the major renovations which have only recently been completed.</p> <p>The City of London already has a plethora of skyscraper office buildings, each one more hideous in appearance than the next, and to permit another, in what is now a designated Conservation Area, would be a sad reflection on the City's sense of pride and purpose</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wright	carin	<p>There is very little of the old city left , this building is already hidden by skyscrapers, many of which are sitting empty. We do not need another in that location.</p> <p>This synagogue is one of the oldest in this country , probably in Europe . It should be allowed to breathe ,</p> <p>Our history and heritage is important . These modern skyscrapers have no character.</p> <p>Can you imagine people paying to visit one in 200 years time ?</p> <p>I doubt it would last that long anyway.</p>	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hakkak	Meir	The proposed tower will completely overwhelm Bevis Marks synagogue ,a Grade 1 listed building, denying its right to light. Bevis Marks is where I was married over 20 years ago. The Corporation of London would not contemplate a similar development next to St Paul's Cathedral. I cannot see that the proposed tower in its proposed location is a requirement for "progress" in the City.	NO	Public Access website	07-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Smith	Lynda	Leave this beautiful building alone. So many of our historical buildings have been destroyed or tampered with. It is a London landmark. There is nothing beautiful about all these high rise buildings they have no soul.	NO	Public Access website	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stein	S	As King Charles prevented building high rises close to st.pauls cathedral as it is an important church same till should be used for the Bevis Marks synagogue. This place of religious status should be allowed to keep some "breathing space" around it. It is already very close to many buildings that are bigger but a very high story building will diminish its existence.	NO	Public Access website	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Davey	Cathy	Don't do this ,don't dig down ,don't Rob the synagogue of light .The noise ,disruption the sameness of architecture spoiling what was once an interesting old city.	NO	Public Access website	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	SMITH	Maurice	the proposal to build a 41 floor tower next to bevis marks synagogue is utterly ludicrous. it would dominate that Grade 1 listed buildingremoving light and obstructing the sky views from the synagogue. I strongly object to these totally inappropriate plans thank you	NO	Public Access website	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Silverstein	Raymond		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sassoon	Janette		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ronish	Yarema		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morris	Howard	The balance between the utility of a new building as envisaged and the importance of the Bevis Marks synagogue falls squarely on rejecting the application. Bevis Marks is an extraordinarily important building, precious to the country, Anglo-Jewry and Jewish people worldwide and to the City of London. The City is the guardian and steward of its history and heritage and Bevis Marks is a jewel to be respected and honoured. Much of the City's built heritage has been lost over the years through no fault of the City of London, some has to give way to progress in order for the City to stay vital and vigorous to business and commerce but there would have to be something very special, bringing benefits to the City and its inhabitants and visitors far, far more than is offered by this development to justify the harm that will be done to Bevis Marks.	NO	Public Access website	08-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Cusack	Andrew	I strenuously object to this application which would have a clear deleterious negative effect on Bevis Marks Synagogue. The synagogue is a site of immense historic importance but even more precious in that it remains in use as a place of worship today. Its history, its present function, and its architectural context would all be severely and negatively impacted by the proposal, which would also run the risk of setting a dangerous precedent should similar cases arise. The application should be rejected.	NO	Public Access website	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Acres	Tom		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Album	Edward		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ben Garcia	Jonathan		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Torry	The Rev'd Dr Malcolm		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jacobs	Everett M		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sondes	The Countess	I entirely support Save Britain's Heritage work in protecting the Bevis Marks Synagogue. I wish them well with their courageous battle in saving such an important building.	NO	Public Access website	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Coghill	Andrew		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Azagury	A E		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	LL	A	Against it affecting synagogue	NO	Public Access	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jones	Roslyn	I strongly object to this renewed and very slightly revised proposed development. Bevis Marks Synagogue, a Grade I Listed Building, is of huge historic, religious and cultural importance to the Jewish community and the many school groups and tourists who visit it. The synagogue is not a museum but a living and working place of religious worship. Allowing a 45 storey tower to be built which will overwhelm the synagogue is a travesty no matter how well designed it is and its green and sustainability credentials. What is more, having survived many challenges over its long history the deep underground excavation work will more than likely put its entire structure at risk. The reality is that, if allowed to go ahead, this tower block will overshadow both the synagogue and its courtyard and further reduce the daylight which is already minimal. The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Allowing it to go ahead when current planning policy does not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas means there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. This application must be refused.	NO	Public Access website	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bijl - Meijer	Anna	With this writing I will express my sincere objection for the planning of a monstrous 45 high story building office nearly next to the oldest 'Bevis Marks Synagogue' dating from 1701 and 'Grade 1 Listed I A 45 high story tower or actually any higher story building than the precious old synagogue, will destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting which contributes to the religious ritual in the synagogue. It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates the synagogue and making it more difficult to conduct worship. Installing additional electric lighting is not feasible due to the old synagogue's historic nature. The planned development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is NOT TO ALLOW tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. The developers are audaciously trying to get a green light for their proposal of a high sky tower which nobody would ever fancy when walking and admiring the old city's buildings. The proposed '45 high sky building' should be placed in a different location, and certainly NOT in a conservation area next to this beautiful 'Bevis Marks Synagogue'. In the hope you make a wise decision. Yours Sincerely, Mrs. Anna Bijl - Meijer.	NO	Public Access website	08-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Austen	Michal	This historic house of prayer depends on the light coming into the building With sky scrapers overshadowing the building, it makes prayer oppressive and difficult.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Faulds	Susan	You must not plunge this building into darkness. The building should be celebrated. Many tourists come here. Embrace the heritage and do not denigrate it. It is for these reasons I object to planning permission being granted.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	palache	ralph	The synagogue is by far the most important jewish site in the UK so the the surrounding area must not overwhelm it.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Nicholas	Ronny	The proposed 43-storey tower, being visible from within the synagogue's courtyard, would have a direct and substantially harmful impact on its setting and secluded nature. The sky view from the courtyard has religious importance and allows daylight into the synagogue's beautiful interior. This was a reason for the refusal of a previous tower proposal. I also have major concerns that a building of such a great height would cause harm to the adjoining grade II*-listed Holland House, the 1912 Renown House, for which rooftop extensions are also proposed. A large building of this scale would cast a shadow over multiple highly listed buildings within the Creechurch Conservation Area, including the, 17th-century St Katherine Cree Church and 18th-century St Botolph's, both grade I listed and located at its heart.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lecutier	Louise	The demolition of Bury will mean the loss of both cultural and historical building.	NO	Public Access	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sorotzkin	Duche	As a religious Jew, this development will block the natural light that is needed for the oldest synagogue still in use in the UK and as the synagogue relies on natural light, by allowing this development to go ahead, it will cause irreversible damage to a synagogue over 300 years in use and to the whole Jewish community in the UK and to the city of London as whole	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gau	Justin	This will plunge the oldest Synagogue in London into the dark. As an Anglican Priest I find that sort of thoughtless brutality of another faith's place of worship repugnant.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hardy	Patrick	Speaking as somebody who worships every week in the City of London, it is disturbing to see that the City is seeking to drive out worshippers of another faith from the only non-Christian place of worship in the City. This would have such harmful effects on the civic life of the city, erasing out a religious minority. On top of this, it turns a rare example of history that is alive into history that is dead. This is wrong on so many levels and shouldn't be considered in our multi-faith city	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brooks	Iolo	This development is completely out of character and scale for a conservation zone and dwarfs and impacts the light and amenities of a working 300 year old historic synagogue, how can you think it is appropriate?	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Harrison	Sarah	Permission must not be granted for this project in a conservation area. In addition it is important to recognise that the life of the City includes religious worship as well as shops and cafes.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Marks	Jackie	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and its historical importance to British Jewry and am upset to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application is hardly any different to the last application and the same objections still stand. It is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK with its forthcoming important Heritage and Education Visitor Centre.</p> <p>The proposed new tower would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue and would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bye	Georgina	Detrimental to the historic nature of the area and in particular to Bevis Marks synagogue.	NO	Public Access	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stapleton	Mariella	I object to the bevis marks being teared down. It is one of the oldest synagogues and my grandparents got married there. It holds a lot of significance to us at Jews in the uk and at this moment in time we need our religion to stand strong and to feel part of something. The bevis marks is a staple in the community and we must protect it.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stapleton	Laura	The bevis marks is a staple in the Jewish community. I am from Manchester and feel the weight of it being torn down. It is important we protect this synagogue as it is important to us all!	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Devine	Kathryn	It is unthinkable that this beautiful and historically significant building should be threatened yet again by a monstrous and inappropriate development. You would not allow this near a cathedral, so why here? At this time more than ever we must protect our Jewish community and its places of worship.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Purcell	Mark	An absolutely deplorable proposal - that this wonderful building, a key place for Anglo-Jewry, the centre for a living community, an incredibly beautiful and important building, and the sole non-Christian place of worship in the City should be overshadowed in this. As a Freeman of the City I object in the strongest terms.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	LAX	JACOB	<p>I have been a regular visitor to Bevis Marks Synagogue, for some 40 years. It is a beautiful building, about 350 years old,.</p> <p>I understand that the proposed development will take a few years to complete. During this tome, there will be a continuous noise that goes with building works, especially on the scale of the proposed project.</p> <p>Bevis Marks Synagogue still holds daily services, these would be disrupted by the noise. It is not well insulated against sound.</p> <p>I am also concerned about the effect of the proposed project on the natural light that the Synagogue benefits from. It was built in the days when candles were used to light up the main parts, assisted by sunlight. there are now a limited number of electric lights, to provide some extra light, but this is a minor source as the Trustees wish to retain the ambience of the Synagogue as much as possible.</p> <p>Finally, the new development will not blend in with the buildings around it. Whilst I am not against modern architecture, I would expect building permission to be limited to 12 floors maximum.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Binke	Adrian	save Bevis Marks should not be desacrated by tall buildings which will hide its beauty it's the oldest synagogue in England should be there for its congregation to pray in solitude	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Artoon	Sydney	This proposal for a 43 storey building will cause extreme traffic congestion and possibly accidents in a already crammed area where there is only limited space 4 storey basement space can architects guarantee that is safe !! Light will be affected, the area will be in near perpetual darkness !!	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jacobs	Tony	This proposal will cause enormous issues to Bevis Mark synagogue. It is not only a grade 1 protected property but it is in constant use and needs daylight to be able to run religious services and the admin surrounding it	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Joseph	Natalie	One of britains oldest historical sites does not need to be overshadowed by what will probably be an eyesore of an apartment block. Completely unnecessary.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Franz	Benjamin	Bevis Marks is an ancestral congregation for my family. It's a glorious well preserved building that requires natural lighting to truly frame its glory. To build 43 stories next to it would be to create a dark, depressing environment. It would rob Bevis Marks of its wonder. Do not build this building. When next I come to London, I wish to experience the holy congregation in all its natural splendor.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morales	Eva	Bevis Marks is a treasure, a beautiful and historic synagogue that is the oldest synagogue in all of Europe in continuous operation. To plunge it into darkness is almost a perfect metaphor for the decline of Jewish life in Europe, and should not be considered. I was in City of London to pray at this holy place for Passover and it was an amazing experience that I will happily recommend to any fellow Jews I come across. Please allow this community to flourish.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Collins	Lauren	<p>This is an atrocious plan which would have a hugely detrimental impact on the Bevis Marks Synagogue, as both a place of worship and as a building of vast cultural and historical significance. Jewish Londoners and visitors must be allowed to use the synagogue with sufficient light and not be plunged into darkness by this pointless building.</p> <p>The intended development is ugly and totally unnecessary - London simply doesn't need another dominating building like this, totally out of proportion with its neighbours and the skyline. If it goes ahead it will be a huge insult to the Jewish community, and to anyone who values London's priceless heritage, history and culture.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kaiser-Chen	Aaron	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I am writing to register my objection to the proposed structure. As a member of the Bevis Marks Synagogue community, a member of the synagogue choir, and lay-cantor, I find it incredibly tiresome and antisemitic that structures such as the proposed one continue to disregard me and my fellow congregants, and treat us as undeserving of human dignity, seeking rather to deprive us of the very little natural light we do enjoy during regular worship.</p> <p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is both a living community and an integral part of British history - both Jewishly and nationally. It is unfathomable how developers can continue to bring forward proposals that actively seek to plunge both bonafide, thriving communities and history itself into darkness. Given that the community has received recognition from the Heritage Lottery and patronage from the King himself, it baffles the mind how this can be allowed to continue. To even insinuate that the proposal would not adversely affect the community is not only an insult to us as regular worshippers, but to the work of those who carried out any such survey.</p> <p>Such proposals have been brought and rejected before. In light of the proposed conservation area, and the international rise in antisemitism, it is astonishing that this proposal can even be considered. I urge its withdrawal posthaste.</p> <p>Kind regards, Aaron Kaiser-Chen 48 Queens Avenue, London N3 2NP</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Summers	Dominic	The construction of a 43-storey tower block next to a building that is so important culturally, historically and for religious reasons is almost unimaginable in its insensitivity. It would have a very damaging effect on the cultural heritage of the area. But, what is worse, would be an insult to the Jewish community. This is culturally and historically the most important synagogue in the UK and one of the most important in Europe. Would such a tower be permitted only metres from St Paul's?	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ani	Alex	This is a very bad idea - will be massively detrimental to Bevis Marks Synagogue.	NO	Public Access	09-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Shenton	Caroline	The impact on worship at the historic Bevis Marks synagogue would be devastating by blocking significant portions of the sky, in addition to the damage its historic setting. Has no equality impact assessment been done at all ?	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Doherty	Helen	Why does London need another monster skyscraper and so close to a beautiful old building like Bevis Marks it will spoil the beauty tranquility of this old historic building shame on you for even thinking about it	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	de Solla	Wendy	I'm reaching out from across the Atlantic (Canada) to object to the Planning Application reference 24/00021/FULEIA. The proposed structure would alter forever the natural light which streams into the Bevis Marks Synagogue. The Synagogue should be preserved and protected from unnecessary high rise developments around it (as any other historical building would or should be). Why am I, a Canadian (and a non Jewish person), interested in this? I am speaking out on behalf of my Jewish ancestors who attended this Synagogue and delivered sermons there. My ancestors are gone but their descendants have a responsibility to speak out against threats to this historical building. Please listen to our plea and don't let money destroy the beauty of this old building! Thank-you.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ansell	Charlotte	It would be an absolute travesty to allow this 45 storey tower to overwhelm Bevis Marks Synagogue, a grade 1 listed building in a Conservation Area, which is incredibly important to both the history of the Jewish community in London as well as those that continue to pray there. Such a building would never be allowed beside other important buildings for other faiths and the same should be the case for Jewish people. It would absolutely destroy the southern sky view of the synagogue, which is a very significant part of the setting and would affect important religious rituals, shadowing the synagogue and its courtyard and reducing the already limited daylight into the synagogue. It is also currently not clear that the proposed new building has benefits that in any way outweigh the harm that building it would cause both physically and culturally. Has the Jewish community not been harmed enough? Conservation areas and Grade 1 listings are there for a reason! I urge you to reconsider.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mocatta	David	The Synagogue is unique and should be cherished and respected not plunged into darkness. Please protect Britain's heritage.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Terpilowski	Sue	Damage to light for Bevis Marks Synagogue	NO	Public Access	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ruff	Chris	The disruption to the synagogue here seems to be catastrophic, the loss of light and the effect this will have appears to be obvious. The City has a great heritage and places of importance such as this should be protected. We have lost plenty in our City of London in recent years and we can well do without another casualty.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	black	keith	This development will have an awful impact on the the grade 1 listed bevis marks synagogue, an impact that would not be acceptable for any other building of this significance. the unnecessary demolition of 31 bury street is unacceptable from an environmental perspective.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Nathan	Gia	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I have visited the beautiful Bevis Marks' Synagogue for weddings and events and I am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hassan	Alexandra	Bevis Marks is a listed building, part of London's rich Jewish history and must be protected. Building such a high-storey building next to it will overshadow it, removing natural light and affecting the communal space that Bevis Marks is soon opening to the public. Please rethink your plans.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Greenberg	Susan	This project makes a significant impact on the light, space and overall environment of the historic Bevis Marks synagogue.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Max	Jonathan	A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection? It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked. The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place. It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible. The development site is within the Creechurh Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. The City Corporation is required to identify locations suitable for tall buildings. It has done some work on this, but there is much more work to be done, and their work eventually has to be assessed by an independent inspector. This process is unlikely to be complete before late 2025. The developers are arguing that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. These benefits do not stand up to scrutiny. Many - for example, being car free, demonstrating holistic environmental design, having consolidated deliveries - are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building, and they do not represent a gain to the community. They propose to restore	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fawcett	Robin	The impact on the synagogue nearby would be appalling and unconscionable. Faith communities must be protected, especially given their heritage in our ancient city.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Marsh	Alan	A building of this scale and height is entirely inappropriate in close proximity to Bevis Marks Synagogue, London's oldest place of Jewish worship, which would be completely overshadowed by such a development. It would be extremely unfortunate, to say the least, if London's increasingly beleaguered Jewish community were to be forced to leave their spiritual home. Planners need to look very closely at the context of proposed developments, as here, and ensure that any new building is sensitive and applicable to the local environment, especially in crowded spaces such as this. This proposal is not.	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lawrence	Harvey	It completely obliterates the oldest UK Synagogue Bevis Marks and as it's not a Mosque I consider this planning application to be Racist and against the Public Interest of not destroying the look of sacred buildings	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Scott	Lisa	<p>The proposed building would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked. It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. My understanding is that because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Davila	Patricia	<p>The impact this would have on the natural light of Bevis Marks Synagogue is totally unacceptable. It is a major part of the cultural heritage of the Sephardi and wider Jewish community of this country and allowing it to be forced into darkness would be an unacceptable failure by the City. In the era of inclusivity and equality we find ourselves in, to exclude our community's need to protect our history would be ignorant at best and in any event a breach of the Equality Act given the protected nature of religious minorities in this country. How much more so, particularly given the relatively small numbers of Sephardi Jews in the UK today, does this apply to the still-active community at Bevis which granting this permission would hurt in the immediate term.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rose	Adam	<p>Bevis Marks Synagogue, Europe's oldest synagogue in continuous use, is an important part of Jewish heritage in the UK. This proposal will destroy the light available to the synagogue, making its use deeply depressing, and damaging the spiritual aspect of the site.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Simon	Rashi	<p>This application menaces the historic Bevis Marks synagogue and threatens to completely overwhelm it. Should a place of worship which survived the Blitz and so much more succumb to the callousness or greed of City developers and a pliant or disinterested council? This would be a historic tragedy. Do not allow it to happen on your watch.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lent	Paula	<p>As an art historian and a woman who has spent all her school life and working life in the City of London I object to the beautiful historic building and synagogue Bevis Marks will be totally overshadowed by this building.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Vos	Benjamin	<p>Having worshipped at Bevis Marks, I know how beautiful and unique it is in the Jewish world and in the United Kingdom. It bears restating that Bevis Marks is the only place of Jewish worship in the City, and the oldest functioning non-Christian sanctuary, by centuries, of any non-Christian denomination in Greater London. As someone who has in my professional capacity developed a walking tour of Jewish London, I feel that the synagogue is of immense historical, aesthetic, cultural and even symbolic importance.</p> <p>Plans to wear down objectors to developers damaging the ancient synagogue's right to light, cannot be allowed to succeed. The synagogue's large clear windows need natural light. Developments must not block the sunlight and must not.</p> <p>Please do not allow the skyscrapers to damage the beauty and grandeur of this special place.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fraser	Lola	<p>This construction will completely block the light and dwarf Bevis Marks Synagogue. The oldest synagogue still in constant use in Britain. If hiven the go ahead it will have an horrendous effect on the synagogue</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Leffman	ADELE	<p>Bevis Marks synagogue is part of the fabric of the City of London as it has functioned since 1701. Your proposed scheme will destroy our ambience and ruin the Rabbi's house and the light in the courtyard where his son plays. This currently bright space is used by us in spring and summer for religious functions and fetes.</p> <p>Your proposal does you no credit. We have an elegant building with just enough privacy to make it viable.</p> <p>If we are dwarfed and thrust into darkness you will be eroding the heart of London Jewry. There is no excuse for your attitude, of breaking rules to suit yourself. We have contributed considerably to this city and deserve to be treated with respect . Not walked over as if our existence was of no importance. If you have a shred of decency you will not proceed with this scheme which does you no credit on any front. Your flouting of the rules of a conservation area beggars belief.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Samuel	Jonathan	<p>It would be a travesty were this proposed massive Tower be allowed to overshadow and hem in Bevis Marks Synagogue, the ildest Synagogue in Britain.</p> <p>The synagogue needs light and a view of the heavens.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	SOLOWAY	stuart	<p>a 45 storey development adjacent to the oldest and continually used synagogue in London would completely overshadow this grade 1 listed building and destroy the historic character of the neighbourhood and synagogue. It would impair the impact that the building has on the historical background of the City of London and the development of the Jewish population in London and England .</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pell Scholes	Daisy	<p>This will ruin a unique and historic atmosphere and a beautiful, important architectural experience.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Duke	Marc	<p>There are very few original pieces of Jewish history left in London don't sacrifice this one for the cause of commerce there has to be another way.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bendahan	Yael	<p>A 45 storey tower would overwhelm the stunning synagogue, a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection? It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting, and overshadow the synagogue and courtyard for much of the day,</p> <p>It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. .</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pell Scholes	Barney	<p>I strongly object to this proposal to build a 43 storey building that would have a significant detrimental effect on the historic Bevis Marks synagogue. It is wildly inappropriate to be considering a development that would block off light and important sightlines to a building as culturally and historically significant as Bevis Marks. This is perhaps the UK Jewish community's most significant synagogue, please treat it with the respect it deserves. A previous proposed development that would have had a similarly detrimental impact on Bevis Marks met with public outrage and was stopped as a result. Why would you make the same mistake again? You would not consider allowing a similar development next to other culturally and religiously significant buildings, such as St Paul's Cathedral, so please treat Bevis Marks with the same degree of respect. I object to this development and urge you not to progress it further.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Abboudi	Maurice	<p>Bevis Marks is a historical landmark in the City. It has the most fabulous courtyard with stunning views of the moon and the stars. This will be overshadowed by another high rise.</p> <p>On a personal note we were supposed to rent the ground floor and first floor of this building. We spent over #25,000 on plans and architects and the landlord pulled out at the last minute. We found out that we had been strung aning all the way and the landlord was planning to apply for redevelopment of the site. Needless to say I do not support redevelopment of this building.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wiesenberg	Mindy	<p>This development will completely overwhelm Bevis Marks which is one of the most important and oldest synagogues in Europe. It is totally out of character with the immediate area and should be denied planning permission.</p>	NO	Public Access website	09-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lee	Marilyn	<p>This building is of great significance not only to the Jewish community but to people who have an interest in historic buildings. Bevis Marks hss been on this spot for 323 years. It needs the natural light for religious reasons. By erecting a skyscraper so near to it which will blot out the natural light is astonishing!</p> <p>In 2020 and 2021 there was considerable opposition to planning applications for two nearby skyscrapers which would cut off natural light to the synagogue, threatening its ongoing use for daily services. The community had said the historic synagogue faces a closure threat as the loss of light would render services "almost impossible." Plans to build both tower blocks were ultimately denied by City of London councilmembers. In 2023, the Creechurch Conservation Area was approved, which should protect Bevis Marks and Grade II* St Botolph's-without-Aldgate from development. So what has happened since then.</p> <p>I oppose the erecting of any skyscrapers anywhere near this beautiful building.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Winston	Anthony	This historic place of worship is the only synagogue in the City. It must be protected and preserved in its current form and not suffocated by surrounding development.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hasenson	Phillippa	You'll block the natural light into europes oldest synagogue which is lit by candlelight and destroy the ability to use the building!!!	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Williamson	Donald	<p>If this development is given approval by the Corporation of London, it will be a sad day for this most beautiful synagogue, the City's very own Cathedral Synagogue. Young professionals from the area have given Bevis Marks renewed purpose. It is still a synagogue, the longest continuously serving synagogue in Europe and one of the longest continuously serving synagogues in the whole world.</p> <p>I lived for many years in the Stepney/Whitechapel area and knew of Bevis Marks. The bomb blast at Baltic Exchange I remember very well where I was living, just a mile to the east of there. Bevis Marks survived that blast, survived another, survived the Blitz and has survived the ever-encroaching developments nearby. This proposed development is a real risk to Bevis Marks as a house of prayer. "Kahal Kadosh Shaar Asamaim", the "Holy Congregation The Gates of Heaven", is a place of quiet contemplation on weekdays, not just a tourist attraction, and serves an observant community on Shabbos.</p> <p>It is rightly thought of as the City's Cathedral Synagogue by the S&P and by the wider Jewish community. There is no other like it and it deserves to be safeguarded in the present and for future generations. May the Corporation's committee be guided by HaShem to reach a just decision in this matter.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Weiss	Annie	The proposed building is disastrously large and would destroy the current atmosphere of the synagogue and affect the experience for current and future congregants.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brown	Jeremy	<p>The building of a tall building over shadowing Bevis Marks is outrageous.</p> <p>The elimination of natural light will turn the synagogue and adjacent area into darkness. The first attempt was rejected, and the same must happen to this second attempt.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lerner	David	The centuries-old Bevis Marks Synagogue is one of the most significant religious landmarks in the UK, and arguably in Europe. Not only does a local congregation worship there, but people travel from around the world to visit. The synagogue's historic interior is lit by candles and natural light, which contribute to its feeling of sacred space. The proposal will literally overshadow the synagogue, destroy the spiritual atmosphere, and very adversely impact the experience of visiting and worshipping there. I hope you will not approve the construction of such a large adjacent tower.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dwek	David	<p>As a member of the S&P the 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p> <p>It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.</p> <p>The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place.</p> <p>It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations.</p> <p>It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechurh Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>The City Corporation is required to identify locations suitable for tall buildings. It has done some work on this, but there is much more work to be done, and their work eventually has to be assessed by an independent inspector. This process is unlikely to be complete before late 2025.</p> <p>The developers are arguing that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. These benefits do not stand up to scrutiny. Many - for example, being car free, demonstrating holistic environmental design, having consolidated deliveries - are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building, and they do not represent a gain to the community</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Marks	Michael	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>As a member of the U.K. Jewish community I am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wilson	Mark	<p>The Bevis Marks synagogue is a monument to history and Jewish heritage in England. Three hundred and fifty years of it. It is a wonderful building and is simply stunning. I've also been inside a few times and had moments of reflection in there. On one visit, I was lucky enough to have been shown around by the Rabbi, and noted the worshippers and the community it is. This is a special building.</p> <p>Bevis Marks should not be cut off by the awful darkness of a development, which is not needed and will make no contribution to the life of the City of London or improve it. Do not let developers ride roughshod over culture, religion, history or our heritage.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Loftus	Richard	The proposed development will irrevocably change the setting of this listed building which is a unique Grade 1 listed synagogue whose setting and daily use is a fundamental asset of the Jewish community in London and Great Britain at a time when anti semitism is rife .	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ta	David	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm [a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am] appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Holt	Jason	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application I'm a worshiper of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lester	Jonathan	We attended a service at the Synagogue earlier this week and it is not only a beautiful period building but an oasis in the city of ugly skyscrapers. It attracts audiences from people interested in the areas history and what the Synagogue represents being the religious haven available to Jews escaping persecution 300 years ago. Any adjacent building works and imposing tower would completely undermine the Synagogue and could potentially damage it.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stubbs	Ian	I objected to the previous application too. The planned 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which as you are aware is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance not only to the city but to the whole country. Such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not given the same protection? It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the qualities it contributes to religious ritual, the view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked. The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place. It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible. The development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is here a conflict with the statutory development plan. The City Corporation is required to identify locations suitable for tall buildings and has I understand done some work on this, but there is much more work to be done, and that work has to be assessed by an independent inspector which is unlikely to be complete before late next year. The developers are arguing that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. These benefits do not stand up to scrutiny many of these such as being car free, demonstrating holistic environmental design, having consolidated deliveries, already happen as standard practice with lots of applications nationwide.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Loftus	Nicola	This building would overwhelm and dwarf the historical synagogue next to it, this is an important heritage building for British Jews and should be afforded the same consideration as a cathedral.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	stephenson	judy	Modern tall buildings in the distance can enhance old ones, but when they overshadow they make the old buildings invisible from the outside, and dark on the inside. The streets here are narrow (even Bevis Marks itself), you don't want to feel threatened by a building as you walk in its shadow.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mellman	Susan	You must not plunge this building into darkness. The building should be celebrated. Many tourists come here. Embrace the heritage and do not denigrate it. It is for these reasons I object to planning permission being granted.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lerner	Ashley	Bevis Marks is a British and Jewish community asset and a 45 storey building next to it is absurd. I cannot believe other grade 1 listed buildings would be subjected to such a neighbouring property.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ognall	Geoffrey	This proposal is unacceptable and inappropriate to the historic Bevis Marks Synagogue blocking light and in my view desecrating the surround	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Nono	Paola	- a previous application for a 48-storey building was rejected. The current application is not significantly different, and therefore all the reasons for rejecting the previous application still apply; - the proposed development is in a Conservation Area, where tall buildings are not permitted, and is therefore in direct conflict with the statutory development plan. It's outrageous that the City Corporation is proposing to scrap the ban on tall buildings in Conservation Areas and thereby damage the setting of all heritage assets in the area and compromise the qualities of Conservation Areas; - the development would completely overshadow and have a huge impact on the Bevis Marks Synagogue, a building of great cultural significance and Grade I Listed; - the existing building at 31 Bury Street, which is only 40 years old, is capable of being refurbished and its unnecessary demolition would result in CO2 emissions.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Graff	Michelle	Why would you all to plunge this building into darkness. The building should be celebrated. As a Jewish listed site in full use Many tourists come here. Please Embrace the heritage and do not denigrate it. Would you wish a listed Christian place of worship to be put into this position It is for these reasons I object to planning permission being granted.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shaanan-Eisen	Naomi	- A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. - It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection? - It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked. - The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place. - It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Freedman	James	This proposal is not materially different from the tower which was rejected a few years ago. A 45 storey tower will completely overwhelm Bevis Marks synagogue, a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. The view of the sky is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and would be completely destroyed. The Hebrew calendar is lunar and losing the view of the moon's passage across the sky, which would be blocked by the proposed tower, would irreversibly detract from the amenity of the synagogue for payer and contemplation. If permitted, the tower would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. The developers argue that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. They acknowledge that harm to the synagogue will result from an approval. Further, the alleged benefits cited do not stand up to scrutiny and this flawed argument demonstrates a lack of understanding as to the synagogue's value, role and function. As someone who has attended the synagogue over a period of more than fifty years, I oppose this application for such a massive, overwhelming development in the strongest possible terms.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	LEVY	DANIEL	<p>A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p> <p>It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.</p> <p>The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place.</p> <p>It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations.</p> <p>It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wober	Jonathan	<p>This proposed development of a 43 storey building just to the south of Bevis Marks synagogue would further reduce the daylight reaching the UK's oldest Jewish place of worship.</p> <p>The synagogue, an active place of worship and the only one in the City that is not Christian, is also hugely important heritage asset. In regular use, it relies heavily on natural light and candles and has already suffered a significant reduction in daylight over the years due to a number of other tall buildings in the area.</p> <p>As a City of London Guide, I feel very strongly that the unique and diverse history and heritage of the City must be respected and protected, especially concerning a building which has an unbroken three centuries of importance to Britain's Jewish community.</p> <p>Bevis Marks synagogue should be able to look forward to retaining its significance in the City for 300 more years and beyond. The same could not be said of the proposed new development on Bury Street (nor of any other office building in the area). I recognise the importance of new office and commercial space in the City, but not at the expense of the continued well-being of a unique and culturally vital community asset.</p> <p>The previous proposal for a similar, even taller, building on Bury Street was rejected and this proposal must also be rejected for the same reasons.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lerner	Marc	<p>I was shocked and saddened to see that again there is a proposal to erect a new high rise building so close to the oldest continuously used synagogue in Britain dating from 1701. It is a listed building and is the cathedral church of Judaism and of great significance to the community. It has many services throughout the year and the view of the moon's passage across the sky and many celestial bodies would be blocked by this new development. It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard. The construction of such a large new build may also affect the foundations of the Synagogue building, however much care is taken. The development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area and current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in the Conservation area. This policy should not be changed. The current building at 31 Bury Street is only 40 years old and could be refurbished to provide further service for many more years rather than demolished.</p> <p>I very much object to this new development which will affected a much loved building I regularly visit and is visited by dignitaries from all over the world as well as other visitors both from the UK and overseas.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Davis	Angela	<p>I find it appalling that you would even consider another monstrosity of a building being built to overshadow an historic part of the City. It is shameful that the area around this historic building isn't being allowed to enhance the area rather than corporate greed taking over to line the pockets of capitalists who care more for greed than the beauty and intrinsic value of the history of the area. So much of the area has been destroyed in the last 50 years, that I feel this application should be turned down to preserve at least a small amount of the area can be saved by the building of yet another monstrous carbuncle</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pereira-Mendoza	Marc	<p>In a world where we pay less and less attention to an active preservation of the past and in particular the uniqueness and exceptional history of a building such as Bevis Marks, the Corporation must pause and take stock of the objections surrounding this proposed project. There is no doubt that a 45 floor tower would overwhelm the synagogue optically, physically and crucially from a lighting perspective. There is limited scope to improve the lighting within the synagogue itself given its Grade 1 status and the proposed building would also overshadow the courtyard area which has been used for many years for rituals and celebrations such as weddings (including my own). Furthermore, I understand that the proposed development is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area which should not allow for tall buildings and many of the arguments offered by the developer in supporting their application seem somewhat contrived - especially from an environmental and sustainability perspective. Bevis Marks will soon have a museum and visitors centre which has taken years of hard work to accomplish and will sit within the site and the success of that initiative to attract visitors will also be reliant on both the aspect and light falling across the area. More importantly this building serves as a historical gem of unparalleled significance, not just for the Jewish people, but for this country as a whole in the way that it has served as a beacon of light for those fleeing oppression and looking to contribute to broader society - please do not allow the light surrounding it to be extinguished.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Swart	Ben	<p>This is one of very few local synagogues close to the city and where I live in London. I have and do come here on high holy days.</p> <p>It has a unique and powerful atmosphere. Dating back 300 years. One of the main reasons it creates such an atmosphere, and powerful spirit, is the light able to flood through the building.</p> <p>To have the surrounding area changed in this way, seems a stark metaphor for how you value the people who visit it.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cotte	Severine	<p>Bevis Marks synagogue is the only non-Christian place of worship in the City. They worship by candlelight and have monthly ritual prayers on the sighting of the new moon, the view of which would be blocked by the new tower. The tower would mean that their courtyard would be in permanent shadow.</p> <p>Standing with our neighbouring faith community and highlighting to the City the importance not just of office workers, but of living communities which have been the heartbeat of the city for centuries.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stamler	Darcy	<p>Proposal is outrageous! I am a heritage preservation professional. A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p> <p>It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.</p> <p>The proposed building would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations.</p> <p>It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p>	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Steinman	Sharon	"This site holds immense historical significance and must be safeguarded for future generations. Its uninterrupted vista has been cherished for centuries, integral to its identity. If an iconic landmark like the Tower of London had been compromised by obstructive development, it would undoubtedly face vehement opposition. Neglecting the heritage value of this site would be a disservice to its legacy and the community it serves.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morrison	John	This wonderful historic building would be totally overshadowed, its right to natural light destroyed, (please note the sanctuary is still lit by candlelight). The proposed Development would not be permitted adjacent to St Pauls Cathedral so why adjacent to such an equally historic building.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Prever	Danny	Would this action be taken if this was a mosque?? This historic synagogue relies on natural light - reducing this will make it impossible for Jewish people to pray, thus discriminating against them. There is also a high risk of damage to this listed building during construction.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Owen	Matthew	The proposed development is completely inappropriate for the area, given it would overshadow Bevis Marks synagogue which is a site of national religious significance which should be respected as such.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bridge	Mark	The proposal will overshadow Bevis Marks synagogue - a building of global significance and one of the most important historic places of worship in London and the UK. It will negatively change the experience of both worshippers and of visitors - at a time when the synagogue hopes to welcome more visitors with improved amenities. The synagogue isn't only of religious and architectural significance but an extremely evocative legacy of London and Britain's history of immigration and integration. Schemes such as that proposed may be appropriate in certain locations but not where they damage a site of such historic, cultural and religious merit. It seems inconceivable that such a proposal would be permitted to blight a cathedral and the response in this case should be the same.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Steven	Elizabeth	Once again the congregation of the Bevis Marks Synagogue have to go through this ordeal of asking to save this beautiful building for the sake of greed by developers. Isn't there enough modern monstrosities in the City; which in my opinion are already a bolt on the landscape, let alone I'm sure there are many others empty with office space to let if that is the purpose of this eyesore. STOP this development planning application NOW and for once listen to the public and how they feel. I signed the previous petition to stop such a development near the synagogue and I again sign this for the same reasons. I also repeat my comment, how about creating some green spaces in the City instead on these sites. Give some lungs to the area so that people can rest and breath. Plant some trees! that would be far nicer than a building that blots out all daylight, not only from Bevis Marks but the City and its surrounds in general. Stop making the City of London look like a permanent building site.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hassan	Isaac Samuel	I object in the strongest terms to this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This application has barely changed since it was submitted last time. It is most certainly NOT in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important and oldest Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, removing most of the natural light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's "Cathedral" synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. Kings, Queens, Lords, Sirs and many other distinguished Londoners from Oliver Cromwell to our current King and other humble individuals like me have visited this fabulous building, bathed in its natural light whilst praying, and this will no longer be possible. I urge you to refuse this application in the strongest terms.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shakhani	Ronit	Every peice of heritage in this country seems to be under threat. This is a british coniotry with British historic buildings. This Synagogue is no different. It is graded for that exact reason. Stop wasting money on buildings we only need for illegal immigration, stop thr immigration, teach children in school the importance of relationships thereby reducing divorces and then the "housing" problem goes away. The building should be celebrated. Many tourists come here. Embrace the heritage and do not denigrate it. It is for these reasons I object to planning permission being granted.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Elias	Aviva	This is an important historical building which should NEVER be demolished. On the contrary, it should be protected. Would you demolish Westminster Abbey to building apartments?	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rich	Miriam	I am absolutely opposed to this monstrous tower being erected so close to the synagogue. Irrespective of the benefits of the use and design of the tower itself, it would PERMANENTLY overshadow this absolute gem which is Bevis Marks synagogue. This would have a devastating impact on the synagogue itself, harming the setting and atmosphere that is integral to the ongoing operation of the synagogue, not to mention the blocking of light which would create an insurmountable obstacle to conducting services in the synagogue. No-one with a conscious should allow this tower to be built.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Curry	Nicholas	It is hypocritical to allow this project to even be considered within the bounds of the newly created Conservation Area around the Bevis Marks Synagogue. We don't need any more ugly, grey and glass out-of-proportion skyscrapers and should cherish the heritage buildings be they medieval, classical or Victorian that we already have.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stern	Adrian	It is perhaps inelegant to talk about this as a philistine proposal but the damage to the synagogue will be enormous and devastating. After 300 years it needs to be cherished and viewed by all passers by as a noble building serving a community, the monstrous erection you are proposing would put it in shadow spoiling the public's appreciation of this gem for ever. And you know full well it will never stand for over 300 years - who would want it to? Let mammon be celebrated elsewhere - or not at all	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Green	Edwin	The proposed new building will block the natural light that is available to the Bevis Marks Synagogue. It will be out of place towering over the cherished Synagogue which has a long history. It will NOT add to the environment.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kay	Michelle	I would like to object to the proposed planning application of a 45-storey tower (the current building is 5 storeys) at 31 Bury Street, adjacent to Bevis Marks Synagogue. Bevis Marks Synagogue is Europe's oldest continuously used Synagogue. The proposed building will devastate the Synagogue, and change the landscape forever. Please reconsider this decision and turn it down in consideration of tradition and British culture.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cummins	Mark	We must protect the city's heritage. The proposed tower is far too dominating. Beavis Marks synagogue has rights that must be protected	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Canfield	Teresa	Please deny permission for this development which will adversely affect Bevis Marks synagogue, a Grade 1 Listed Building of remarkable aesthetic and historical value. Two previous applications for very tall buildings in close proximity to Bevis Marks have been refused. It is a pity that this is even proposed, as it would have effects similar to those projects already denied. This development would also permit demolition of a notable 20th Century building.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Welsh	Stephen	As a City of London Guide this development will swamp the synagogue and it is in a conservation zone	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bridge	Jenepher	I object to this huge, totally inappropriate scheme that will harm the character of the neighbourhood and especially the historic synagogue and its courtyard - where people can still currently come together and mingle under open sky. Permission to go ahead should be unthinkable after the recent decision to create a conservation area around the synagogue.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Braham	Samuel	Please do not allow this! Bevis Marks, the beautiful historic synagogue, has managed to remain unchanged since the 17th century due to its ability to have natural light enter through the windows, which would be obstructed with a large structure surrounding it. This would never be permitted next to St Paul's Cathedral so why should a synagogue not receive the same protection? It's not as if the City is short of available office space.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cummins	Mark	We must protect the city's heritage. The proposed tower is far too dominating. Bevis Marks synagogue has rights that must be protected	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Smith	Fiona	This development will cause irreparable harm to Bevis Marks Synagogue, and disproportionately affect the Jewish community in London and across the country. In what is becoming a contested and overdeveloped part of London, with such rich history, there must be a pause and appreciation of treasures such as the Synagogue, that will suffer from relentless building works, especially given the shul will lose its natural light should this go ahead. I'm disappointed this shul finds itself under threat once again, indeed, the very community is under threat. Objecting most strongly.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Martins Dos Santos	Jonatan Ismael	The synagogue was built in 1701. Twelve years later The Bill of Rights was made official in the country, marking a new departure for our Western values of freedom and democracy. Eighty-eight years later the American Constitution was established, which is still known for advocating for religious freedom. More recently, the International Human Rights and European Human Rights were mainly based on these laws. When this synagogue was built, Jews were openly executed in Spain and Portugal. Even if they were Catholic converts, but they kept any single of the practices of their ancestors, it meant they would be eligible for execution. It wasn't until 1976, approximately, that many of the descendants of those Jews, in Portugal, decided to embrace the faith of their ancestors publicly. While in London, more than three hundred years ago, they could build synagogues and enjoy a free life. Even today, our mayor, Sadiq Khan, has installed over thirty thousand lights for Ramadan in Central London, while in so many countries, religious freedom is far from being a reality. The most interesting thing is that we are in an era of inclusivity, fluidity, and diversity of any kind. Yet, a synagogue that was built one hundred and eighty years before Tower Bridge, and one hundred and fifty years approximately before Big Ben, seems to be less important than those two emblematic buildings. I wonder if our Western values of freedom and democracy matter, as so many people coming from authoritarian countries, where they can be executed for anything that deviates from the official values, find this to be a sanctuary. But it is nothing new, as it was already happening in 1701 when this synagogue was built. So, if the mayor spends money on lavishly celebrating Islam, why aren't we allowed to keep a historical building? Older than Tower Bridge and Big Ben? If a symbol of the city and the values of the West receives such poor treatment, I wonder what is coming next.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bollins	Patricia	This is an ancient synagogue. Part of London's history. Do NOT build near it. Aren't there enough high rise office buildings in the City? Most of them are half empty as post pandemic, people and companies find it easier, cheaper, better for the environment, for people to work from home. Do NOT ruin this wonderful building, or the light it needs. Please. Thank you.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gurevitz	Shirley	I agree with all other objectors. 3 floors less does not make any difference. Bevis Marks needs to be seen in all its glory at all times. Please reject this as happened last time.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gregor	Neil	To whom it may concern, I should like to voice my concern at the indifference shown to the cultural and religious heritage of a key minority group in London embodied in this proposal, the impact of which on the adjacent synagogue - the historical and contemporary significance of which is, or should be, well-understood - is unacceptable. As the saying goes: is nothing sacred? To disregard the meaning of such buildings for the community concerned - in this case the Jewish community, but we might equally be dealing with the heritage of other faith traditions - is to show a contempt for the community itself that brings shames on the idea of 'development'. I urge you to put an end to this act of historical, cultural and communal vandalism, and to encourage the developers to exhibit a minimum degree of historical, religious and cultural sensitivity.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Zenios	Jonathan	I was married over 25 years ago at Bevis Marks - the oldest active synagogue in the UK and of critical importance to the Jewish community being built soon after the readmission of Jews after centuries. The proposed development is totally insensitive to the effect on Bevis Marks and denigrates its importance as a historical and cultural landmark that is still going strong.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	musgrove	theresa	This proposal will have a demonstrably damaging impact on the character of the area, but specifically in regard to the Bevis Marks Synagogue, which is not only of immense national historic and cultural significance but of global significance too. There is absolutely no need to build yet another tower block in this area, but every reason to preserve the unique environment surrounding the oldest surviving synagogue in the UK, especially when so many other later examples have been lost. Sensitivity and consideration of the impact of this development on Bevis Marks must be given the highest priority and this thoughtless plan rejected. Listed buildings do not exist in a vacuum: in this unique case the context of surrounding buildings is vitally important and requires protection from the profit driven development plans currently under consideration.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pitcher	John	The City Corporation should not consent to the destruction, at least aesthetically one of the remaining parts of historic London. St Pauls is protected and I cannot see why this protection should not equally be applied to Bevis Marks.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Blackman	Bob	I object to this overdevelopment of the site which will dwarf Bevis Marks Synagogue. This will devastate Europe's oldest continuously used Synagogue.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Edwards	Kerry	It has been there for so long why now do you want to knock it down. Not only does it service the community it is a tourist attraction. Both Jewish people and nonJew	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Todd	Edward	Bevis Marks Synagogue is a haven amidst the busyness of the City of London & a key part of London's spiritual & historical fabric. To steal its sunlight & hem it in with yet more tall buildings would be a loss to all Londoners	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	JK	It is a sad indictment that the Council are even considering allowing yet another high rise development to be built in this small footprint area near this historic and extremely valued amenity, precious as the oldest remaining and working Sephardic Synagogue in London. So many other historic sites in this area have been crowded out by ugly industrial type tall buildings, causing wind tunnels, lack of light and eyesores for no significant benefit or added value. St Paul's Cathedral has been crowded out and can be barely appreciated nor can the Bank of England. It is just wrong and reminiscent of the decimation of history in the Docklands and loss of our heritage and continued erection of ugly tall buildings blighting our cities.	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Charig	Nigel	I do not think Nevis Marks should be cast into shadow	NO	Public Access	10-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Parker	Marsha	Since the pandemic a great many businesses use home workers, people buy online. The city of London therefore does not require and new developments of office and retail space. In this particular case the proposed plans compromise a special heritage site. Bad planning decisions that destroy our heritage and history can not be recovered. I know this synagogue well, it has been compromised since my visits started 50 years ago, I would like planners to respect our British Heritage. The new plans are hardly an improvement	NO	Public Access website	10-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Dalton	Karen	<p>I object to the building because:</p> <p>The proposed development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Planning policy is not to allow tall buildings to be built in Conservation Areas, so this is a direct conflict with the regulations.</p> <p>It will also change the reason conservation areas are designated, and destroy the area uniqueness.</p> <p>The development will also greatly effect the synagogue which is the oldest continuously used in England. It has a great history and is visited by people from across the world in addition to the community.</p> <p>NO</p> <p>Public Access website</p> <p>10-May-24</p> <p>Its heritage is on par with St Paul's and other religious buildings and I cannot believe you would allow this type development next to them.</p> <p>The development will overshadow the synagogue building greatly effecting it, and the ability to use the outside space.</p> <p>It will reduce further the natural sunlight in the prayer hall, where people pray.</p>			
24/00021/FULEIA	Chambers	Mark	<p>It will disrupt the synagogue services</p> <p>NO</p> <p>Public Access website</p> <p>10-May-24</p>			
24/00021/FULEIA	Field	Frances	<p>The synagogue is a world renowned building and thriving community and a popular tourist attraction. Any building that has a detrimental effect upon it should be banned.</p> <p>In 2020 a similar planning application was quite rightly refused. This application does not address the fears of those who objected then.</p> <p>A slight reduction in height is a laughable gesture.</p> <p>NO</p> <p>Public Access website</p> <p>10-May-24</p>			
24/00021/FULEIA	Rackovsky	Ariel	<p>Please do not shroud this building in darkness, which has been such a source of light for four centuries. Britain should be celebrating and preserving the Bevis Marks Synagogue, not trying to hide it and destroy it.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Rabbi Ariel Rackovsky Dallas, Texas</p> <p>NO</p> <p>Public Access website</p> <p>10-May-24</p>			
24/00021/FULEIA	Flory	Oliver	<p>This disgraceful proposal, undermining the only non-Christian place of worship in the City, must be rethought and abandoned.</p> <p>NO</p> <p>Public Access website</p> <p>10-May-24</p>			
24/00021/FULEIA	Berno	G	<p>It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.</p> <p>NO</p> <p>Public Access website</p> <p>11-May-24</p>			
24/00021/FULEIA	Daniels	Jon	<p>You must not plunge this building into darkness. The building should be celebrated. Many tourists come here. Embrace the heritage and do not denigrate it. It is for these reasons I object to planning permission being granted.</p> <p>NO</p> <p>Public Access website</p> <p>11-May-24</p>			
24/00021/FULEIA	de Jong	Benjamin	<p>I'd like to highlight the impact that the proposed construction will have on the Bevis Marks synagogue.</p> <p>A 45-story tower would completely dominate the synagogue, which holds Grade 1 Listed status due to its immense historical and cultural significance. It seems illogical that such a structure would be permitted adjacent to St Paul's Cathedral, so why isn't the synagogue granted the same protection? The tower would obstruct the southern sky view, a crucial element of the synagogue's ambiance and essential for its religious rituals, including observing the moon's passage and celestial bodies. Although the proposed tower may boast excellent design and sustainability features, its location is simply inappropriate. It would cast a shadow over the synagogue and its courtyard for a significant portion of the day, diminishing the courtyard's usefulness for rituals and festivities. Additionally, it would further reduce the already limited natural daylight entering the synagogue, complicating worship activities. Due to the synagogue's historical nature, adding more electric lighting is not feasible. The development site falls within the Creechchurch Conservation Area, where tall buildings are typically not permitted according to current planning policy, creating a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. While the City Corporation is obligated to identify suitable locations for tall buildings, their efforts remain incomplete, with an independent assessment not expected until late 2025. The developers argue that the proposed tower provides numerous planning benefits outweighing harm to the synagogue, but these claims lack substance. Proposed restoration of Listed Holland House is commendable, but assertions about the community value of its uses should be viewed skeptically. The existing building at 31 Bury Street, only 40 years old, can be refurbished to continue serving effectively, avoiding unnecessary demolition and energy waste. Furthermore, the proposed tower</p> <p>NO</p> <p>Public Access website</p> <p>11-May-24</p>			
24/00021/FULEIA	Bailey	Jane	<p>I strongly object to the proposal to build a skyscraper which would dominate and overshadow the wonderful Bevis Marks Synagogue and many other buildings of historic importance.</p> <p>NO</p> <p>Public Access website</p> <p>11-May-24</p>			
24/00021/FULEIA	Short	Geoff	<p>Bevis Marks is a truly historic synagogue, not least because of its association with the Disraeli family. The City of London should be doing everything possible to highlight its significance. Building a skyscraper right next to it does the complete opposite.</p> <p>NO</p> <p>Public Access website</p> <p>11-May-24</p>			
24/00021/FULEIA	Graham	Jody	<p>I'm strongly oppose this application</p> <p>I have been to Bevis Marks synagogue many times.</p> <p>It is shocking another very tall building is being considered. This was refused two years ago, Bevis Marks a grade 1 listed building is now in Creechchurch Conservation and is the one of the most important Jewish sites. The new building would dominate the skyline blocking out light and completely overshadowing the synagogue.</p> <p>Please rethink this application. Take into consideration the amount of tourism and visitors to an iconic place of worship.</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>NO</p> <p>Public Access website</p> <p>11-May-24</p>			

24/00021/FULEIA	Webster	Martin	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>As a Londoner and a visitor to Bevis Marks Synagogue and am dismayed to see this new proposal, particularly after a similar application was refused two years ago, and also in the light of the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application is only very slightly changed from the last submission and therefore should also be refused. It is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, now also part of a conservation area. It is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre is due to open later this year. Its special history, reminding us of the readmission of the Jewish people to England, should be carefully preserved and not compromised by new developments.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this overwhelmingly inappropriate development send about the City of London and its values and attitude towards the Jewish community's unique heritage to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's flagship synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>Additionally the proposed re-construction of Holland House would eliminate much of the special character of a unique London building which is the only London example of the work of eminent Dutch architect H P Berlage and would destroy its special interior features.</p> <p>The City of London's desire to have more and more towers seems a strange way</p>	NO	Public Access website	11-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jessop	Manuella	<p>another suggestion of a building that will totally overshadow the only Synagogue in Europe to have been in continuous use for over 300 years. Such a building would completely overwhelm this historic building - such a thing would never be allowed to Westminster Abbey or St Pauls Cathedral - why is this being allowed for a Jewish building? There is already very limited natural light into this beautiful building - adding a 45 storey monstrosity (against all the rules of a conservation area such as Creechurch) would only block out what is remaining.</p> <p>I am utterly appalled that you continue to consider applications that encroach on the Grade 1 listed Bevis Marks synagogue, particularly as there is no perceptible difference between this new application and the previous (rightly) rejected applications. Bevis Marks is the most historically significant place of worship for the Jewish Community in England, and allowing such overbearing, intrusive development around the Synagogue is patently ridiculous. This would be immediately refused around St Pauls Cathedral or Westminster Abbey, and begs the question of why the needs of the Jewish community who regularly worship at Bevis Marks are being ignored.</p>	NO	Public Access website	11-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Roberts	Daniel	<p>To Anna Tastsoglou and the City of London Corporation,</p> <p>I write in concern at the effect this potential tower development could have on the oldest continuously used synagogue in Britain, namely the Bevis Marks Synagogue, dating from 1701. This is akin to what Westminster Cathedral represents for British Roman Catholics for example.</p> <p>As I am aware, the development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>For the Jewish faith, marking the position of celestial bodies is paramount, with a setting sun marking the beginning and ending of the seventh day for example. The synagogue has been designed to make use of the southern sky view, and the aforementioned development would obstruct this.</p> <p>The cultural significance of the continued safe existence of such an important synagogue in Britain cannot be avoided. Judaism, while being at the root of many ancient religions, has endured persecutions over centuries for the transnationality of its beliefs and its adherents, and its tolerance of other beliefs, with this synagogue being one of few to have endured. To sacrifice such cultural institutions to the short term interests of Mammon would represent a moral collapse on behalf of a public body, and would not be in the interests of a City of London corporation that understands the stabilising effect and long term vision coming from such cultural institutions.</p> <p>I therefore urge you to reconsider such a development seeking maximisation of land value in close proximity to a long standing and important cultural institution.</p> <p>Yours Sincerely,</p> <p>Daniel Roberts</p>	NO	Public Access website	11-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kirk	Helen	<p>Bevis Marks is a most wonderful place. We have visited and been shown round and can understand the dire consequences were this enormous plan of works to be put in place. Bevis Marks is in such a serene courtyard with sunshine glowing into it, and it deserves to be retained with those benefits to its proper use and as a synagogue.</p> <p>Tall buildings cause real problems and these proposals should not be granted permission. Bevis Marks being in the new Conservation Area gives much greater reason to protect the setting of this famous calm and tranquil place and space. Do not let this monstrous proposed development overtake such beauty and quietude. Respect this ancient building and let it keep its current respectful neighbours and its sunlight. Keep out this monstrous development. It has no place alongside Bevis Marks.</p> <p>Why? Because the setting of this very important religious building is so significant and the setting and its attributes deserve protection from loss through such gross cramming of new high-rise and despoilation of the current status within the Conservation Area.</p> <p>Please count this as a vey strong objection to really unsuitable development proposals. Please inform the officer and the Council meeting of this strong objection to these proposals.</p>	NO	Public Access website	11-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Olesker	David	<p>When I lived in East End I was privileged to worship at Bevis Marks. This unique and historic building would be overwhelmed by the erection of a 45 storey building virtually on it's doorstep. This is only one step away from "preserving" the UK's oldest synagogue by burying it underground!</p> <p>The plan would not only diminish the benefit of the synagogue to the public but also damage the setting of other heritage assets in the local area, and would compromise the qualities of the Conservation Area as a whole.</p>	NO	Public Access website	11-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Weiner	Julia	<p>I am truly shocked by the proposal to build a 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the oldest and most precious Jewish synagogue in the country. This is not only a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance but also a building that is used for services. I may not be Sephardi but still have had the privilege of attending services there which are quite an experience. I seem to remember that when there was talk of developing Paternoster Square near St Paul's Cathedral, the King as he is now stepped in and plans were changed. I hope this will be the case. Having been to Bevis Marks, I know the courtyard is an important meeting place and we need to see the sky for religious reasons.</p> <p>The tower would also reduce the amount of daylight entering a building lit frequently by candles so making it very difficult to see.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. Furthermore, as the building it will replace still has plenty of life in it, demolishing it and starting afresh is a waste of resources.</p>	NO	Public Access website	11-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stone	Marion	<p>Please reconsider your objectionable plans to build a multi storey building on the site of Bury House. The potential detrimental damage to the historic, PRESERVED building of the Bevis Marks Synagogue must be considered. It is a valuable asset to the city of London and its natural surroundings must be remain unspolled. Thank you</p> <p>Bevis Mark synagogue is a national treasure.</p> <p>It must not be obscured by a building which will block the natural light.</p> <p>Visitor from all over the world put Bevis Marks top of their list to visit.</p> <p>Please block the plan.</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Firestone	Noreen	<p>This synagogue is a historic building and relays in natural light. I should remain without any light obstructions to preserve its history and the Jewish heritage in this country.</p> <p>I find it astonishing that this application is even being considered. It would completely overwhelm the adjacent synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance - and not only to the Jewish community, who are under so much pressure at this time. The existing building at 31 Bury Street is only 40 years old and is capable of being refurbished and providing good service for many more years. Unnecessary demolition simply squanders the energy embodied in the building.</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hawk	White	<p>Hi</p> <p>I can't believe that this application is even being considered as it will definitely overwhelm this most beautiful synagogue and massively reduce the amount of light that the synagogue and its courtyard need to allow it to be used for important ceremonies and rituals intrinsic to the Jewish faith</p> <p>Please reconsider</p> <p>Ty</p> <p>Dalia Cramer BEM</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Silver	Justin	<p>I strongly object to this application because the proposed building would impact Bevis Marks Synagogue which relies on natural light for it's spiritual ambiance. Bevis Marks is one of the oldest Synagogues in Europe and is more important communally, spiritually and historically than another new building blotting out the sky from those under it</p> <p>Historic buildings needs natural light and space and not been hemmed in between skyscrapers. The building is a tourist attraction and important due to age and historical importance With all the work would result in damage to this historic building</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lewinsohn	Ronald	<p>I think an office block of 40 or more storeys in this position is inappropriate so close to a grade 1 listed and historic building.</p> <p>Does London really need another sky scraper and if so maybe it should be situated elsewhere.</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Musson	Jeremy	<p>I'm writing to express the strongest opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I was the co-author of the recent Bevis Marks Synagogue Conservation Plan which helped highlight the extraordinary international significance of this place, and its importance in London heritage terms. I am shocked and saddened to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. The importance of this building was highlighted in Simon Schama's The Story of the Jews, and justly celebrated by many architectural experts for the quality of its survival. A neighbouring synagogue formerly on Duke's Place was destroyed by bombing.</p> <p>This new application, hardly different since the last time it was submitted and refused, does considerable harm to the heritage value of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area. Bevis Marks is arguably the most important Jewish site in the UK, as the oldest working synagogue in the country, and its partucalt atmosphere and light is a key part of that significance.</p> <p>The proposed new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, drastically reducing its remaining light.</p> <p>This just should not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Joseph	Michael	<p>This is a unique and historic place of worship.</p> <p>It reflects positively on the Cityand on tolerance and understanding between faiths,beliefs and different cultures.</p> <p>It is a haven of spiritual values..above money,.</p> <p>It should be respected and allowed to breathe!</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Tilley	Joe	<p>I am not opposed to redevelopment of the site per se, but I don't think the current plan is very sympathetic to the new conservation area around Aldgate. This is a very tall building, and its presence has the potential to disrupt the views of the Gherkin, which I think is a really excellent example of modern architecture and should be celebrated and protected. At the other end of the spectrum is the historic Bevis Marks synagogue. I understand that, as a building which does not use electric lighting, the proposed tower will severely impact on the amount of light which flows into the building. Whilst I am sure that all faith communities value their building, this one has particular significance as the oldest in the UK and one of the longest used in Europe. It would be a shame if the new building caused the synagogue to become unused. I worry that, coupled with the proposal to demolish part of Liverpool St station and replace that with a modern tower, this part of the City is going to lose its character. Given that we do not have the wide streets and blocks of somewhere like New York, surely it's also pleasanter for people in the existing skyscrapers not to have another building immediately opposite?</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sogbodjor	Harriet	<p>This historic synagogue is an important part of London's history and must NOT be demolished. There are other places where new homes can be built without such careless destruction.</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Treatman	Aharona	<p>This is a historically important building not just for London, but for people all over the world. It is an important tourist destination and part of the heritage of Sephardic Jewry.</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ambrose	Jonathan	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Maynard	Esther	The impact the development will have on the Bevis Marks synagogue will be disruptive to the faith community. With it being one of the oldest faith institutions in the ward it would be a travesty if this development would result in it losing its central character.	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ambrose	Jonathan	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Roberts	Maralyn	I object to this proposal. Bevis Marks is an extraordinary building with a glorious heritage. This proposal will rob Bevis Marks of natural light as well as towering over this precious piece of the City of London's important heritage. Do not allow this scheme to stand.	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lovat	Laurence	Bevis Marks is an iconic landmark in the City of London. It is known throughout the whole Jewish world as it is an exceptional historic place of worship. It should not be completely overshadowed by a massive building which will take away from this unique piece of Jewish London heritage.	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Boonin	Dov	Bevis Marks Synagogue is foundational to British Jewish history and British history. This planning application would further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wimborne	Zoe	This planning proposal is substantially to the detriment of Bevis Marks synagogue and would remove majority of natural light within its building and overwhelm part of London's cultural heritage. My grandmother grew up in the east end of London and would talk about how important Bevis Marks was when she was growing up, how old it was and how important it was to the local cultural heritage. Allowing this planning permission to go ahead of such a tall building would have such a negative impact when London should be preserving what little is left of such buildings. City of London does not need yet another tall skyscraper and why does it need to be there, impacting an historic religious building and place of worship. The benefits do not come close to outweighing the disadvantages and negative impact it would bring.	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Altman	Colin	This is essentially a very similar proposal to the one that was submitted in 2020 and should be rejected for the same reason. This huge tower would totally overshadow and obstruct the view of the Grade 1 listed Bevis Marks synagogue, continually in use since its construction in 1700. hemming it in on the only side where it still has access to the light and where the courtyard can still be used for important religious ceremonies requiring access to a view of the sky. Preserving listed buildings such as this also means protecting their context, not simply preventing the demolition of their fabric and making them impossible to be seen and used as they should. Furthermore, the Corporation is required to identify location suitable for tall buildings - not only has this work not yet been completed to allow such a development in the context of an integrated plan for the area, but the site is within the Creechchurch conservation area, which specifically excludes such tall constructions. The existing building at 31 Bury Street is only about 40 years old and perfectly capable of renovation and use for many years to come. Complete demolition and replacement is not only unnecessary but produces huge amounts of carbon emissions which completely outweigh the "benefits" disingenuously listed by the applicant, such as being car-free (which the existing building could also be) and exhibiting "holistic environmental design". This application is a well-known developer technique of re-submitting a previously-rejected application with superficial changes in order to pressure the responsible authority into granting totally unmerited approval. It should be rejected.	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wurtzel	David	A building of this height and dimension would block the light from Bevis Marks Synagogue and seriously impact on their ability to continue to hold services. Quite apart from its listed status and the fact that this is a conservation area, Bevis Marks is the oldest working synagogue in England the last surviving synagogue in the part of London where Jews first settled in the 17th century. Its significance to the City is obvious. The proposal would also adversely impact on Holland House, another fine listed building. Altogether the proposed building would simply shove something into what someone thinks is an available space regardless of its actual location and its impact on its neighbours. The cynicism of this stands out and says 'any new building can go anywhere regardless'	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Howe	Anthony	The proposed development, which had already been turned down, is totally unsympathetic to this incredibly important and historic building. Bevis Marks synagogue is significant not only to the City of London but of international importance, and this fact should be respected by any future development that might be proposed. What is planned would cause enormous harm - which can not be justified - and moreover compromise security at a time when many in the Jewish community are feeling the effects of the rise of anti-Semitic activities. The Corporation of London has a responsibility to protect one of the City's hidden jewels and to the community that cherishes it.	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stone	Jeremy	I write to object in the strongest possible terms to this brutal and unnecessary development. As many have said, a building on this site and of such dominating height would blot out most natural daylight from the historic synagogue at Bevis Marks, which has the distinction of being the oldest synagogue in Europe still in regular use. I would urge the Corporation to remember that when it previously rejected this development application, the reason was conservation. The location subsequently gained the protection of being included in a conservation area. The Corporation then decided that the relevant protections would no longer include restrictions on height. This is not a good look. It is also not a good moment, historically, for the Corporation to withdraw its protection from the only specifically Jewish religious building in the Square Mile. These are times when the heritage of toleration in this country, and the City most particularly, needs to be reaffirmed, not buried under 48 stories of concrete.	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mcclements	Neil	The proposed development is totally out of scale to the district and will greatly diminish and overshadow the Bevis Marks synagogue. The synagogue is unique in the City and worshippers are entitled to unimpeded skylight which the proposed development will greatly and negatively diminish. The congregation are deeply concerned at this proposal as am I.	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Gold	Jonathan	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I am a visitor to Bevis Marks Synagogue and am dismayed to see this new proposal, particularly after a similar application was refused two years ago, and also in the light of the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application is only very slightly changed from the last submission and therefore should also be refused. It is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, now also part of a conservation area. It is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre is due to open later this year. Its special history, reminding us of the readmission of the Jewish people to England, must be carefully preserved and not compromised by new developments.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this overwhelmingly inappropriate development send about the City of London and its values and attitude towards the Jewish community's unique heritage to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's flagship synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I strongly urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gottschalk	Sylvia	<p>The proposed building will completely block the light in the synagogue. It will force us to worship in semi-darkness, and even the patio will be overshadowed by this building. As a worshiper of Bevis Marks it would affect me deeply to be forced to pray without any natural light. The proposed building is visually horrendous and no amount of amenities can make up for the harm it would cause synagogue and its congregation.</p>	NO	Public Access website	12-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rodriguez Balbuena	Monique	<p>This is the only synagogue in Europe that has held regular services continuously for over 300 years. It is incredibly valuable as a historical building and as place that holds spiritual and emotional significance for its living religious community in London and its friends all over the world. The building should be protected, not thrown into darkness.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jebreel	Elliot	<p>I am disappointed that this planning application is even being considered when it will overshadow and endanger the most historically significant Synagogue in the UK - which is rightly reflected in its Grade 1 listed status. This application would not have been considered if it was on the doorstep of St Paul's Cathedral.</p> <p>I am a regular at said Synagogue and am a JP sitting on the central bench, bringing me into the city on a regular basis.</p> <p>The proposed building would literally overshadow the synagogue and reduce the already limited sunlight it receives. I am also shocked that it is being considered when it is clearly in conflict with the Creechchurch Conservation Area and the statutory duty to not build tall buildings in such an area.</p> <p>All in all whilst the building itself may be designed in an impressive fashion this is clearly the wrong location for it and quite frankly must be rejected.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Roberts	Guy	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021). I'm appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sayliss	Adrian C		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gibbons	Stuart		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dreyfuss	John	<p>Please see document tab for comments dated 01.05.2024.</p>	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Isaacs	Tina	<p>I am writing to object to the approved 45 storey tower that will overshadow historic Bevis Marks Synagogue. It would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>Many of us use the Bevis Marks archives to study family history and this development would taint that experience.</p> <p>I cannot understand why planners would allow this development -- if it were St Paul's you wouldn't. It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual.</p> <p>The proposed building would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day. It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p> <p>The proposed building would also damage the setting of other heritage assets in the local area, and would compromise the qualities of the Conservation Area as a whole.</p> <p>Tina Isaacs, London resident</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Eskenzi	Eileen		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Haagman	Francine	<p>Hi, I object to this proposal. It will have a a very negative impact on Bevis Marks site.</p>	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Blake	Michael	<p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is the oldest synagogue in use in Britain and dates back to 1701. A 48 storey office building was proposed in 2020 following a campaign and the Corporation refused permission in 2022. I understand that the area is designated as a Conservation Area and whilst I appreciate that the Corporation is proposing to scrap the ban on tall buildings surely they must make an exception in this particular case. The synagogue's southern sky view should remain unobstructed and the proposed development would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard. It would also reduce the already minimal daylight that would severely impact on the Synagogue and increasing artificial light is not really an option.</p> <p>I beg you to decline permission for the project.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Milne-Day	Mary	Bevis Marks Synagogue is one of the most important buildings in London and I was delighted when it was included in a Conservation area earlier this year. If this application were to be granted it would make a mockery of the Conservation area and would adversely impact on the setting and secluded nature of the synagogue. The scale of the proposed building would also impact adversely on the other listed buildings within the conservation area. For these reasons I vociferously object to this application.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Preston	Jane	Dear Sir/ Madam I am dismayed at this example of overdevelopment. Bevis Marks Synagogue is part of the East End's cultural & religious history and should be protected. Yours faithfully Jane Preston	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Newman	Martin		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Simon	Michael	I object to the re-submitted application. As has been stated by other objectors the proposed 42 storey tower would dominate and overshadow the synagogue depriving it of light and ability to conduct its established religious practices, since its foundation in 1701. Not only does the building have Grade 1 listed status, but it is of enormous significance to the wider British Jewish Community. The founders of this synagogue and the families who still worship at Bevis Marks go back to the Resettlement of 1656. Without question, this synagogue has the most important religious, cultural, heritage, and historical significance above all other places of Jewish worship for Jews in London. The Spanish and Portuguese [S&P] Jewish community would be irretrievably harmed by this proposal. The proposal would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard to its permanent detriment. In terms of the alleged amenity aspects cited in the proposed application these are quite simply put disingenuous. The so-called benefits are not justified in the context of the harm caused and quite frankly ludicrous. The incredible importance of the heritage aspect of the building for both the S&P Community locally and the wider Jewish community nationally is unrivalled. Regardless of the recent change in City planning by-laws this building must be treated as an exception and protected from the damage caused by the proposal.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Amado	Can	The proposed new building will intrude on the Bevis Marks synagogue and its small outside space. This should not be permitted, to preserve the historic nature of these premises. Would such a proposal be even considered next to St Pauls Cathedral? Are more office towers really needed in the City when people are working 2-3 days from the office and the rest from home? City corporation should be more thoughtful in the new reality and allow smart developments instead of another monstrous office block.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mann	John		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Filer	Paul	I wish to object to this highly inappropriate development. It is too high and has too great an impact on important neighbouring buildings, particularly the historic Bevis Marks Synagogue, to be allowed to proceed.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	BenTzvi	B	This will damage the legacy of Bevis Marks Synagogue nearby and it will irreparable destroy the ambiance and light for this Synagogue Councils should be protecting historical buildings like this not consigning them to historical oblivion Please refuse this planning application	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rafaeli	Barbara	The overwhelming numbers of well presented, factual objections against this insensitive, inappropriate building proposal must prevail. As anyone with knowledge of London is aware, Bevis Marks is a Grade 1 Listed Building of great historical value to London and the UK generally and continues as a vibrant heritage to the Jewish Community. It will be an absolute desecration to allow a 42 storey tower, as proposed, to overshadow the synagogue, which has a profound religious and cultural heritage, with important, historic significance. Protecting London's heritage buildings is even more incumbent on Decision Makers in the frenzied onslaught of skyscrapers battering London's historic legacy. Your mission and decision-making is to protect Bevis Marks, like any other valuable religious and heritage building, from this crude, destructive proposal. Your responsibility, as protectors of London's historic legacy, is to REJECT this damaging, insensitive and unacceptable proposal. Thank you, Barbara Rafaeli	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dunbar	William	This proposal will overshadow the synagogue, one of the most important in western Europe. This will have a significant negative affect on the historic character of the neighbourhood, as well as cutting out light and ruining views and streetscapes	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Teeger	Edward		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Agustin	Laura	Too much density in this area, less and less light, difficult to stand back and get views of anything.	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lazarus	John		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Drain	John	Yes another attempt to destroy the visual amenity of a listed building.	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Crowne	Ben	As a local resident and member of Bevis Marks Synagogue, I am strongly opposed to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA) and the massively negative impact it would have on the UK's oldest synagogue. The new proposed building would overshadow both the synagogue and the courtyard, permanently impacting its setting and light. It is very disappointing that after plans were rejected in 2022, this application has returned with minimal changes to this aspect of the building. It is even more disappointing that this application has been made with such disregard for the new Conservation Area which was approved only last year, one of whose main purposes was to protect the synagogue from exactly this issue.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lipton	Zachary	I am a devoted member of the Bevis Marks Synagogue community. This project will leave this incredibly important historic building and community in the dark. This building proposal is encroaching on a heritage site and a conservation area and is entirely inappropriate for this space.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Castle	Lauren		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	hajjoff	michele	The plan to have a huge tower is wrong and the current building should be left alone. The very high tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of important historic and cultural significance for the Jewish community but also for London in general. We all want our city to progress with its time but not at the detriment of existing historical buildings (and their immediate surroundings) which should be preserved for future generations. Extensive building around this historical place of worship should not be allowed in this case Thank you	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wiazal	Michal	The development will destroy a view of a listed building and also overwhelm the synagogue and its members and guests.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Benjamin	Jon	<p>Re application (24/00021/FULEIA): I'd like to express my profound opposition to this application, especially given that an almost identical proposal was rejected just a couple of years ago. The Bevis Marks Synagogue is in the Creechchurch Conservation Area, and if this means anything at all, it should be that an overbearing development like this is not allowed. The Synagogue is an immensely important place of worship for the Jewish Community, marking its return to England after being expelled in 1290, having previously lived in the City of London. The Spanish and Portuguese Community came from Amsterdam, where I visited recently, and where the Jewish quarter is protected and valued. It would be to the City of London's shame if the oldest synagogue in continuous use in this country was desecrated in this way.</p> <p>Blotting out the last remaining square of sky visible from the precincts of Bevis Marks, the building itself would be rendered immeasurably more gloomy, given the lack of electric light, as well as making the courtyard overcast, preventing the viewing of the moon and stars which indicated the onset of the Sabbath and Festivals.</p> <p>Bevis Marks is also an important landmark for the wider community in this country, hence its listed status, but it would be hard to imagine such an impactful development being allowed next to St Paul's Cathedral where the surrounding buildings have been purposely limited in height.</p> <p>I hope and trust that, as previously, this application will be refused.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pereira-Mendoza	L	<p>Ambience is so important in all buildings but especially in historic religious buildings. A vault with bright sunshine is wrong. A building without sunshine is wrong. Please do not ruin the ambience of Bevis Marks, a synagogue built numerous years ago and used continuously. Consider neighbours always.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Knight	Marie-Anne	<p>I object to this proposal 24/00021/FULEIA going ahead and destroying yet more of the history of this wonderful area. I understand that this proposal was rejected before, and should be rejected again.</p> <p>This is a very important building of historical note (built in 1701) and of incredible importance to the Jewish population. Our historical buildings should be protected at all costs and I am angered at how many of them in the City of London and adjacent East End that are being destroyed when dollar signs are in the eyes.</p> <p>Is Bevis Marks not a Grade 1 listed building? Please see sense and stop this nonsense before it is too late and we lose everything of importance for our future generations.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Englender	Daniel	<p>The City of London has a unique history and it's at a cross-roads in terms of how it balances its history alongside 21st Century development (and beyond). The erection of this tower alongside the very special Bevis Marks Synagogue is the wrong move, the wrong location for this tower and only serves to reduce the qualities that City of London shines onto the world. Similar schemes have been rejected previously and this new scheme does not take each of the previously-upheld objections in a positive way.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bogod	Howard	<p>I am dismayed to see that yet again the owners of the Bury House are seeking permission for an inappropriate development despite having had two substantially applications turned down before.</p> <p>The proposed development would seriously damage the environment and light an the Grade 1 listed Bevis Marks synagogue which was opened in 1701 and used for religious services continuously ever since. This was 9 years before the rebuilt St Pauls cathedral was opened. How would a similar application in the shadow of St Pauls be viewed by the Corporation and public at large? I for one would object.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Robinson	Brian	<p>We are appalled at the repeated applications to diminish the importance of the Bevis Marks Synagogue. Having successfully and sensibly rejected previous plans to build high rise buildings to dwarf and diminish the most important and oldest synagogue in the United Kingdom the City of London must oppose the new application. and indeed any further attempts to do so. .</p> <p>I hope this can be accomplished speedily and permanently.</p> <p>Dr and Mrs Brian and Judith Robinson</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hale	Alastair	<p>These proposals would negatively impact the Bevis Marks Synagogue, overshadowing the building and restricting the community as they seek to practice their faith. The city of London must support its faith communities, recognizing the role they have played and will play in making the city what it is. Granting this planning permission in its current form would put the interests of investors ahead of the community.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Barker	Neil	<p>I write to express my strong opposition to this application</p> <p>I am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.. Please refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Nacamuli	Alec	<p>This development will totally surround the Bevis Marks Synagogue, the oldest continuously used synagogue in the UK, with high-rise buildings. It will obstruct the last remaining opening towards the sky and cast the synagogue in permanent shadow.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goldschmidt	Robert	<p>Although I now live outside the City I was educated and work within it. I have always valued the presence of the Bevis Marks synagogue. There is no other place in the UK which so reflects Anglo Jewry's connection to this country. The planning proposal will hugely blight this and overwhelm it, changing the nature of how the synagogue is used and forever impacting on our relationship with it. Please refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Black	Michael	<p>I am a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after the refusal of a very similar one just two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>The new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of Bevis Marks Synagogue, a Grade-1 listed building, which is now within the Conservation Area. This seventeenth-century synagogue and its soon to open education center in the precinct, is the most important Jewish site in the United Kingdom and a jewel in the crown of world Jewish heritage and the Sephardi tradition of which I am part.</p> <p>The proposed tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, diminishing its remaining light.</p> <p>By allowing this disproportionate and damaging structure, what message about the City of London and its values would be given to hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children, from across the World?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern side.</p> <p>I am also concerned by the statements of some of the supporters of this project which seem to dismiss the importance of minority religious practice in this country in favour of iconic architecture.</p> <p>The planning application is completely unacceptable, and I urge you to refuse it.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Hughes	Stephen	As a former head of religious buildings survey in Wales and vice-president of ICOMOS-UK I recognise the importance of maintaining a low rise context to one of the most important religious buildings in the UK, the Bevis Marks Synagogue.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jacobson	David	I wish to register my objection to this planning application on the following grounds: 1. The proposed tower of gross dimensions will completely overwhelm a grade one listed building in a conservation area. The said listed building, the Bevis Marks Synagogue, is a historic monument of unique national and international importance that I am objecting to this monstrous development. 2. It would obliterate the southern sky view, which is an essential part of setting part of the synagogue, a congregational building, which relies on natural light and will impair regular religious services and other gatherings which take place there, especially in winter when natural light is of a premium. 3. The deep excavations for the 4 basement storeys will inevitably threaten the stability of the 325 year old synagogue, which was built with relatively shallow and much less secure foundations. 4. The execution of this huge project and associated excavation and drilling operations will cause untold noise, dust, vibrations over an extended timescale that will inevitably cause untold disturbance to and pollution of the rites, solemnities and ceremonies taking place in the neighbouring historic building. It is as a qualified chartered engineer, architectural and social historian, British citizen, resident of London, and member of the Jewish community that I lodge this objection.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Furnell	Allison	This beautiful historic building is a testament to the traditions and long history of Anglo Jewry. I am deeply saddened to hear that Bevis Marks Synagogue is once again under threat of being overshadowed by City of London unsympathetic high rise architecture. Yet another symbol of the erasure of Jewish life in England.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Herskovits	Georgie	I object	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Davila y Verdin	Juan	I object the construction of new buildings of that size in this historical area of the city of London, due to the fact that compromises the integrity of other buildings in the area, in particular of the synagogue next door.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Maleh	Maurice	Bevis Marks is a unique synagogue of major significance to the Jewish Community. It is in regular use and I myself has worshiped there. To chose to build a 43 storey skyscraper for offices next to it leaves me quite shocked and dismayed at what is a serious lack of judgement and understanding by those involved. The application as a whole has no merit and must be rejected at all costs. Thank you.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Davis	Rina	Lack of light to the synagogue	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	silverbeck-setti	sima	I object to this scheme as will compromise the oldest listed synagogue in London by making it dark. The proposed structure would overwhelm and block out of site the Bevis Marks Synagogue, a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic significance. It would destroy the southern sky view and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard. The proposed development is a monstrosity, the proposed benefits do not stand up to scrutiny.	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Livingstone	Elly	but its place in our Jewish narrative matters. Synagogues around the world tell the Diaspora story and keep it alive. The proposed planning would block light. The proposal is wholly inappropriate" and an obscenity which would result in "significant reduction in sunlight.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Glickman	Lucy	Bevis Marks Synagogue is a unique , historic and vital important, British - Global - London cultural, historical and religious landmark. the "St Paul's " of London Jewry it simply should NOT be overshadowed by this enormous building. I have visited Bevis Marks to pray , to grieve , to celebrate , to learn and to mix with people of all faiths and none. please please please do NOT overshadow this extraordinary and special place...	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	helfgott	maurice	This is an historic religious site and in any other country it would not be a consideration to demolish it and build flats or other on it!	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Giantz	Nikki	I oppose this. My cousin got married here and is one of the oldest synagogues in the UK.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Preter	Daniel	PLEASE OPPOSE THIS I object to this project, which will have an enormous negative impact on its surroundings	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	El Baz	Freya	We must preserve this stunning building of importance to both our local communities and the City of London. I OBJECT	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Simmons	Dame Melinda	This proposed development should not be approved. It presents a direct threat to Bevis Marks synagogue, a building in use for community prayer which also represents Jewish history in the UK over centuries. This development is going to block out light in the building rendering it musty and unusable. It is an assault on community and on Jewish as well as British history in the City of London.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bloom	Hannah	Bevis Marks Synagogue has been used for over 300 years and this plan would make it unusable. Please do not do away with this heritage site which is iconic to the British Jewish community. It is an integral part of the City life.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Giantz	Jacob	I object	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shock	Katherine	London, where I was born, and which I dearly love, has already lost so much of its grace and character as huge and disproportionate blocks have arisen to dwarf the precious historical buildings. If it is possible to save one more special place from being spoiled forever by an inappropriate building rising to darken the area and spoil what little is left of our heritage you have my voice objecting to this new application. My illustrations for the book 'That Mighty Heart -Visions of London' were produced as a homage to this special city. Please keep it special.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Proner	Barry	This proposal will grossly detract from the magnificent and historic Bevis Marks Synagogue. It is an example of greed over public interest.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	BERNSTEIN	Shira	The tower proposed on the synagogue's southern horizon would destroy the setting of the synagogue, which is so important to this 300 year old cathedral. The setting is what makes the synagogue a community hub, and the would reduce the synagogue's natural light levels beyond usability.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Molviddsson	Kay	This is a historic building and part of the Jewish heritage of the Jewish community in London. It would be so sad to lose this. Please re-consider and take into account the whole Jewish community in london that will be affected and deeply saddened to see this rich part of our culture be no longer available.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	El baz	Shu	This building has so much importance. I object	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sixou	Linda	I live outside England but am a regular visitor to London and Bevis Marks Synagogue and I am very sad to see this new proposal. I understand this follows the refusal of a very similar application a couple of years ago which is even more surprising, especially with the recent Creechchurch Conservation Area coming into being. The new application is still not in keeping with the protection of a Grade-1 listed building such as Bevis Marks and even more perplexing for me as the synagogue is now in a conservation area. The seventeenth century synagogue and its new education center within, is the most important Jewish historical site in the United Kingdom and emblematic of the Sephardi tradition and my heritage. The proposed tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the Synagogue, block out the southern sky-view of religious importance for centuries and overshadow the Synagogue and its courtyard, all but stopping the remaining light. As a teacher of Spanish and Sephardic Culture in France, it amazes me that the City of London could even consider permitting this ugly building. This proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of any European cathedral and should certainly not be permitted just meters from British Jewry's 'Cathedral synagogue' - it is quite unbelievable. Therefore, I say this planning application is quite unacceptable and must be refused.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Benhamou	Tristan	I am writing today to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Weiner	Howie	I have visited Bevis Marks on several occasions due to its cultural and religious importance. It is a beautiful building flooded with natural light as it was designed to do. The construction of a 45 storey tower block next door would no doubt starve the building of natural light. Plus, the building has no electricity and is a Grade I listed building. The fact that the synagogue is still used by its community is even more concerning as it is the only synagogue in the City of London. Bevis Marks should be afforded the same protections as other religious buildings of a similar period, such as St Pauls. Please reconsider plans to build next door to this important place of worship.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Robinson	Jessica	I object based on the huge cultural and historical importance of this building to the Jewish and wider community	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wrightman	L	This would be terrible	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Roberts	James	The proposal would negatively impact the life of the community at the Bevis Marks Synagogue. It would harm the setting of an extremely important, historic building. It would also negatively impact the worshipping life of this community - the only synagogue in the city of London.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lopez-Salzedo	Shelley	I object	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Yardley	Tracey	I object to this new development damaging as the height and bulk of this 43 storey tower block will dwarf, over-shadow and seriously impair forevermore the present narrow sphere of natural sky entering the courtyard of Bevis Marks synagogue. Lighting is conducive and central to those wishing to pray in a house of worship. In the case of Bevis Marks Synagogue - it basically relies on that existing shadow of daylight and candle light within this house of prayer.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kuttner	Stuart	In years ahead approval of this proposal will be seen as a dreadful, irreversible mistake. Sometimes there must be room for the historic, beautiful and spiritual. This is one of those occasions. In recent years the City I cherish has changed much. Please do not overwhelm the unique Bevis Marks Synagogue which has enriched the City of London for centuries. Stuart Kuttner	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mortiaux	Vanessa	Please keep this historical worship place with all the light it deserves.	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Korczyn	Iris	This is a heritage place with historical, current and cultural importance	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mana-Stein	Galit	I object to this planning.	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fishman	Rebecca	It is important to show respect to this Jewish place of worship and to the community well as show the deference to this historical site.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Howard	Laura	Historic building that should not be knocked down	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fishman	Oliver	It is completely disrespectful and inappropriate to have this tower block built next to such an important synagogue. The huge nature of the building will affect the light in the synagogue and the important connection to the sky that a synagogue should have. It is a hugely important historical and religious building and the proposed block is out of keeping and completely inappropriate to have in that location	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ledermann	Dan	This development will be hugely detrimental to Bevis Marks synagogue which has a profound cultural and historical significance for London (both its residents and visiting tourists).	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Krigman	Eliza	I object! I can't believe London would consider harming this historic Jewish synagogue. I'm appalled! You must preserve the synagogue	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Szotten	Ruth	I object to this building as it will negatively impact surrounding buildings particularly the beautiful Bevis Marks synagogue	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hall	Sasha	I object to the destruction of this building	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Andreacchi	Gracy	This development would seriously degrade the character of the adjacent Bevis Marks Synagogue, actually the oldest and most historic synagogue in the country. Bevis Marks is of unique importance, not only as an architectural gem, but also as a repository of the collective history and identity of British Jewry. The proposed development will significantly impact the synagogue, cutting off light and air, and overwhelming the ancient building which is already hemmed in by so many large modern buildings. It will also hinder the actual practice of religious rites by blotting out the sky, which shows a shameful disrespect for the free practice of religion. It's of the utmost importance, both to the Jewish community and to the history of Britain, that Bevis Marks retain its original character and not be further impinged by this development. I urge you to reject this development. Thank you for your attention.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sgnaolin	Yoav	The existing building at 31 Bury Street is only 40 years old and is capable of being refurbished and providing good service for many more years. Unnecessary demolition simply squanders the energy embodied in the building. It would also damage the setting of other heritage assets in the local area, and would compromise the qualities of the Conservation Area as a whole. The City Corporation is being disingenuous: claiming to recognise the importance of the synagogue, yet simultaneously facilitating this monstrous development. We are entitled to expect much higher standards from Britain's most prestigious local authority. As it's been only 2 years or so since a previous development was blocked, so what has changed since?	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Abramowitz	David	The synagogue should not be over shadowed and be void of natural light	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	Eran	A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. This is a singular building in the entire country, the developers failed before and they're only trying again now because they think they can get away with it while the Jewish community is focused on the war on Gaza.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Tanaman	Ruth	The planned tower block would destroy the light in the old preserved building which would destroy the character of the worship, being unable to view the sky through the windows, an important part of the services.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Preter	Elizabeth	This is irresponsible planning so close to a grade 1 listed historic synagogue, the oldest one in Britain. The height of the building will overshadow the synagogue blocking all light and creating 4 storeys underground threatens the structures of all buildings around it including this listed building. It shouldn't be allowed.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Zweig	Michal	Bevis Marks is a listed building which is lit by candles, such a building would block the light from entering the building and make using the synagogue difficult, because Bevis Marks is a listed building and due to the building's historic nature, it is not possible to install electric lighting. It would be inconceivable that such a building were to be built by St. Paul's cathedral, it's unfair that this old synagogue of very great cultural significance, both to Britain and Jews world wide, isn't given the same protection. The building will overshadow the courtyard of the synagogue, reducing its usability for ritual. None of the so-called benefits the developers say they will be providing to offset the negative impact of the building provide anything to the community, nor offset the benefit. The development is within Creechurh Conservation Area; current planning policy doesn't allow such tall buildings in this area. The building blocking celestial bodies, such as the moon, would also make it difficult to engage in Jewish rituals such as the blessing of the new moon and knowing prayer times by the positioning of the sun.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lanceman	Stuart	This would be a travesty against our heritage and multi culturalism of the City of London. People come from all over the world to visit this place and we don't need it plunged into darkness.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Lanceman	Stuart	This would be a travesty against our heritage and multi culturalism of the City of London. People come from all over the world to visit this place and we don't need it plunged into darkness.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Katz	Sara	This is a fantastic building of British heritage and it would be simply horrible to knock it down!!	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Smouha	Lucy	I strongly object to this application which threatens the oldest synagogue in the UK	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Benson	Stella	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. My family have been associated with the community for many generations. My late Great Uncle Joshua Cansino is on the memorial board for those killed in the First World War.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this appalling building send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>Bevis Marks is a special and historical building in London and should not be obliterated by new buildings.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Howard	Angela	I object as this building is of significant cultural interest	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	May	Kathryn	A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm Bevis Marks synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. City planners....where are you?	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Schonfield	Tamar	<p>The building proposed will overshadow the synagogue.</p> <p>The process of building will create noise and dust for a long period, damaging the spiritual experience of synagogue users.</p> <p>If the current building is no longer useful, a small open space or playground can replace it for the benefit of the local community as well as families attending the synagogue.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	Sarah	<p>This is unbelievable to remove the light of the historical synagogue. This light is needed for the workshops.</p> <p>This is part of the life of the city and would be so detrimental to the history of the city.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	May	Spencer	As a grandson of a member of Bevis Marks, Britain's Oldest Jewish Place of Worship, it is very saddening to learn of a proposal for a 45 Story Tower in such close proximity. As Bevis Marks has kept true to the old ways, they still observe candle lighting and blocking all the natural light would be a devastating tragedy.	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Barnett	Celia	I strongly object to this proposal. Having restored the etched glass in the windows behind the Ark following the IRA bombing in 1992 I have experience and a connection to the history of the building and a commitment to maintaining its special character and ambience much emanating from the natural light it receives. The loss of this would be detrimental and unacceptable. My family having been members of the community since it's foundation	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Joffe	Debbie	OBJECT! this should be a listed building and protected	NO	Public Access	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rose	Kalina	<p>The synagogue is of enormous cultural and religious importance, already considerably overshadowed by surrounding buildings, and cannot install additional lighting, even if desired, due to its historic nature. Further darkness would severely degrade the site, both as a cultural icon and as an active house of worship. It's a jewel, it's the only Sephardic synagogue anywhere near the City (the next nearest is in Marble Arch, there is nothing to the East, unless you count the ones in France), and to repeatedly chip away at it in this manner is cultural vandalism, frankly.</p> <p>This proposal is within the Creechurh Conservation Area. Tall buildings are meant to be forbidden, and it's disappointing to have to keep objecting every few years, when another developer wants to have a go - this process needs to be improved.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Speyer	Lawrence	<p>The building proposed blocks out daylight and substantially overshadows Bevis Marks synagogue. This is a building of great and religious importance that is in constant daily use still for its original purpose as a place of worship. And it serves a community which my family have been part of for generations - my grandparents and their parents were members, my parents were married there.</p> <p>The plan for the building proposed was already rejected two years ago, there is no reason now to go back on that decision.</p>	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wacks	Jude	A development of on this scale would dwarf a historic landmark that has meant so much to me and my family. As worshippers for all of my life at Bevis Mark's, the disruption on this scale, not to mention, increase of noise, lack of light and other impacts would be devastating for this historic sight that charts my personal heritage and that of the wider Jewish Community in London	NO	Public Access website	13-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Green	Miriam	Please preserve this historic and culturally significant building.	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ruback	Greg	This is a gross over development of the site and will have a significant negative impact on local communities in this area, including, importantly the 300 year old Bevis Marks synagogue which will be left in near perpetual shade.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Reynolds	Marie	This development will result in the blocking out of virtually all natural light to the historic Bevis Marks synagogue. It will also completely obscure the view of the sky, stars and moon, the viewing of which is critical to Jewish religious and worship practices. The building of a skyscraper at 34 Bury St also seems in contravention of the area's Conservation status.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Appel	Cheryl	<p>To the Planning Commission:</p> <p>I am writing to let you know how valuable the Bevis Marks Synagogue is to me and my heritage, and to express my sadness and frustration that there is once again an application (24/00021/FULEIA) to build around this historical landmark. The proposed application is for a building so high that the sun and the stars would no longer be a part of the experience for the synagogue's members and guests, not to mention those tourists from the local and worldwide community who view the synagogue as a vital link to a vast and rich culture. Sephardic Jews are a minority of the Jewish people, and have endured the Holocaust and the Inquisition, as well as persecution at the hands of their neighbors. Yet there is a dynamic community here, and they deserve to grow and prosper in their sacred space, without being surrounded and diminished by a mega-structure that could be built elsewhere.</p> <p>I hope that I can count on you to reject this application.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Cheryl Appel</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Strum	Daniel	As a historian specialized on early modern history, I object the damage to the historic building of the Bevis Mark synagogue .	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bloomfield	Louise	I object, Bevis Marks synagogue must be protected	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Seplowitz	Harry	Strongly object due to adverse impact on nearby synagogue	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Katz	Joanna	I wish to object because the proposed new building should not be allowed to proceed as it clearly impinges on the exciting cultural, historical and religious site.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Appleson	Karen	This is the most beautiful building with significant meaning for the Jewish people of London. To demolish it would be horrendous. The historic building needs to be preserved	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Pearson	Taryn	This is part of UK history. Jewish history. It holds stories of past generations and is still in use today.	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Carr	Kim	I object. This should not be allowed to happen	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lobel	Vered	I object	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Msika	Emmanuelle	I object	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ellis	Linda	This Synagogue is a national treasure. Part of the history of Britain.	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kaye	Lesley	Historical and cultural importance and value	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levene	Sara	This proposal is incredibly insensitive to the Jewish community. Build a tower so close to the oldest synagogue. Please reconsider, there sre already enough tall buildings in the area.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	Ellen	Too much history to lose. Wonderful building	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sacks	Deborah	Bevis Marks is extremely important to the British Jewish community. It is far and away the most beautiful synagogue we have and an important part of our heritage. Although I am Ashkenazi, I have a personal connection: my sister was married there and my niece had a baby blessing there. The synagogue should not be compromised by a new development.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Tanaman	Baruch	Damage to environment of a protected area including right of light to a special synagogue, only one of its kind, which has been in operation for over 300 years continuously.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brown	Joshua	Bevis Marks Synagogue is a critical part of the history of Anglo Jewery. The building, which is Grade 1 listed, has been in continuous service for 300 years and hosted my wedding earlier this year. The ceremony was truly magical and the history of the building was tangible. Due to its age and grading, however, there is limited electricity and therefore light in the building. A number of tall buildings already around the site have degraded the light quality. I fear that this application would further degrade the light quality and therefore atmosphere of the building.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hougie	Jacob	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am genuinely shocked to see the developers repeat this rouse. This is one of the most important buildings for both our community and for the heritage of the country. This new skyscraper would represent the manner in which this country has come to worship capitalism as a false idol, symbolically blocking out the sun from a synagogue. It is for exactly this reason that the sight lines of St Paul's are legally protected, yet for Bevis Marks developers bring such Chutzpah as to block out all the sun that remains.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kliman	Sonia	This historic synagogue has stood on this site since the 1700's, one of the oldest in the UK. It is still in regular use today. The proposed development will affect the light and intrinsic aesthetic of this beautiful building. Surely with a bit of forethought the proposed development could be amended to preserve the enjoyment and aesthetic of Bevis Marks Synagogue for future generations. Those who built this synagogue those who came before them and their descendants contributed in major ways to the prosperity of The City of London and beyond. Is this how their historic place of worship should be treated? I hope and pray that you can see your way to accommodating the needs if the synagogue and its members and visitors for future generations to enjoy. Would you allow other historic places of worship, eg Westminster Abbey or St Paul's cathedral to be so desecrated in the way proposed by the external developers? I should also point out that the Ashkenazi synagogue, Dukes Place, was destroyed by enemy fire in WW2. It was very near Bevis Marks, as can be seen by the blue plaque on the wall. It was a similar age. So Bevis Marks is the only one remaining! Please do not allow it's precious character to be destroyed.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wolfe	Laura	I object.	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stone	Judith	This development will overshadow Bevis Marks Synagogue to its detriment. This is an historic building and the community should not be deprived light within it.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Barnett	Robert	I am a member of family that has been part of the the Bevis Marks congregation for many decades. The synagogue is the core part of our heritage as a community, the abiding centre of our activities and memories. It is of immense importance to the cultural history and diversity of Britain, as well as of London and the City, and a foundational element of the Anglo-Jewish community. I object to this planning proposal on the following grounds: 1. It will further obstruct the already severely limited light available to the synagogue. 2. It will significantly increase shadow and obscure the space and view from the courtyard of the synagogue. 3. It will significantly increase the existing crowding and physical marginalisation of the synagogue, contributing to the perception that it is of marginal or little value or significance to the City and its community. 4. It will create major disturbance during demolition and construction for an extended period of time, in total contradiction to the aims and purposes of the synagogue. 5. It will encourage the wastage on a massive scale of energy source and materials in the process of demolition and reconstruction. 6. It will add nothing of architectural or aesthetic significance to the City of London or its skyline except repetition of what is already there, and is extremely unlikely to last for even one quarter of the time the synagogue has lasted and been of value. 7. It will not be considered of aesthetic or historic value to the City in 50 or so years, unlike the synagogue. 8. It brings no additional value to the city apart from enabling developers to make further profits. 9. Renovation of existing buildings with aesthetic and historic value would prove to be of much greater longterm benefit to the community and the City. 9. The need for further office and retail space in this area is not apparent to me; even if it does attract users, they would likely be drawn from an existing building in the City. I object to the proposal.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hilton	Michael		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Aslet	William	Towering over Britain's most historic -- and arguably its most important -- site of Jewish worship, this proposed scheme is an act of cultural vandalism that would bring shame to the City Corporation. It would block out light to the Synagogue, make the courtyard outside it feel cramped and oppressive, and would doubtless soon be followed by further skyscrapers that would do further damage to the setting of this Grade I-listed building. As an architectural historian of eighteenth-century British architecture, I am keenly aware of the importance of this building not only in Britain's Jewish story, but also in the history of its architecture. With few changes made to it in the more than three centuries since it was built, it is arguably the best-preserved eighteenth-century religious building in the whole country. And the setting of the Synagogue -- in an open courtyard set back from the street that allows for the provision of light in the building -- is absolutely integral to understanding its architecture. To allow the construction of this new building would do irreparable harm to this setting by blocking out its provision of light, as well as making it feel cramped, and in so doing would cause lasting damage to our understanding of this hugely important building.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Swaythling	Alistair	This poses a serious risk to the viability of the historic Bevis Marks synagogue. Please ensure this does not go ahead under any circumstances as it threatens one of Britain's most historic buildings.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hyman	Neil	The proposed Bury Street development can only be justified in terms of short-term financial gain, set against a permanent intrusion into more than 300 years of worship at the Bevis Marks Synagogue, damage to the unique architectural scheme of Holland House and an insult to the intentions of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Elsewhere in the City, superficially at least, the mix of historic and large-scale modern buildings works well. But these are times when vast commercial developments have a relevance and purpose. My concerns are that the long-standing cultural importance of Bevis Marks and Holland House will be compromised in the here and now and that the whole City environment is being altered in ways that will be redundant and practically irremediable in just a few generations' time. Please reject the current proposal.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Gold	Jessica	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of the Spanish and Portuguese congregation and our family has celebrated weddings etc at Bevis Marks Synagogue and i am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. This is especially concerning at a time when the Jewish community is feeling so vulnerable .</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application. Kind regards Jessica Gold</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Obadia	Anne	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of the Spanish and Portuguese congregation and our family has celebrated weddings etc at Bevis Marks Synagogue and i am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years? In all my 85 years I would not have expected that such a thing could even be considered.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. This is especially concerning at a time when the Jewish community is feeling so vulnerable .</p> <p>Please refuse this application. Kind regards Anne Obadia</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levi	Albert	<p>This will destroy the historic character of the synagogue putting it in total darkness and the authentic nature of its being lit by candles.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Scott- Norman	Anthony	<p>This is a conservation area and to overshadow this building of cultural religious and historic value would be barbaric and an insult to the current Jewish congregation and to all people with a family connection to it including myself . It was built in 1701 and should outlast all modern office building skyscrapers of no value whatsoever .The synagogue is a Grade 1 building and its southern view of ritual importance would be destroyed . Have some respect please! The synagogue would be largely overshadowed with its courtyard for much of the day making it useless for weddings, funerals and other major events. Why demolish 31 Bury Street rather than improve it .?Why take our precious daylight away ? Please rethink</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Miller	Abigail	<p>This will completely overwhelm Bevis Marks which is the oldest continued-use synagogue in Europe and a site of national historical importance. I highly object to the application of building the proposed structures.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Walden	Sam	<p>This development impinges upon and damages the historic setting and fabric of the building of Bevis Marks Synagogue, which is hugely important in the story of British Jewry.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bowers	Liz	<p>Bevis Marks is way more than just a synagogue, it's a living monument to the remarkable success story that is Anglo-Jewry. It sits in the heart of the city of London, thriving in the face of adversity. It's been holding services continuously for over 300 years - even through the Blitz. It is the embodiment of a community that arrived as refugees, fleeing persecution and nevertheless established itself in the heart of British life. Its stability is our stability and we need it now more than ever.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Evans	Judy	<p>My objection to this planning application is in relation to the effect on the historical buildings in the vicinity with particular reference to the Bevis Marks synagogue. This is a very important building in the history of British Jewry and the new development will overshadow this place of worship.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Green	Renee	<p>I object</p>	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Zender	Mrs	<p>I object</p>	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sisso Raz	Alicia	<p>Objection to the following: Bury House 1 - 4, 31 - 34 Bury Street London EC3A 5AR</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Tragen	Dawn	<p>I object to the demolition to the first synagogue in Britain. This is British heritage. At a time of worsening anti semitism, the destruction of an important British Jewish heritage building sends out a very bad message.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lopes Dias	Alexander	<p>Bevis Marks synagogue boasts continuous uninterrupted worship for over three centuries. I implore you to take the opportunity to experience prayer in this historic building yourself, and experience its historical context. The symbol of this community is that of Abraham's tent, open on all sides. So too, is this beautiful house of worship open to members of all faiths and nations offering an opportunity to experience centuries old customs and emotive prayer services. Tell me, how is it possible to turn your eyes to the heavens (the synagogue itself is called "gates of heaven" in Hebrew) in prayer when the lights have been diminished by the City of London.</p> <p>The Community have spent centuries shining light outwards with charity, academic achievements and contributions to British society. I implore the City of London to repay this wonderful community by allowing the light to shine inwards for many more years to come.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	ADAMS	CHARLES	<p>Dear Sirs I object to the application on grounds of light loss and noise. There will be more than unreasonable light loss affecting the synagogue's ability to hold services and practise certain customs, and use the synagogue's own area in certain ways at ritual timer. There will be inevitable added noise, the added surrounding area parking issues. Yours respectfully</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bowles	Lauren	<p>I object due to the impact on Bevis Marks.</p>	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Selwyn	Rebecca	<p>This obstructs historic Bevis Marks Synagogue, a building of immense public value, both historically and currently as it is in use for services to this very day as it has been for hundreds of years. It is an appalling choice to attempt to build this skyscraper in such proximity to a much beloved synagogue, one so valuable to the narrative and life stories of Britain's Jewish population.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Israel	Marcel	The quite traditional and famous Synagogue needs as a Temple a free area around it and this is not compatible at all with the erection of high buildings in the immediate vicinity	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bronzite	Ruth	Bevis Marks is the oldest synagogue in the UK, and the oldest in the whole of Europe to have 300 years of continuous services. Moreover it is now in a conservation area. The new planned building would tower over it and, even in its reduced height, would cast a gloomy pall over the synagogue's interior during services and other events such as weddings. It would be a desecration of a beloved and holy building to despoil it with a totally insensitive and inappropriate tower building so close to it. We are all now aware that many people who work in offices no longer work in the City, but work from home several days a week. This will reduce the need for mammoth-size offices and will hopefully enable the Council to turn down an insensitive and inappropriate development in its present form.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Korn	Daniela	This is a historic building of great significance and should not be demolished	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rabson	Jonathan	Detrimental impact on historic synagogue Bevis Marks	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ferera	Leon	I object because the proposal will hugely obstruct the light and visibility of Bevis Marks, a beautiful and unique historic landmark. It will also adversely and irreparably affect its setting.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Westman	Jula	I cannot imagine this type of plan being permitted by somewhere such as Westminster abbey or Coventry cathedral It could be thought that such a disregard for the Bevis Marks smacks of antisemitism	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Press	Dan	Bevis Marks in the City of London is Europe's oldest continuously functioning synagogue and the single most important historic site for British Jews. That unique history nearly ended in 2021, when the planning department recommended approving a tall building at 31 Bury Street, overshadowing the secluded courtyard where the synagogue is situated, obstructing the view of the sky that is integral to its character, and blocking so much sunlight that the congregation would struggle to hold services. A massive public campaign prompted a change of heart. Now the developer has submitted a new application for this highly contentious site. It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection. It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked. The City's failure to consider the religious and cultural dimensions of the synagogue will cause outrage. We urge the City's planning committee to protect the view of the sky from Bevis Marks's historic courtyard. This is essential to preserve the synagogue as a living community for generations to come.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Magnus	Alfred		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Baum	Dennis		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Soccio	Caroline	This is a piece of our history. The British Jewry has an important part in both, individuals and our community	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goffe	Jude		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Musikant	Adam		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sikking	Mary	I wish to object to the proposed development on the grounds that a building of this design and height will have an aesthetically adverse effect on a building of such significance in terms of history, age and beauty.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Foreman	Bridget		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Halon	Sally	I object	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Copisarow	Katharine		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lee	Lealiza	Please maintain the historic character of London and the distinctive quality of this landmark	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ridgeway	Pauline Golda	I object to this. It is the oldest synagogue and has a magnificent history.	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lurie	Kate	I object as this removes the natural light from a beautiful old building.	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hills	Christine		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shapiro	Melissa	England's oldest synagogue (and indeed, the oldest continued-use synagogue in Europe) is located in the heart of the City of London and should be preserved as an historic landmark.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Seymour	Ulla-Britt	This is such a heritage for the Jewish community in Kindon	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Isaacs	Jonathan		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bekhor	Joseph	I am writing to express my opposition to this application (24/00021/FULEIA). I am perplexed as to why this proposal should be considered given its similarity to the previously refused proposal, and in particular given the adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. As a member of the community to which Bevis Marks Synagogue is part, I am particularly concerned about the impact this proposal would have on the Synagogue, most notably the impact on light. I hope that you refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goldstein	Michael	I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years? This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Sacerdoti	Daniel	<p>I write as the Chair of Trustees of the Spanish and Portugese Jews Congregation, the custodians of the Grade 1 listed Bevis Marks Synagogue.</p> <p>I wish to register my strong objections to this application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I am shocked that after the refusal of an almost identical application two years ago and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area, that this application has even been made.</p> <p>The scheme has barely changed since the previous (rejected) scheme. Nor is it in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is situated within a conservation area. Bevis Marks is the most important site in anglo Jewry and one I am proud to be partially responsible for.</p> <p>The proposed tower would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, robbing it of much of its remaining light.</p> <p>Such a proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I respectfully urge the planning officers and the Members of the Corporation to reject this scheme and to undertake to protect the unique living treasure that is Bevis Marks.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Braude	Sheila	I object	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Marx	Jeanette	Important and historical building and heritage of the Jews in London and the UK.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	levinson	sharon	It's also an important tourist attraction. The very oldest, and still in use, Synagouge in the UK	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hood	Sharon	I strongly object to this. one of our oldest buildings and a piece of our heritage and history	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Peters	Kathy	Absolutely object	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brenner	Don	This building is of such great historical and religious significance. It is about time everyone recognised this. The proposed development should be turned down.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goodenough	Sharon	<p>Just what have the planners got against The Bevis Marks Synagogue...this is the second time they have tried to blight this wonderful and important London landmark and it should simply not be allowed. No doubt the architects will say everything will be done sympathetically and that the Synagogue will not be affected but how can that be guaranteed? This application to build should be turned down in no uncertain manner....leave Bevis Marks alone!!</p> <p>As someone who worked in the City for nearly 30 years, most recently very close to the location of this proposed development, it saddens me that a number of perfectly acceptable buildings are being demolished to make way for, in many cases, monstrous skyscrapers. One of the joys of working in, and visiting, the City of London is the range of architectural styles on view - 18th, 19th, 20th and 21st centuries; the planners appear to have taken the view that anything other than 21st century is obsolete. The plans for 31 Bury Street illustrate this point. The proposed 43-storey tower would completely overwhelm the surrounding buildings, in particular the Grade 1 listed Bevis Marks Synagogue, a building of international importance. In addition, proposing to build such a tower in a conservation area (Creechurch) is surely completely at odds with the purpose of creating a conservation area. I hope that these plans do not receive approval.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Peever	Silvana	<p>I am a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue from Canada and am concerned to see this new proposal, particularly after the refusal of a very similar one just two years ago and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>The application is not in keeping with a Grade-1 listed place of worship. The seventeenth-century Bevis Marks Synagogue and its soon to open education center is the most important Jewish site in England and a jewel in the crown of world Jewish heritage and the Sephardi tradition of which I am part.</p> <p>The proposed tower, to the immediate south, would block out the religiously important southern sky-view and completely overshadow the Synagogue and its courtyard, blocking all remaining light.</p> <p>I consider the planning application to be totally unacceptable and urge you to refuse it.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Borr	Barry	This is threatening our Jewish heritage at a time when Jews worldwide are under threat.	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Young	Diana	Bevis Marks is the only synagogue in the square mile. It is also the oldest synagogue not only in the UK but in Europe. It relies on natural light and candlelight and would be badly affected by this tall tower block. Bevis Marks is a Grade 1 listed building and its heritage should be respected.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Israel	Ruth	This is a heritage site and need to be respected	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Orgel	Esti	I object, this is an important part of our history. This synagogue means a whole lot.	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Raingold	Jo	This is a building of great historical importance to the Jewish community of Great Britain. It should not be forced to be demolished it would be removing a building of huge cultural importance and is culturally very insensitive	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Marks	Joshua	The current proposal risks significant damage to the character and heritage of Bevis Marks synagogue, the oldest active synagogue in the UK and a Grade 1 listed building. The historian Tom Holland described a previous similar proposal as a 'disgrace', explaining 'Bevis Marks is Britain's single most historically significant monument to the role played by Jews in national life.' I agree with Holland that it should be afforded some protections in line with its special status.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Collovini	Chiara	I strongly object to the building of a 43 storey skyscraper.	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brand	Vadim	Bevis Marks, the oldest synagogue in the UK and oldest continued-use synagogue in Europe is located in the heart of this capital. The proposed application of such a tall construction project which will endanger its rights to light/ongoing structure, and indelibly change its historic setting within the strata of history and culture that make up London city. It is a representation of diverse Sephardic heritage and a cultural archive of the continuous record of Jewish life in Britain. To build allow construction of such large building to shadow this synagogue represents a further cast of Jewish life and culture into the shadows. Please deny this such building application to keep the diversity of the neighborhood front and present.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goldstein	Ralph	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rothband	Nicole	This development so close to a historic synagogue is inappropriate. The proposed height of the development will overshadow the synagogue structure to such an extent that it will block out almost all light.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levenson	Yoel	This synagogue is a priceless bit of British Jewry's history.	NO	Public Access	14-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Keats	Michael	The proposed development: -Will cause substantial harm to the setting of Bevis Marks Synagogue. -Stands within a Conservation Area and thus violates the City of London policy of Tall Buildings which states that the City will refuse planning permission for tall buildings in conservation areas -Will have a serious, negative impact on the synagogues ability to carry out religious rituals such as the monthly viewing of the moon For this and many other reasons it should be refused	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Austen	Amanda	I object as it is not respectful Of the shul	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Videtzky	Joanne	I strongly object	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Krom	Jodie	Impact on Bevis Marks synagogue	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Landau	Rachel	I object!!!	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gouldman	Judith	Would infringe on Bevis Marks synagogue natural light, destroying the ability to worship with the synagogue and the character of the grade 1 listed building.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	larchy	Marc	Building such a skyscraper would overshadow a historic synagogue and greatly diminish it's charm. These arguments were all made 2 years ago when a 48-floor tower was proposed, and reducing it to 45 floors don't change the negative impact on the light, environment and charm of Bevis Mark's synagogue.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Leventhal	Michael	I am a Jewish publisher and historian living in north London and am writing to object to the above-numbered planning application. I have written about the importance and historic significance of Bevis Marks synagogue in a book published some years ago and I feel strongly that the application will have a very negative impact on the building. The new tower block would block out the crucial southern view of the sky and shut out the light that comes into the courtyard. I urge you to reject this application. Yours sincerely, Michael Leventhal	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Okrent	Sara	Bevis Marks Synagogue is of historic value to the whole of Anglo-Jewry and this development is a threat to this important Grade 1 listed building	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gold	Paul	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I've been a member of the Spanish and Portuguese congregation 25 years and our family has celebrated weddings etc at Bevis Marks Synagogue and I am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years? This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. This is especially concerning at a time when the Jewish community is feeling so vulnerable . Please refuse this application. Kind regards Paul gold	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gold	Isabel	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of the Spanish and Portuguese congregation my family has celebrated weddings etc at Bevis Marks Synagogue and I am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years? This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. This is especially concerning at a time when the Jewish community is feeling so vulnerable . Please refuse this application. Kind regards Izzy Gold	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rubin	Suzanne	Damage to a historical building namely bevis marks synagogue	NO	Public Access	14-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Gold	Elijah	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>im a member of the Spanish and Portuguese congregation and my family has celebrated weddings etc at Bevis Marks Synagogue and i am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. This is especially concerning at a time when the Jewish community is feeling so vulnerable .</p> <p>Please refuse this application. Kind regards Elijah gold</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cousin	Yocheved	<p>I object</p> <p>I strongly object to this planning application.</p>	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Segal	Richard	<p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue and destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the contribution to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked by a 45 storey tower.</p> <p>The development would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. I understand that because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. This should make it straight forward to reject this application.</p> <p>In addition, from a sustainability perspective, how can it be right to allow the existing building at 31 Bury Street, which is only 40 years old, to be demolished. Surely from a carbon emissions perspective, the existing building should be refurbished rather than demolished. Unnecessary demolition simply squanders the energy embodied in the building.</p> <p>There must be many better sites in London for a new building of this size and height.</p> <p>This application should be rejected. I strongly oppose this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shenker	Stephen	The proposed development will overshadow Bevis Marks synagogue	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pearl	Jennifer	The building will change the environment and stop light getting into the building and will probably disrupt wheelchair access for me when I want to attend the synagogue.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Barkan	Limor	I object	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stevens	Rich	Don't Respect Jewish History	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Weiss	Maxime	<p>This proposed building threatens England's oldest synagogue (and indeed, the oldest continued-use synagogue in Europe). I am pro industry and a thriving economy, but not at the expense of ongoing culture and spiritual worship, or of a vital piece of UK and anglo-jewish history. 31 Bury as designed will endanger Bevis Mark's rights to light/ongoing structure, and indelibly change its historic setting within the strata of history and culture that make up London city.</p> <p>This is not only a historical conservation issue but also an issue of compromising the cultural practices of the community who uses the space. Allowing this building would be a planning hypocrisy and certainly a breach of the Equalities Act.</p>	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lavi	Roslyn	I object building near and over this important historical sight blocking its light.	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ross	Reva	By allowing the planning permission to be granted the new building will be blocking the light to a 300 year old Synagogue that is of historical importance to the Jewish Community of England and to the local community in the City.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wilks	Anne	The plan is prejudicial to the unique historic landmark of the beautiful, prized Bevis Marks Synagogue, still much enjoyed today, a jewel in the crown of Anglo-Jewry and a badge of honour for Anglo-Jewish relations.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	GLATMAN	Zara Ruth	The planning application if it is successful will be harmful to the Bevis Marks synagogue and site This is a very old wonderful building of great historic architecture and I believe one of the earliest synagogues in London. People come from all over the world to visit and also to take part in many religious services and events. It would be a crime to put yet another shiny modern building next to it and cause damage.	NO	Public Access website	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Israel	Adrien	Protect our heritage	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sher	Nicky	It is a building of heritage and can't be destroyed	NO	Public Access	14-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kaufman	Martin	I am writing to object to this planning application which will overshadow one of the most important historic buildings in the City of London, the Bevis Marks Synagogue, the oldest Jewish religious building in the country. The City welcomed the Jews in the 17th century, and ever since Jewish men and women have played an important part in the life of the City. In a time of growing antisemitism, now is the time to celebrate the story of diversity that is represented by Bevis Marks, and to celebrate, not denigrate, its heritage for all, whether or not Jewish. For the sake of the future heritage of the City, I sincerely hope this application will be rejected.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Marcus	Lucy	I object	NO	Public Access	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mendleson	Elizabeth	The building proposals will affect the historic and unique building that is Bevis Marks Synagogue. The re-development must not and cannot go ahead.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bedarida	Gabriella	The historical and diversity value of the Bevis mark synagogue would be destroyed. The new project would bring darkness, noise and traffic in a quiet corner of the city where everybody should be able to value the success story of how Jews integrated and contributed once they were allowed back in England	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Chalibamba	Michelle	<p>Bevis Marks is the oldest Synagogue in the UK. It is a place steeped in Jewish history. But also a place that represents and celebrates the history of the city of the Migrant population to this area</p> <p>Anything that threatens integrity of the building Should not be allowed</p> <p>I strongly object to this proposal</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Madeira Filipe	Andre	<p>The potential obstruction of natural light to Bevis Marks synagogue due to the proposed development raises legitimate concerns. While it may seem like a minor detail to some, the uninterrupted flow of light into the synagogue is integral to its regular functioning and the overall atmosphere within.</p> <p>Bevis Marks is not just a historical relic; it's a living testament to the enduring spirit of world Jewry and a symbol of religious tolerance in this country. In so many ways it's a beautiful symbol of redemption with significance that goes beyond the borders of the City and even the UK. Preserving its functionality is essential to maintaining its significance as more than just a museum piece but as a centre of worship and community for centuries to come.</p> <p>Its elegant discreetness motivated by historical constraints, should not serve as a green light for authorities to shun its significance. On the contrary, efforts to increase its visibility should be undertaken.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Klein	Mark	The bevis Marks synagogue is a cultural and historic site, you would not do this to St Paul's where there has been much development in the past decade. To appreciate Bevis marks we need as much natural light and nothing which could compromise the structure of the building. The proposed development is a blemish to both	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Piper	Esther	How can this development be allowed next to a significant grade 1 building! Is this because Bevis Marks is a synagogue and Jews don't matter? Would this be allowed next to any other grade 1 building ?	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	Amalya	Bevis Marks synagogue is a hugely important building and Jewish community that has been in operation for over 300 years. It forms part of the story of religious faith in the UK and is of great historical significance. The planned works around the building threaten its unique architectural character and the means by which the community prays. Unlike other religious buildings in the UK, Bevis Marks is unique for its candle-lit services that continue a tradition of prayer that has existed for hundreds of years. By blocking out sunlight around the building, this will make this special service impossible and risks losing an important aspect of cultural patrimony in the UK.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	Judith	The proposed development will overshadow Bevis Marks synagogue, an important part of East End history and a minority heritage site. It is also a listed building. Previous planning applications have been refused on this basis so it's extraordinary that yet another one is being considered. The City of London is responsible for protecting its heritage - this historic Jewel of Anglo Jewry deserves better than to be left in a dingy, lightless, overshadowed corner.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Baer	Michael	Bevis Marks isn't just a synagogue, it's a living monument to the remarkable success story that is Anglo-Jewry. It sits in the heart of our nation, thriving in the face of adversity. It's been holding services continuously for over 300 years - even through the Blitz. It is the embodiment of a community that arrived as refugees, fleeing persecution and nevertheless established itself in the heart of British life.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Valencia	Esther	My family named Cohen Lobatto/Palache has been at Bevis Marks since its founding in the 1600s. It is very special in that it is considered a heritage listed building and the continuation of special buildings in The City of London. No high rise buildings should be allowed to damage this wonderful ancient congregation	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Vogel	Michele	The Bevis Marks Synagogue is of great cultural and historic importance. A tower block next to it would overshadow the synagogue, being too high for the area and also blocking out light for this old building which has no electricity and requires daylight and views of the sky I do hope you will reconsider this planning application Thank you	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wilton-Morgan	Taylor	<p>As a clergyman in the City of London, I wish to register my objection to the proposed development, on the basis of the existential threat this poses to the Jewish community at the Bevis Marks Synagogue. The development would dramatically reduce light levels, making many ceremonies inside the Synagogue extremely difficult, and dramatically impoverishing its atmosphere. More significantly, it would totally obscure view of the moon and some stars, meaning the central rituals of prayers at the new moon, and the observance of Shabbat, would be impossible. This would render the Synagogue functionally useless as a living and worshipping community. A looming tower block would also, in present circumstances, pose a significant security concern for the safe use of the site.</p> <p>Bevis Marks is the only non-Christian place of worship in the City of London, and the oldest continuous site of Jewish worship in the world. Since its building in 1701, many families can trace continuous involvement through marriages and other rites of passage there. It is a priceless place of heritage, of international importance, but it is *much* more than a museum: it is the site of a living tradition, whose ethical heritage underwrites so much that the City of London values. What would the City be without such communities of human flourishing, placing us in touch with fundamental moral questions? And what kind of people would we be, if we ignored just how imperiled the Jewish community feels at this moment? The proposed development is an existential threat to them: thus it should be an existential concern for us all.</p> <p>Clearly the City must make difficult decisions about planning. It is not clear, however, that development should come at the expense of an imperiled minority group's right practise their vision of human good - a good which they firmly believe is for 'tikkun olam' (the 'repairing of the world'). Thus, with other clergy and Christians in the City, I firmly object to this proposal.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levy KC	Robert	<p>The notion that such an enormous building should be built in such close proximity to Bevis Marks Synagogue is quite monstrous. A similar, offensive, application, has, I believe, been recently rejected and the current one seems to me no better, in terms of proximity, the impact on London's oldest and most historic functioning synagogue.</p> <p>The proposed development would impact such views as are left from this important heritage, Grade 1 listed, building as well as diminish its light, which is of particular importance in view of the already poor light at the synagogue and its use of candles for illumination.</p> <p>I understand the synagogue is in a Conservation Area, and the proposed new building would dominate its setting.</p> <p>Further, a four storey basement being excavated in such close proximity to a pretty ancient synagogue could well impact its structural stability; in view of the date of its construction it is unlikely that Bevis Marks enjoys the structural integrity of more modern buildings.</p> <p>This application is, to all intents and purposes, a cynical rehash of a recently dismissed application and should be rejected.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Flower	Isabelle	The proposed development is completely out of keeping with the conservation area and inappropriate given the nearby setting of various listed buildings and heritage assets. The proposed development would rob Bevis Marks of even more daylight, and the proposed 'benefits' of the development ring hollow and are merely the bare minimum expected from any development.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Palmer	Joel	Negative impact on Bevis Marks Synagogue	NO	Public Access	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mourtzilias	Georgios Michail	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm [a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am] appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Michael	Allowing this planning application would be a huge mistake - encouraging other tower blocks to tower over protected buildings. The case has been made many times, but it is important that you know how vital it is to prevent this from happening.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Erginsoy	Ali	I am deeply concerned about the impact of this development on the historic Synagogue of Bevis Marks, the oldest synagogue in continuous use in England. The development will affect the quality of worship by blocking out natural light and adversely impact the architectural fabric of the historical building. It is also inappropriate in scale because of the proximity to the synagogue. I feel it would be unthinkable to attempt to site such a building adjacent to St Paul's, so why the cathedral synagogue of the Spanish & Portuguese Jews' Congregation, a community that was established in 1656? Previous attempts of a similar nature were rightly rejected by the planning authority and this should too.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Judith	Allowing this planning application would be a huge mistake - encouraging other tower blocks to tower over protected buildings. The case has been made many times, but it is important that you know how vital it is to prevent this from happening.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Julius	Lyn	As a former editor of the Sephardi Bulletin I have visited the synagogue on many occasions. I would object to any development that would further block out the light from this wonderful historic building.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sneader	Philippa	31 BURY STREET I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm extremely disappointed to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. As a young child we would visit the synagogue regularly and my family has been involved there for many generations. I was married there, as were my siblings, parents and grandparents. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Swade	Shelley	Together with many many others, I strongly oppose this new proposal, introduced after a similar proposal was rejected previously. This is a conservation area containing the most important Jewish site in the UK. Not only is it historically of enormous importance but it is also a site of contemporary Jewish life. The proposal would irrevocably alter the setting and shut out the remaining light, overshadowing and dominating the synagogue and its courtyard. It is essential that this development, and any comparable one, never goes ahead and I urge you to reject it.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hakim	Robert	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). My family are longstanding members of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and we are very disappointed to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application is barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and impacts the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pinch	Sarah	Ruining the local synagogue next door	NO	Public Access	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pereira-Mendoza	Marc	In a world where we pay less and less attention to an active preservation of the past and in particular the uniqueness and exceptional history of a building such as Bevis Marks, the Corporation must pause and take stock of the objections surrounding this proposed project. There is no doubt that a 45 storey old tower would overwhelm the synagogue optically, physically and crucially from a lighting perspective. There is limited scope to improve the lighting within the synagogue itself given its Grade 1 status and would also overshadow the courtyard area which has been used for many years for rituals and celebrations such as weddings (including my own). Furthermore, I understand that the proposed development is within the Creechurh Conservation Area which should not allow for tall buildings and many of the arguments offered by the developer in supporting their application seem somewhat tenuous - especially from an environmental and sustainability perspective. Bevis Marks will soon have a museum and visitors centre which has taken years of hard work to accomplish and will sit within the site and the success of that initiative to attract visitors will also be reliant on both the aspect and light falling across the area. More importantly this building serves as a historical gem of unparalleled significance, not just for the Jewish people, but for this country as a whole in the way that it has served as a beacon of light for those fleeing oppression and looking to contribute to broader society - please do not allow the light surrounding it to be extinguished.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dias	Daniel	I would like to register my objection on the grounds of damage to the spiritual experience of worshipping at Bevis Marks Synagogue. My family have worshiped at this synagogue for 200 years, my family, and my grown up children are also members. Bevis Marks is a unique place, with an unmatched ambience, the building resonates with the melodies and prayers of multiple generations. I defy anyone not to feel the spiritual significance of Bevis Marks, if one cares to visit. To damage this would be a travesty for the City of London, I am all for progress but surely some things should be sacred. I hope common sense prevails and this historic home of a community so deeply linked to what it means to be the City of London, a greater community built on tradition and integrity, will be protected for many more generations.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Saleh	Ruth	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm [a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am] appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Kay	Gideon	<p>A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the Bevis Marks synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p> <p>It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.</p> <p>The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place.</p> <p>It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations.</p> <p>It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rowland-Hill	Michael	<p>The Bevis Marks synagogue is one of the City's most beautiful and historically important buildings. I am not Jewish, but I love and appreciate this building as a living reminder of our past - how this country can be proud to have welcomed refugees.</p> <p>Bevis Marks is a magical place to visit for Jews and non-Jews alike. This new development will literally overshadow and therefore demean this historic and religious building. It is a Grade I listed building and it is at moments like these that it really needs your protection. Please let the synagogue and all it represents be your number one thought above profit and business and short-term thinking. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Margetson	Zanine	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). As a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and frequent visitor to the synagogue I am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, has barely changed since the last time it was submitted, and is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to please refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Macmull	Ira	<p>The Bevis Marks Synagogue is an historic building which should be respected as such and should not be overshadowed by yet another high rise building which would diminish the stature of this remarkable landmark.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dias	Lorraine	<p>Light</p>	NO	Public Access	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Martins Dos Santos	Jonatan Ismael	<p>The synagogue was built in 1701. Twelve years later The Bill of Rights was made official in the country, marking a new departure for our Western values of freedom and democracy. Eighty-eight years later the American Constitution was established, which is still known for advocating for religious freedom. More recently, the International Human Rights and European Human Rights were mainly based on these laws.</p> <p>When this synagogue was built, Jews were openly executed in Spain and Portugal. Even if they were Catholic converts, but they kept any single of the practices of their ancestors, it meant they would be eligible for execution.</p> <p>It wasn't until 1976, approximately, that many of the descendants of those Jews, in Portugal, decided to embrace the faith of their ancestors publicly. While in London, more than three hundred years ago, they could build synagogues and enjoy a free life.</p> <p>Even today, our mayor, Sadiq Khan, has installed over thirty thousand lights for Ramadan in Central London, while in so many countries, religious freedom is far from being a reality.</p> <p>The most interesting thing is that we are in an era of inclusivity, fluidity, and diversity of any kind. Yet, a synagogue that was built one hundred and eighty years before Tower Bridge, and one hundred and fifty years approximately before Big Ben, seems to be less important than those two emblematic buildings. I wonder if our Western values of freedom and democracy matter, as so many people coming from authoritarian countries, where they can be executed for anything that deviates from the official values, find this to be a sanctuary.</p> <p>But it is nothing new, as it was already happening in 1701 when this synagogue was built.</p> <p>So, if the mayor spends money on lavishly celebrating Islam, why aren't we allowed to keep a historical building? Older than Tower Bridge and Big Ben?</p> <p>If a symbol of the city and the values of the West receives such poor treatment, I wonder what is coming next.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kotlowski kinsley	sharna	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm very keen to preserve a historical jewish synagogue that is of enormous meaning to me. Im appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	SICSIC	Laurent	<p>I'm strongly opposing to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a long standing member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and I just am appalled and concerned to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application is almost identical to the previous one and doesn't comply with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area.</p> <p>Bevis Marks' Synagogue is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the building and its community should be considered with all due respect.</p> <p>The new tower, immediate south to the synagogue, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal is almost disrespectful and would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral. It should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Silver	Jason	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm [a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am] appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Nunes	David	Damage to daylight lighting at Bevis Marks synagogue	NO	Public Access	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Webber	Jonathan	My wife and I were married in this historic synagogue 30 years ago, and we are proud to be members of this congregation. My grandfather was the Executive Secretary of the Spanish & Portuguese Jews community for literally fifty years, and he had his office in the building alongside. So I grew up with a very strong awareness of the family tradition regarding the truly magical character of Bevis Marks Synagogue, and even though we do not live now in the UK we remain with that memory to this day--especially the memory of the quality of the light during our wedding ceremony. For its part, the congregation has assiduously preserved and continues to preserve the architectural beauty of the building, both inside and outside, down to its smallest details. It is hard to believe that the City of London could even consider an application for development which would very substantially damage the authenticity of the site by allowing a new structure to literally overwhelm it, not to mention the disastrous effects of blocking natural light permeating the interior, something which otherwise lends true grandeur to the ancient ceremonies and music which take place there. The Bevis Marks Synagogue is unquestionably unique, a most valuable jewel of the City of London. Please, please, continue to protect it and do not allow this scheme to go ahead.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ozer	Ketty	I am a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Congregation and I wish to strongly object to the application of development of the Creechurh Conservation Area which seems to have been resubmitted with a minor change of reducing the height of the building by a couple of floors only. This is not in keeping with conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area; the most important Jewish site in the UK. If this project goes ahead, the new tower to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky view and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, reducing the remaining light. Equally important, in this time of virulent antisemitism, it is a huge security risk with any potential terrorists having a full view of the synagogue courtyard with easy access to commit horrendous acts of terrorism against the Jewish congregation. How can this be allowed? It will be inconceivable to allow such a proposal within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral and rightfully so, but equally should not be permitted meters from British Jewry's oldest and most revered synagogue either, especially the sensitive southern exposure. This is madness and a callous betrayal of the members of our congregation. I ask that you please refuse this application. Thank you Ketty Ozer	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Abulafia CBE FBA	Prof David	To take away the light from a much loved building which is the oldest and most beautiful synagogue in the UK is an act of barbarism and desecration. The synagogue makes virtually no use of electric light in order to preserve the origins I unchanged interior as perfectly as possible. An earlier application with a similar impact has already been rejected and it is beyond impossible to understand why this application has reached this stage.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jonas	Daniel	It is clear from the approach of the developers and their repeated applications that they mean to have their way regardless of the rights and wrongs, and expect to be able to beat all opposition down with the weight of money. The benefits they suggest are marginal at best, and no more than what would be considered normal elsewhere. Many of the claims about action they plan to take can be quietly discarded later with no downside to them. In short, they can speak in bad faith, with no fear of consequence. This undermines the fairness of the planning process. It is extremely clear that the synagogue would have its light obscured, and that this incredibly important building would suffer a further blow against its utility for those who live in the area and attend daytime services, as I do. I cannot imagine this being permitted next to a major church.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Garcia	Robyn	I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goldin	Jon	I strongly object to this planning application which will have a detrimental impact on the Bevis Marks synagogue, which has huge historical significance.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Elia	Israel	Overshadowing the Synagogue and other buildings , blocking light, Changing the unique character of the area, which was preserved since 1701 With great struggle.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gee	L	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	birnbaum	Laura	I am concerned this will impact adversely on the listed buildings	NO	Public Access	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	da Mota	Leandro	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm [a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am] appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Ozer	David	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>You have resubmitted an earlier application which was rejected by making small changes and reducing two floors, in the hope that you will not be met with strong objections.</p> <p>This is not the case this time round either. I am a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish Congregation and I strongly oppose your new application for a new tower.</p> <p>Your new development is not in keeping with the conservation area, and will totally diminish our Grade-1 listed building, the Bevis Marks Synagogue which is the most important Jewish site in the UK.</p> <p>The new tower, to the south of the synagogue will alter the setting of the synagogue, block out the southern sky view which is of utmost importance to my religion, over shadow the synagogue and its courtyard and pose a huge security risk exposing our members to any would be terrorist organisation who would be able to attack members at random from this building. In this awful time of heightened antisemitism, allowing such a building to overshadow the oldest synagogue in the country is a total travesty and must be stopped immediately.</p> <p>Such an application would not be allowed to go ahead within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, Westminster Cathedral or any building of equal religious significance in the the UK and should certainly not be permitted to proceed just meters from the most important synagogue in the land, particularly with its sensitive exposure.</p> <p>I respectfully ask that you reject this application in the interest of decency and understanding of the sensitive nature and the fear that has gripped the Jewish people in this country now. I would be terrified to encourage my children and grandchildren to mull around the courtyard as I genuinely believe this building could be used as a back drop for a terrorist act in London.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Chazan	Marcos	<p><i>Thank you for reading my comments.</i></p> <p>The building will have an adverse impact on our synagogue which is a protected, grade 1 listed building. It will block the sunlight out synagogue benefits from. Thanks</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Marks	Simon	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am disappointed to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, which has barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building. Especially since this is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. My wife's family were founder members of the synagogue and have been living in the UK for hundreds of years.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dawood	Cindy	<p>This new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Salem	Mark	<p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sinyor	Pamela	<p>I am very concerned about the total overshadowing of Bevis Marks Synagogue. It is a wonderful site of interest to tourists and a beautiful synagogue for worshippers. It will be dwarfed by the proposed building and its light would be very adversely affected. It is now in a conservation area and surely should be preserved including its right to light? It the building goes ahead it feels like something the planning department would regret for centuries to come.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lebrecht	Elbie	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Benaïm	David	The area is already too condensed and the amenities will suffer as a result of the development	NO	Public Access	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shapiro	Caroline	I want to object due to the impact this will have on Bevis Marks synagogue	NO	Public Access	15-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Sieff	Alison	Having attended a wedding at the Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Synagogue quite recently (end of March 2024) - I can firstly say what a beautiful building it is and therefore I felt compelled to write with my objections to the new application submitted (Ref: 24/00021/FULEIA). The synagogue is surrounded by modern structures and is therefore already very much overlooked and, as far as I can determine, the new proposal (which I understand was initially refused some 2 years ago) does not comply with the recently adopted Creechurch Conservation Area and is not at all in keeping with the conservation area. The Creechurch Conservation Area includes the Grade 1 Listed synagogue which happens to be a building of high significance to British Jewry, it being the oldest synagogue, dating back to 1701, and has been in continuous use on the site ever since then. The proposed new tower immediately to the south of the synagogue would dominate and overshadow the synagogue's location and, more importantly, will block out important southerly views of the sky and reduce remaining light within the courtyard and synagogue itself. A proposal of this kind would never be considered within the vicinity of another important place of worship in London, such as St Paul's Cathedral or Westminster Abbey, and should certainly not be allowed in such close proximity to this historic building. I would strongly urge that you refuse this new application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Masri	Danny	It is a shame that this will destroy a part of London's history which is slowly disappearing yet there is a vast amount of sky scrapers / high rise buildings so concentrated in a such small area as yrh City of London.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rahamim	Marina	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years? This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	L	Matthew	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stolerman	Suad	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). Why has the City considered this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area? This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. What is more, we don;t need another tall building in the vicinity - you can replace Bury House with a smaller, modern eco design that benefits everyone rather than build another environmentally monstrosity that makes a mockery of a conservation area from a religious building that is still in use and has an important role in British, if not World Jewry. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ashton	Martin	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). Why has the City considered this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area? This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. What is more, we don;t need another tall building in the vicinity - you can replace Bury House with a smaller, modern eco design that benefits everyone rather than build another environmentally monstrosity that makes a mockery of a conservation area from a religious building that is still in use and has an important role in British, if not World Jewry. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Perez	Lorna	This would totally overshadow a national treasure	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ibgui	Simon	There is a special and historical synagogue located near the proposed building which will be heavily impacted by the proposed plans. The synagogue is a listed building with immense meaning, to my family and many other Jewish people in London. I find it very difficult to believe you would ever allow such a building to be built near any other religious site Bevis Marks synagogue should be granted the same protection. The building will block huge amounts of light from the synagogue. The natural light gives the synagogue its character and allows areas of the premises to be used for various celebrations. The moon plays an integral part of the Jewish calendar and sometimes even forms part of our services. The construction of the building will block the view of the moon from the synagogue and so will directly impact the ability for the community to perform these religious services from the synagogue building. (Additional information available here: https://www.chabad.org/library/article_cdo/aid/1904288/jewish/Kiddush-Levana-Sanctification-of-the-Moon.htm)	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kopaloff	Stephen	This building is part of the Jewish heritage of the UK, any change will be an enormous loss to the overall Jewish community	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Rahamim	Joseph	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA).</p> <p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Eliasov	Dean	<p>I am writing to formally object to the proposed development of a 45-storey tower adjacent to the synagogue located. The synagogue is a Grade 1 Listed building of immense historic and cultural significance, and I believe that the proposed development would have severe detrimental effects on its integrity and the surrounding area. I kindly request that you carefully consider the following points in your assessment of this planning application.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Overwhelming Impact: The proposed tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which holds significant historical and cultural value. It is perplexing that such a building would not be subject to the same level of protection as St. Paul's Cathedral. Destruction of Southern Sky View: The development would obstruct the essential southern sky view, which plays a crucial role in the setting of the synagogue and contributes to religious rituals. The view of celestial bodies, including the moon's passage across the sky, would be obstructed, diminishing the intangible qualities associated with religious practices. Inappropriate Location: While the proposed building may be well-designed and sustainable, it is simply in the wrong place. Its towering presence would cast shadows over the synagogue and its courtyard, significantly impacting the usability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. Diminished Daylight: The development would further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more challenging to conduct worship. Given the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not a feasible solution. Conflict with Conservation Area Policy: The development site falls within the Creechchurch Conservation Area, where current planning policy prohibits tall buildings. The proposed tower directly conflicts with the statutory development plan in place. <p>There are many other points to raise but I have run out of characters.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mesrie	Elizabeth	<p>A building of the magnitude planned will completely overshadow & take all the natural light away from the Synagogue building which is a Heritage building. Being a member of the community, I totally object to the plans suggested.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	Samantha	<p>I am very concerned about this proposal to build a 45 storey tower right next to the most significant Synagogue in the UK. Such a huge building would completely overwhelm the grade 1 listed building. It would cause irreparable harm to the Jewish community in London - a spectacularly upsetting thing to do at any time but especially so given the current outpouring of anti-semitism in London. Can you imagine what the response would be if someone were to propose such a tower be built next to St Paul's! It would almost completely block out the sky and contribute to the already beleaguered and oppressive nature of the courtyard there. The many celebrations which currently take place both in the courtyard and inside the building would become dull and dimly lit affairs - celebrants will go elsewhere, the synagogue will be used far less and eventually only the toughest souls will remain. I can hardly believe the City is even considering this proposal so patiently appalling is it.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Twena	Diane	<p>Unsignificantly near grade 1 bevis marks . I am member. Take away light , similar to previous rejection proposal</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goodkind	Karen	<p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. Erecting a 43 story building next to it would be devastating to Europe's oldest fully functioning and continuously used Synagogue. A building of this height will destroy the southern sky view which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual.</p> <p>The proposed building would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the usability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p> <p>I therefore strongly object to the proposed re building of Bury House</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	gilbey	georgia	<p>n/a</p>	NO	Public Access	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	sueke	john	<p>My place of worship is BEVIS MARKS a Grade 1 listed building built in 1656 one of the world's most important and oldest places of worship of the Jewish faith . This disgraceful and thoughtless planning request would never even be considered in the immediate vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just a few metres from our synagogue , particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I implore you to refuse this this new application as an affront to such an important place of worship and to our community many of who have been members and supporters for many generations</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kenley	Holly	<p>A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the nearby synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sabbah Bensimon	Raymond	<p>It's an outrage, a monstrosity to build a tower in this area so close to a historic building older the St Paul cathedral. Please stop this nonsense.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	ANI	MICHAEL	<p>I strongly oppose this application.</p> <p>Being a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community I am horrified to see this new proposal, following the refusal of a very similar proposal two years ago. This new application is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. It also falls within the new Creechchurch Conservation Area. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would overwhelm the setting of the synagogue, blocking out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, diminishing any remaining natural light . This proposal should certainly not be permitted in the interests of preserving our most important historic sites within London. I urge you to refuse this application</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sabbah Bensimon	Raymond	<p>It's an outrage, a monstrosity to build a tower in this area so close to a historic building older the St Paul cathedral. Please stop this nonsense.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Rahamim	Vivian	<p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Aaron	Scott	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a former member of Bevis Marks congregation and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sasson	Laura	<p>Bevis Marks is a synagogue of huge cultural and historical significance. It must be preserved and protected for future generations.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Delvalle	Arthur	<p>This work would put areas of worship in an uncomfortable position as access to them will be reduced and noise pollution from construction would affect them during times of active worship.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kos-Est	Alessia	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Foundation For	Jewish Heritage		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Nunes Vaz	Joyce	<p>Objecting to this proposal as a member of this synagogue. My husband's family have been members since the late 1880's and every generation since then have been married there. His father was minister of the congregation for over 20 years. This is a very special place and anything that interferes with its ambience and quality of worship would be intolerable.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dawood	Richard	<p>Nothing about this application addresses the serious impact the proposals have on the local environment, in particular the terrible impact it would have on Bevis Marks synagogue we occupies important place the heritage of the Jewish community in London and more widely in the UK. The strategy seems to be to wear everybody down with repeated applications of a similar nature. This is highly objectionable strategy and I would urge planners to robustly against this latest application all future variants of it. Previous applications have been rejected, and this one should fail also.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Schimmel	Noam	<p>It is of great importance for the respect of Britain's cultural, historical, and religious heritage to ensure that values of preservation are upheld in protecting the Bevis Marks synagogue which is an integral and valued part of London and Britain's architectural and inter-communal patrimony. The new development application undermines respect for this unique heritage, disrespects the local Jewish community and violates fundamental principles of respect for diversity and shared heritage that contributes to the uniqueness of London and its vitality as a city that honors pluralism through policies and practices that protect the heritage of its residents and their distinctive histories. The new tower would block the synagogue and confine it, cutting it off from its natural setting and marring the experience of its members and visitors for whom it is a place of celebration, prayer, meditation, solace, sharing, and communal reflection and congregation. The quality of all those experiences would decline with the blocking of the southern sky view and the resulting dramatic reduction in natural light. I urge you to refuse this application and in so doing to affirm the value and significance of the Bevis Marks synagogue, its civic, cultural, spiritual, and communal significance, and respect for the history and heritage of what is one of Britain's most enduring structures and synagogues with a living historical presence that continues to make a dynamic contribution to contemporary British life and the religious, ethnic, and communal diversity that animates it.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Turner	Joanne	<p>It would be a great shame to ruin this historic building</p>	NO	Public Access	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fhima	Simon	<p>It is disgusting that the City of London are even considering allowing this plan to go ahead. Bevis Marks synagogue is the cultural heritage of the entire Jewish community of the UK and to threaten its status as a functioning synagogue by blocking off its natural light with this building shows a total disregard for the Jewish population and its history.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sopher	Edward	<p>Bevis Marks synagogue is one of the true unsung jewels of the City of London in terms of serving as a living link to the 18th Century and its unique customs. It is a real draw for tourists and serves as a proud symbol of the historic ethos of the City as a mercantile center. The proposed plan would drastically change the approach to and the surrounds of the synagogue in a way that would make it seem small and irrelevant- effectively destroying the fabric of this beautiful part of London history.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dacosta	Bernice	<p>The building will affect the light in the Synagogue as well as over shadowing it.. I have been a worshipper at Bevis Marks for many years and feel that the city's Jewish heritage should be protected.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cowen	Anne	<p>The proposed building deprives Bevis Marks of natural light and does not respect the historic significance of that building to the community and Anglo Jewry in general.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	adler	sarah	Both the elected Mayor of the City of London as well as the Mayor for London and the prime Minister keep informing the Jewish community that the community adds to the vibrant diversity of London and is respected at all times. However, it would appear that commerce is far more appealing to the City rather than history and diversity. In 1492 my ancestors were forced to leave Spain and wandered through many countries and locations before finding a safe haven in the UK where we have worked hard to ensure that we make a worthwhile contribution to the entire area. Is it too much to ask that the first synagogue the community was permitted to erect in Bevis Marks should be treated with the respect both the building and the community deserve? The building itself is far more than a place of worship, it continues to tell the history of those early settlers and is a place of education for today's school children and students as well as tourists. An office block can be built at another location, it will not be intended to last the years that Bevis Marks has and will continue so please just take a step back and think what you are trying to destroy.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hornstein	Rodney	The proposal fails to respect the immense heritage of the Bevis Marks Synagogue site and would have a disastrous impact on Bevis Marks Synagogue as a living Jewish congregation, as well as the Dangoor Heritage and Education Visitor Centre. This project should not be permitted as it will ruin one of the most revered and historic areas in the City	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Newman	Amanda	It is disappointing to see that this planning application for Bury House has only very minor changes from the one that was rejected previously. It is directly contravenes the Creechchurch Conservation Area Plan specifically policy DM12.2. The new building proposal will cause significant damage to the Grade 1 listed Bevis Marks Synagogue and the Grade II* listed Holland House. The new proposed building will be overbearing to the Synagogue and completely dominate the skyline. The loss of significance in conservation and religious terms should be taken into consideration. The Synagogue is the only non Christian place of worship in the City of London. This proposed development is not only detrimental to the building but to the worship within the Synagogue as it will block out the religiously important southern sky. The light that comes in from this direction is an important part of the religious ceremony. The Synagogue is the Cathedral of Anglo Jewry and as such should be seen as significant as St Paul's Cathedral. I strongly object to this planning application	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Golanski	Karen	I objected to the first proposal for a high rise development here and am hugely disappointed that another proposal has been submitted. My reasons for objecting to this one are effectively the same. It will be a blight on the area, in particular on Bevis Marks Synagogue which is of immense historical importance. The effect of a high building so close to it will cut out much of the light which will make religious services difficult. The City of London Corporation has surely made this a conservation area for a reason, so it is hard to see how such a development could be given the green light.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hurst	Ann Stephanie	We need to preserve the historical buildings and the area should not be over developed with high rise buildings encouraging pollution, noise and crowded areas.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rejwan	Salman	Hello, This proposed planning application is overbearing in that it doesn't take into consideration the significance of Bevis Marks as the ancestral home of the Sephardi congregation in the UK. It undeniably blocks the views and light over the southern end of the synagogue and I would like to strongly object to this application. I mean having a small building in a conservation area being dwarfed by a skyscraper is overbearing in the extreme.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	JNatali	JNatali	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I strongly urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Turner	Jacob	There are innumerable places for the development of modern buildings in or around the City of London. There is just one Bevis Marks synagogue - a building of great historic importance, architectural merit, and religious significance - not just for the Jewish community but for the UK more widely. The light and aspect of Bevis Marks synagogue would be significantly damaged by the proposed development. Once that has been lost, it will never be recovered. Who breaks a butterfly on a wheel?	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Speigel	Maureen	I just cannot believe in this day & age how London can put this building next to the Synagogue I was married in. Just considering the light alone it's will be overwhelming in its restriction. Bearing in mind the age of Bevis Marks you'll be ruining the present height to something completely out of keeping with the area. I sincerely hope this decision will be reconsidered.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Attan	Mark	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application . I'm extremely disappointed to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's most historic synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dias	Max	I have been associated with Bevis Marks and I was chorister since childhood. My life in Judaism has derived from the lessons I learned and my subsequent attachment with the synagogue and the order of service which I followed as a lay minister. I was married there and my family has followed the customs of the religious service. It has been a bulwark of Spanish and Portuguese Minhag since its inception in 1701 and a blessing to the Community..	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Maya	Gaston	<p>I am objecting on behalf of Latin American Sephardic Federation (310,000 members)</p> <p>We are concerned to see this new proposal, especially after the refusal of a very similar one just two years ago and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>The application is not in keeping with a Grade-1 listed place of worship. The seventeenth-century Bevis Marks Synagogue and its soon to open education center is the most important Jewish site in England and a jewel in the crown of world Jewish heritage and the Sephardi tradition.</p> <p>The proposed tower, to the immediate south, would block out the religiously important southern sky-view and completely overshadow the Synagogue and its courtyard, blocking all remaining light.</p> <p>We consider the planning application to be unacceptable and urge you to refuse it.</p> <p>Gaston Maya, President Mexico City, Mexico</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ward	Joanna	<p>Bevis Marks synagogue is a "national treasure" - smaller than St. Paul's Cathedral but just as important in its own way. It's the oldest continuously occupied and used in the country. Allowing high rise buildings be built right next to it is totally unacceptable - they would overshadow it visually and more importantly would block the light from this delightful old building.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gilmont	Jason	<p>Not in keeping with the surrounding area.</p> <p>Adversely affecting the amenities of Bevis Marks</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Garcia	Philip	<p>I strongly object to this new application. I do not see how it could be possible to accept this new application given that something almost identical was refused two years ago. Also, a new Creechchurch Conservation Area has been adopted in the meantime, which was supposed to rule out any such proposal being considered again.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sabbah	Nethali	Danger to Bevis Marks Histpric synagogue	NO	Public Access	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gleen	Carmen	Light blocking. Blockage of Heritage site of significant historical and religious importance.	NO	Public Access	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dale	Priscilla	<p>To place a building of such height next to an historic building of such importance is insensitive of the character of the City of London and lacks architectural merit of any kind. Please refuse this application and restore faith in the long term architectural integrity of the City and it's planners.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wood	Abigail	<p>As a religious Jew who has prayed at Bevis Marks Synagogue and has attended events there, I am concerned about the planned 43-storey building which will overlook the synagogue and very substantially change the ability to use the building and its courtyard. Natural light is vital to the space, and the ability to see the sky and moon has meaning in Judaism for observing the religious calendar.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Smouha	Derrick	<p>I would be grateful if you would kindly take into account, when arriving at your decision granting planning permission to the developers of the Bury Street site, that a positive decision would be the equivalent of a death sentence to the Bevis Marks synagogue, the oldest synagogue in constant use in England dating back hundreds of years. This building is of immense value to the Jewish Community, to the City of London and to the country as a whole as it provides a place of worship used without a break for centuries by the Jewish Community, it is a building of great historical and architectural value, and many people travel across the world to London to visit it. That said, if the requested permission were to be granted, contrary to the previous decision, the harm which would be caused to the Synagogue and to the age-old use of the building would be immense and irreparable.</p> <p>We therefore request you to look very favourably on our request and save this beautiful and historical building for future generations thus enabling its constant use to continue for many years to come. We thank you in advance.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Silver	Rachael	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm [a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am] appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bard	Julia	<p>The proposal fails to respect the important and highly sensitive history and heritage, as well as the beauty of the synagogue site and would have a disastrous impact on Bevis Marks Synagogue as a living Jewish congregation, as well as on the Dangoor Heritage and Education Visitor Centre.</p> <p>How this proposal even reached this stage is difficult to understand.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rubie	Michael	<p>I am very disappointed that the future well-being of Bevis Marks synagogue, its congregation is once more under threat from an inappropriate development in its immediate vicinity. It is the oldest Sephardi synagogue in the UK, built in 1701. Quite apart from possible damage from building a 43 storey tower with 4 basement levels immediately adjacent (to say nothing of the other proposed structures in the development), such a large building will, I understand, substantially reduce available natural light to the building and will adversely affect</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wake-Walker	Richard	<p>The proposal will negatively affect the fine, historic Synagogue to the detriment of the local community, visually, environmentally and physically.</p> <p>I object most strongly.</p> <p>R. Wake-Walker</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rubie	Michael	<p>PLEASE ADD THIS TO MY EARLIER SUBMISSION AS I ACCIDENTALLY SUBMITTED IT BEFORE COMPLETING MY COMMENTS.</p> <p>The synagogue was built with candle light only and even now has very limited electric light. This development will affect its use as an active synagogue and as a much visited historic building. My own ancestors, Raphael and Esther Da Costa, escaped the Inquisition, as secret Jews in Portugal, and were married in Bevis Marks in 1746. My grandfather and his brothes were all congregants there. One must also wonder whether the intended development is really necessary in the City at this time.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goldstein	Adele	<p>I'm a supporter of Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK and the Heritage and Education Visitor Centre which is due to open later this year.</p> <p>The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the protected setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light.</p> <p>What message would permitting this obscenity send about the City of London and its values to the hundreds of thousands of visitors, including school children and those from across the UK and overseas, who will come to visit over the new few years?</p> <p>This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.</p> <p>I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bowman	Andrew	<p>Loss of light and swamping of heritage. As someone who has worshipped there and also recognises its historical significance which transcends our Jewish community by forming a rare snapshot of how the City of London has evolved. Please don't let it be trampled upon. It's not as if there is a dearth of high-rise buildings in the City, very few of which will be fondly associated with cultural history the way Bevis Marks is. Please remember why you correctly rejected another application a few years ago exercising your role as a guardian of the heritage of the City.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Phillips	Keith	The proposal will block out natural daylight and impact the foundations of our beloved synagogue (place of worship). Our descendants were members of the synagogue and my daughter was married there in 2016 - it holds such a special place in our hearts and must be preserved at all costs. Please reject these development plans	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Grossman	Seth	This proposal fails to respect the immense heritage of the synagogue site and would have a disastrous impact on Bevis Marks Synagogue as a living Jewish congregation, as well as the Dangoor Heritage and Education Visitor Centre. As a historically significant element of the Jewish community, I urge you to reject this application	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Moss	Martin	This building is approved will interfere with the synagogue next to it. You would not allow such an eye saw next to at Paul's cathedral. Please do not approve this development.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Castiel	Gilah	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ettinghausen	Daniel	As a member of the Community, I opposed this project as it will overlook and take light from the oldest Synagogue in the UK - itself a listed building. I thought the Common Council had agreed to an exclusion zone but this suggested building will breach that agreement.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	shaoul	doreen	The placing of an enormous building of 43 stories up and four stories underground on the doorstep of this grade one listed religious building is most inappropriate. It will block the light and over power it so that it will fade into insignificance. This building is unique. It is our flag ship jewish building. It has hosted King Charles the third when Prince of Wales and Tony Blair when Prime Minister. The same families I've prayed here 4/300 years. During the day office workers in in the City Of London use it. It houses, unique, religious artifacts and it's Museum is a prominent part of Tours of London. It will be almost impossible to find it, lost like a needle in a haystack under the shadow of this huge building. please think again and you will realize that this is the wrong building in the wrong place, opher or perhaps the right building in the wrong place	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wassermann	Charlotte	I am objecting to this application on two main grounds which both relate to the impact of this proposed development on the Bevis Marks Synagogue and the general impact of this development on a conservation area in conflict with the development plan. Firstly, the development plan advocates for refusing tall buildings within the conservation area. The conservation area is historically important and unique. The building of inappropriately tall structures will cause irreparable harm and damage the character of the area. Secondly, the Bevis Marks Synagogue is a Grade I listed building of international importance and national importance. This development would lead to substantial harm to the setting of the building and the conservation area. For example, there would be significant overshadowing of the synagogue with most of its natural light removed. I live near to the synagogue and value the city of London for its ability to balance the needs of a modern global city with the preservation of its historic fabric which is an integral part of what makes this part of London so unique and special. Allowing this developing would be an unacceptable tipping of the balance in favour of short term commercial gain at the expense of the greater value to be found in the enduring heritage of our area.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Keiner	Judy (Celia Judith)	I strongly object to this proposal for a 40+ storey development which will greatly affect the light, particularly the south facing light, available to the Bevis Marks Synagogue, as well as the amenity of the synagogue's views and surroundings. The synagogue is the oldest still functioning non Christian place of worship in the UK, and is also a priceless architectural and cultural treasure for both the UK Jewish community and for the patrimony of the UK as a whole. Although an amenity space area has been designated near the synagogue, it is clearly grossly inadequate to the task of protecting the amenity of the synagogue, its visitors and members from being being overshadowed to the detriment of worship and other activities of this unique and beautiful treasure of British Jewry.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wan	Elizabeth	In order for London to embrace it's exciting future, it must remain firmly grounded in it's proud historic roots. This includes cherishing historical buildings such as Bevis Marks synagogue. I was lucky enough to visit the synagogue as part of an Open House weekend; as the light shone in through the windows the building filled me with awe, and I learnt about an aspect of London I'd previously known nothing about. This is at risk with the building plans submitted	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Guivarch	Johanna	I'm a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community. This new proposal is offensively damaging the purpose and serene use and of Bevis Mark synagogue and such a project should not be tolerated in the Creechurch conservation area. Furthermore it is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	ZEHAVI	Leora	I object to the demolition of Bevis Marks Synagogue....it should be preserved as a Jewish heritage building particularly in the light of anti semetism in London and wider society.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ashton	Paul	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm a regular congregant at Bevis Marks Synagogue and am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Abery	Nic	<p>A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm a synagogue of personal and communal significance, which is a Grade 1 Listed.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral or other religious site, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p> <p>It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.</p> <p>The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place.</p> <p>It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. The synagogue has spent considerable money and time redesigning and developing the courtyard for educational and community events which would be impossible to utilise.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bloch	Anna	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Eddy	Nathan	<p>Bevis Marks is an historic synagogue of huge importance to the Jewish community. It will be adversely affected by this proposal. I care about the place of synagogues and all places of worship in the uk, we need to look after them as living places of worship.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sidley	Deanna	<p>The previous development was turned down in 2022. It would be an outrage to destroy the conservation area, especially with a place of worship, the Bevis Marks Synagogue, that was built in 1701 and is at least a decade older than St. Paul's Cathedral. The history and conservation of Bevis Marks is extremely important to the Jewish Community in the U.K., especially the Sephardi Community who built this synagogue when Jews were permitted once more to live in Great Britain and invited by Oliver Cromwell. These first returning Jews came here to seek refuge from the Spanish Inquisition. The Jewish people have contributed so much culture, intellectual ability, art etc. The erection of this building would destroy the uniqueness of the whole conservation area. This multi-storied monstrosity is not necessary and would be in the words of H.M. King Charles III, when he was the Prince of Wales, a carbuncle, and in my opinion will destroy the tranquility and special surrounding historic atmosphere. No to this development</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jammer	Leon	<p>I believe this kind of development will cause interference in light availability in the neighbouring Bevis Marks synagogue, as well as cause unnecessary interference in a cultural London landmark. This kind of development changes the nature of the conservation zone and I worry that this scale of development is unfitting for this area. I strongly object to this development.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Blackshaw	Myer	<p>Should such a plan be approved it will overshadow Bevis Marks that is one of the most important places of worship in not just the UK but the world.</p> <p>Although small in stature it is huge in its appeal to worshippers. The hemming in and overshadowing will completely destroy the ambience and beauty that currently create a perfect space in which to feel the spirituality of this space.</p> <p>Please do not destroy what generations of worshippers have created and protected for over 300 years.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	BEN-NATHAN	Geoffrey	<p>Fully support the erection of this barbarian monstrosity only provided planning permission be given for a similar outrage in equal proximity to St. Paul's Cathedral as this would be to Bevis Marks. You wouldn't dare!(Well, you probably would if you thought you could get away with it)</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cuby	Dahlia	<p>Please take into consideration my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm [a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and I'm devastated to see this application has once again been proposed and so soon after its refusal a couple of years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Schmetterling	Dori	<p>This is an extraordinary application for a massive tower next to a historic, Grade 1 listed building known as Bevis Marks Synagogue, in the middle of the Creechurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>This is one of only 2 such listed synagogues in London and has been operating continuously since 1701, making it the oldest still-in-use synagogues in the UK and, indeed in Europe.</p> <p>Although I do not live near the synagogue, I have visited it a number of times. It is obvious that the proposed tower will destroy views of the building and have a ruinous effect on the conservation area. Daylight and a view of the sky will be severely reduced.</p> <p>Having read a number of submissions by the public and consultees, I can see that many objections on planning grounds have already been submitted; I agree with them,</p> <p>This application - not so different to a previous one - must be refused!</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Arkush	Jonathan	<p>A 43 storey building looming over the historic Bevis Marks synagogue dating from 1701 is highly inappropriate and objectionable. Bevis Marks is a gem with deep historical and aesthetic significance to the City of London, as well as to Britain's Jewish community. Its immediate surroundings call to be treated with sensitivity and restraint. The proposed development runs counter to this and I urge the local planning authority to refuse this and any similar application, as they have before.</p>	NO	Public Access website	15-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Glaser	Robert	<p>This a cultural site with historical meaning. It must remain.</p>	NO	Public Access	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	McKinstry	David	<p>The proposal is utterly contrary to all local and national guidance on the protection of the setting of heritage assets, and I have no doubt your own conservation team has advised thus. By approving this your members would essentially be inviting a process of Judicial Review.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hakim	Jonathan	<p>I am writing to object due to the negative impact the proposed development will have on the Bevis Marks synagogue, where I got married and with which my family has been associated since arriving in the UK in the late nineteenth century. The proposed building will shroud the synagogue in darkness and destroy its historic character. Bevis marks is of critical importance not simply to the Jewish community but also to the history of London. The synagogue is the heart of a living community and its unique architecture will be overshadowed and its interior light destroyed if this development were to proceed.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Adam	<p>I began singing in the choir at Bevis Marks synagogue almost thirty five years ago, and am at least the fifth generation of my family to have cherished, nurtured, and now be passing on, the traditions of London's Spanish and Portuguese Jewish community.</p> <p>I am firmly opposed to the new application (24/00021/FULEIA) for this proposed building. Its location and scale just to the south of the 1701 synagogue would overwhelm a religiously important historic place of worship and its courtyard, overshadowing a quiet place of contemplation.</p> <p>Nobody would consider constructing such a building if it were to infringe on St Paul's Cathedral. Nobody should consider it here either, next to the UK's oldest synagogue, a Grade I listed building and a symbol of London's openness and the way this country has welcomed and valued its Jewish community as an essential and respected part of the national fabric of the United Kingdom.</p> <p>I urge you to reject the application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	richards	Ivor	<p>the development will adversely affect the whole ambience of a historic building at Bevis Marks. Why is this proposal any better than the onerefused in 2022?</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Harris	Josh	<p>As a local church leader, I object to this proposal because it involves direct and specific harms to the local Jewish community specifically, including restricting their freedom of worship by obscuring a key portion of the sky and reducing natural light levels to unsustainably low levels. I am proud to minister in the City of London, which we regard as an open, tolerant, civil place which hosts a diverse and international community. I am concerned that this development, if approved, will seriously undermine that reputation, at a moment when we should be particularly working to ensure the safety of the Jewish community.</p> <p>As Priest-in-Charge of St Katharine Cree, I am closely engaged with the local community in and around Bury Street including workers, residents, and those who access community services in our church. We have undertaken more than 1,000 face to face conversations with City workers in the last three years.</p> <p>In my view, the proposed community benefit of this development and the investment in Holland House is intangible and, on the basis of what has happened in similar developments, unlikely to be sustainable to operate - and seems to have required importing organisations with the offer of free space to give the impression of deep community engagement. There are few successful examples of this kind of space I know without an 'anchor institution' running them such as a church, major established charity like Toynbee Hall, or local authority. As someone with expertise in the local community's needs, I cannot see significant likely benefit from the plans to the workers and residents who we engage with in the existing local community.</p> <p>Our community was proud that the City has recently created a conservation area here, and we were looking forward to working with the City to celebrate this and to see how we can together enhance it.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Walker	Susan	<p>Objection to the building of tower block being built next to this historic synagogue which will overshadow and block natural light from the building. I am in support of all the synagogues objections</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Catignani	Sergio	<p>I have attended and visited this synagogue several times when visiting and doing business in London. The project is cultural vandalism and should be stopped!</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sochall	Ingrid	<p>This should not be allowed</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Joshua	Michael	<p>I wish to object to the proposed application as it adversely affects the neighbouring properties and particularly Bevis Marks synagogue and should be refused on similar grounds to the previous planning applications which were refused.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jolly	Andrew	<p>Bevis Marks is unique not just in the UK, but in the whole Ashkenazi world!! Large buildings can be built anywhere but Bevis Marks built in 1701, cannot be overshadowed or damaged by surrounding developments now or ever, it is a Grade 1 building and should be respected as such!!</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	HOPKINS	PAUL	<p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is a special part of the City of London and a key component in the story of the Jewish community in the capital.</p> <p>A large office building will shroud the building in darkness and destroy its historic character.</p> <p>It should not go ahead.</p> <p>Apologies I'm a day late - computer problems!</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Freudenthal	Bernard	<p>I wish to register my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I and my family are long connected with the London Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community, attending services in its synagogues and married under its authority. I am disappointed to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago. This is particularly baffling given the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. It should be clear that this new application (a resubmission?) is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building - Bevis Marks Synagogue, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. It would be an unfathomable act of neglect of London's and Britain's heritage to permit this construction at the foot of British Jewry's most significant heritage synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. The importance of the City of London for commerce and modern life stems from its historical context and long heritage and tradition. I urge you to refuse this application which would imperil our society's future by destroying the living traditions of the past.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Natali	David	<p>I write as a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Synagogue community which I support, where I visit and worship from time to time and which my family has supported for many generations since 1778 when my GGGG Grandfather was married there.</p> <p>Bevis Marks Synagogue is a very special place. It is the 'cathedral' synagogue of the Sephardi Jewish population of the UK and it is the most important synagogue in London. Its place in the City of London has been well recognised for generations.</p> <p>If an enormous building were allowed to be built so close to the synagogue at 31-34 Bury St. it would cast a shadow over Bevis Marks such that it would forever be in relative darkness. This cannot be right. It would also negate the meaning and purpose of the Conservation Zone recently established to preserve the character of buildings in that area.</p> <p>Bevis Marks has been honoured in recent times with the presence of the Prime Minister, the Lord Mayor, the Chief Rabbi and many other dignitaries. HM The King has just been pleased to confirm that he will be retaining the Patronage of The Bevis Marks Synagogue Heritage Foundation.</p> <p>It simply cannot be right for a new commercial development to be allowed to overshadow this immensely important, historic and religious building. It would be like permitting tower blocks to be built around St Pauls (which, rightly, is in fact protected from near and even distant development).</p> <p>I object most strongly to this planning application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Pereira-Mendoza	David	<p>31 BURY STREET</p> <p>Fallback template letter to City Corporation</p> <p>Please note it is much better if you object in your own words, but we realise you may not have time to do this. Hence you might wish to use the text below, preferably with some adjustment so it isn't just a "standard product".</p> <p>====</p> <p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm [a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am] appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p> <p>====</p> <p>HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR VIEWS:</p> <p>Please go on the City Corporation's website:</p> <p>LINK</p> <p>Click on "Make a Comment" and say what you wish to say.</p> <p>Alternatively you could write to the case officer, Anna Tastsoglou, either at anna.tastsoglou@cityoflondon.gov.uk or at P.O. Box 270, Guildhall, London, EC2P 2EJ</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Priddle	Stephen	Absurd to build in a recently created conservation area that was the product of careful thought. The same consideration should be given to this synagogue and its worshippers as to the City's churches.	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dreyfuss	Vera	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm [a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am] appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bahar	Raquel	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm [a member of Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and am] appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurh Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Roberts	Elaine	Bury House should NOT be demolished and the Jewish Community lose their heritage!	NO	Public Access	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Adler	Elias	Proposed development will Impact surrounding grade one listed building Bevis Marks synagogue of which I am an occasional worshipper attending on days when working in city of London.	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hart	Barbara	Don't destroy the Holly place by covering it up.	NO	Public Access	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brown	Louise	Such an important religious building should not be literally overshadowed in this way. This synagogue should not be any more overshadowed than it is already.	NO	Public Access	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goldsmith	Jonathan	We are fully aware of the current pressure on London's few remaining Jews from Islamic institutions and members of the current local and central governments and population! But being the ONLY Jewish house of worship within the Square Mile, this needs to be as protected as St Pauls and the other churches!	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	ISAAC	LORRAINE	This new proposal is shocking. Two years ago it was refused. The new application has not changed very much since the previous application. It is not compatible for a Grade-1 listed building. The Tower Block would dominate the synagogue, and limit the light entering through the windows. Judaism requires a sky-view for religious reasons, and that is important. I believe had such proposal been planned near to the iconic St Paul's Cathedral and Westminster Cathedral, it would be turned down. Therefore, I am shocked and surprised that such an application has been made without due consideration for the iconic Bevis Marks Synagogue. In conclusion, I would greatly appreciate that the application is refused. It is imperative that this refusal is final.	NO	Public Access website	16-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Chandler	Julie	Having lived in London for 22 years and knowing this building I object to yet another office building being built next to it. It seems like history is being erased in this country with no respect for most things. Please no building next to this wonderful historic synagogue	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kerbel	Sorrel	I object to the planning application of this bury street block	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kerbel	Sorrel	On the grounds that it will be noisy Overwhelmthe site as it will be not be eco friendly to the oldest synagogue in the UK , honoured by Jamaica as being one day older than their heritage synagogue that is a showpiece for tourists . Bevis marks needs protection from this plan .	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Juggler Crook	Lauren	Please reject this over large planning application that will destroy the peace and tranquillity of the Nevis marks synagogue - fine heritage building .	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA			Disgrace this is considering going ahead & I completely object.	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA			It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations.	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA			It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA			It is a listed building & treated as such.	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ben hur	Sharon	This historical building stands for hundreds of years. Now you are choosing to take away this historical site	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Yuchetel	Richard	Despite having empty properties closeby	NO	Public Access	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Oyawale	Agnita	This is an historically important building. One of the oldest communities in the UK.	NO	Public Access	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA			Revised Marks synagogue must be protected for future generations. The access to light must be maintained.	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA			At this time Jewish people and the oldest Jewish place of worship must be maintained and supported. The government should not be so short sighted	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Wiseman	David	<p>My objection relates to the impact of this proposed development on the nearby Bevis Marks synagogue.</p> <p>I've had the privilege of attending Bevis Marks - a precious and unique synagogue. It is a special building, of importance not only to the Jewish community but also to London, and it must be prioritised over the short-term objectives of The City Corporation and developers. This does not only mean preserving the bricks and mortar of the synagogue, but also the space around it and the passage of light that flows through its courtyard and windows. The space and light are essential parts of the sanctity of this important landmark that will continue to be in active use for many generations to come.</p> <p>Developments that overlook the synagogue, especially the southern side, should not be considered.</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wilkinson	Guy	<p>Nevis Marks synagogue is an outstanding Jewish place of worship and a most important historic building. In this time of rising anti semitism nothing should be done to diminish Jewish places of worship. This proposed building will dominate and overshadow Bevis Marks to its major detriment</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bear	Michael		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Davies	Beryl	<p>My husband and I, both Christian, visited the Bevis Mark's Synagogue several years ago. We were very interested in the history of unique place of worship, and we appreciated the guided tour of the building, together with a brief outline of the history of its congregation. Surely, the city of London should be thankful for its rich history, embodied in beautiful and sacred buildings such as this. Building more and more very high-rise blocks which threaten the character of historic treasures, is not only destructive but positively foolish. I urge the City of London to reject permission for this unwise plan.</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Beradida	Micol		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	L sner	Susan	<p>It is a very significant place of worship in the city of London and has stood for centuries. There are several places of worship of other denominations that too are in the "wrong" place "</p>	NO	Public Access website	17-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Straus	Martin	<p>I am Deputy Mayor of Taunton Town Council & familiar with planning applications. I am Jewish & visited Bevis Marls Synagogue for a service in my teens, some 60 years ago and the unique atmosphere in this iconic building is still clear in my memory. It would be a major tragedy if the light within the building were to be adversely affected by the proposed tower. We are proud in South West England of surviving Synagogues in Plymouth (the second oldest in the UK) and Exeter (the third oldest) dating back some 270 years but Bevis Marks holds the distinction of being over 300 years old. It is absolutely vital that this planning application is rejected.</p>	NO	Public Access website	18-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cave	John Nigel	<p>non-preservation of old buildings</p>	NO	Public Access	18-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rozas	Simon	<p>I work near the building. Most City workers do not realise the historical and current importance of the adjacent synagogue to European history of the Jews, particularly the refugees from Spain and Portugal. It is similar in importance to the Portuguese synagogue in Amsterdam or the Altneu in Prague. No planning authority would even consider putting a high rise near those buildings, or near St Paul's cathedral, St Peter's or Agia Sophia.</p> <p>No social remedies, cafes or restoring other buildings could fix the damage done to the synagogue surroundings.</p> <p>The house relies on already scarce natural light and blocking it off completely with high rises makes it look like a relic rather than the living historical building in its surroundings.</p> <p>Allowing this to proceed would be an awful signal to the community and do irreparable damage to the site.</p>	NO	Public Access website	18-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Whitear	Nick	<p>Firstly the overshadowing of the Bevis Marks synagogue by this building would be an unacceptable degradation of that building and its historically and socially significant role in the City and wider locality. But also the impact on Becis Marks itself which is too narrow to permit a reasonable amount of light and air to the street when flanked by buildings of this size. Finally Holland House is another architecturally valuable asset from the City of previous centuries which should be left as undisturbed as possible.</p>	NO	Public Access website	18-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Roberts	David	<p>I wish to adopt the following: 'The current proposal comes just months after the Creechurch Conservation Area was designated in January 2024, covering the application site. The new proposals would demolish Bury House, at 31 Bury Street, and erect a building nine times its size. This would be a vast and overwhelming step up in scale and would run counter to the City of London's own planning policy which states that tall buildings are inappropriate within conservation areas.</p> <p>SAVE has submitted a detailed letter of objection setting out the damage the proposals would cause to the synagogue, its setting and the wider conservation area.</p> <p>We consider that the proposed 43-storey tower, which would be visible from within the synagogue's courtyard, would have a direct and substantially harmful impact on its setting and secluded nature. The sky view from the courtyard has religious importance and allows daylight into the synagogue's beautiful interior. This was a reason for the refusal of a previous tower proposal.</p> <p>SAVE also expressed strong concerns about the harm a building of this height would cause to the adjoining grade II*-listed Holland House, with its spectacular glazed bricks and mosaics, and unlisted 1912 Renown House, for which rooftop extensions are also proposed. A building of this scale would cast a shadow over multiple highly listed buildings within the Creechurch Conservation Area, including the, 17th-century St Katherine Cree Church and 18th-century St Botolph's, both grade I listed and located at its heart.'</p>	NO	Public Access website	18-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Segall	Marilyn	<p>I am a member of the S&P Sephardi Congregation and worship at Bevis Marks Synagogue. I wish to object most strongly to the proposed Bury Street development, which would completely overshadow Britain's oldest synagogue still standing, which dates back to 1701 and is a Grade 1 Listed Building, situated in a Conservation Area. To allow such a tower block to be built would be an act of total sacrilege.</p>	NO	Public Access website	18-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hasson	Steven	<p>Apart from all the numerous planning violations already listed by others. I strongly object on the grounds of the negative impact this will have on a historic place of worship. As a Sephardic Jew in the U.K. this synagogue is the bedrock of the community. I will often commute from Cheshire to attend services in this historic building. Please don't impact its ambience. Would you consider a 43 story building immediately next to St Paul's? I would hope not!</p>	NO	Public Access website	19-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morgan	Susan	<p>Bevis Marks has been a part of London Heritage since the 1700's and interfering in the worship by blocking the views, detracting from the exterior aesthetics of a conservative building and just generally having no concerns for the heritage of London is not acceptable. Far too often do developers push unwanted change on communities, not this time leave this site in view, stop blocking the light.</p>	NO	Public Access website	19-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Myers	Sidney	<p>I believe that allowing the construction of such a large tower block would completely overwhelm this beautiful and historic synagogue.</p> <p>It is utterly inconceivable that such a building would be allowed, for example, next to St Paul's Cathedral or Westminster Abbey. In my submission, this Grade I listed building should be afforded the same degree of protection.</p> <p>I strongly object to the proposed building and urge the planning committee to reject the application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	19-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Beral	Mike	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I am a trustee of a Greater London synagogue and chair of a Jewish charity. I am disgusted to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral and oldest synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	19-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Somekh	Natalie	Bevis Marks synagogue is a unique site that holds huge historical significance for the Jewish community in the UK. This building proposal very clearly compromises the site, and it is completely inappropriate for it to be allowed to do so.	NO	Public Access website	19-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mann	Deborah	Totally out of character with this culturally and faith significant building. Plans disproportionate in scale and fails to take into account Gem of history	NO	Public Access website	19-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cowan	David	Location of sky scraper so close to historic synagogue	NO	Public Access	20-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Schiller	Michael		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	20-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gelbier	Stanley		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	20-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brent	Janice		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	20-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Osen	Elana	The proposal fails to respect the immense heritage of Bevis Marks Synagogue and would have a disastrous impact on Bevis Marks Synagogue as a living Jewish congregation, as well as the Dangoor Heritage and Education Visitor Centre. The synagogue is the oldest in Britain and the flagship synagogue of the Spanish & Portuguese Sephardi population of the UK.	NO	Public Access website	20-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fox	Julie		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	20-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jacobs	Tony	This is nonsense there are enough empty offices in the city already. This is a historic building and one that belongs to the oldest minority group of this city. What will the city gain from development save greater taxation. The city is already destroying the atmosphere of the area having pedestrianized much of it. This will be another nail in the coffin. It is London not manhattan	NO	Public Access website	20-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Van Straten	Craig	Right to light issues for Bevis Marks Synagogue.	NO	Public Access	21-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pinto	Ruby	The proposed development is not in keeping with the current buildings. The new tower block will obstruct natural light to the surrounding area. Natural light is essential for humans especially during the autumn and winter months	NO	Public Access website	21-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Arden	David	The destruction of historic sites across London has been allowed to continue over decades under the banner of 'progress' but this area represents the last bastion of 350 years of Jewish history. The surrounding area must be protected to protect the integrity of the building and to ensure it continues as a place of worship unhindered.	NO	Public Access website	21-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Adam	Ralph		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	21-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hojsteen	Architect Aharon	In first the demolition and high rise construction is clearly an unsustainable environmental nuisance for the surrounding buildings, including them a historical synagogue a genuine landmark in British Jewry heritage. After the long and disturbing construction process, the tower will block out all daylight and and obtrude any sky view from the ancient synagogue, which is essential to its function. I pray you sincerely take these consideration close in order to preserve a heritage site. Thank you in advance.	NO	Public Access website	21-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Amal	Ruti	I am attending Bevis Marks synagogue. I don't want such a tall building to destroy the views around and the light that comes to light the interior of this historical religious building. Regards, Ruti Amal	NO	Public Access website	21-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Storey	Nicholas	The nearby Grade1 listed synagogue needs light to maintain its atmosphere and spiritual character. Do not overshadows it with yet another grotesque skyscraper.	NO	Public Access website	21-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Amar	Janine	It is THE oldest synagogue in England and still in everyday use. It is older than St. Paul's cathedral. As an attending and practising Jew, I find the idea that you would destroy it abhorrent. Would you do this to St. Paul's?. Stop trying to erase our history in this country.	NO	Public Access website	21-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Main	Kathryn	Not in keeping with surrounding environment. Failure to consider neighbourhood historic environment	NO	Public Access website	21-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Blasebalk	Tony	Heritage and history... 300 years continuous worship is valuable and an example to other.	NO	Public Access	21-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Litwin	Holly	This proposed high rise building would dwarf and damage the Bevis Marks Synagogue and block all light from entering the synagogue. As the oldest continually used synagogue in the world Bevis Marks needs to be preserved and not damaged. As a Jewish tourist visiting Bevis Marks was the highlight of my trip to London.	NO	Public Access website	21-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Seaford	William	I object to the proposed new building adjacent to the synagogue. Please consider the affects of light and noise on the historical synagogue. Please consider the potential to use nearby empty office spaces. Enable the listed building to remain a hub for a community. Consider its unique history within European synagogues. Allowing continuity of worship over many years in the past and potentially in the future. When in the past such buildings have been destroyed in Europe. This building and its surroundings should be cherished. Consider such buildings as a hub in our country. In some ways representing the diversity in Great Britain and standing against the concrete uniformity of other cities. My support to the community and the synagogue. And my hope that decision makers open their minds to this .And other perspectives which support the building and its surrounding area. William Seaford	NO	Public Access website	21-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Malins	Leo		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mautner	Roger		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Findlay	Katherine		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Halfon	Daniel		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Klionsky	Naomi		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Roodyn	Michael		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mourtzilias	George-Michael		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Berman	Gerald		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Weiner	Estelle	It is totally inappropriate in relation to the historic area and long standing antiquity inherent in this site. The daylight would be affected. The view from the courtyard will be severely compromised. There is no real need for a building on the scale of that in planning; the existing building could be adequately updated without the overall size being so extensive.	NO	Public Access website	22-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Frost	Adele	It will change the environment surrounding the need for light in a building first established in the 17th century. It has personal connections for my family and anything that is detrimental to its religious character is not good. There is limited space already and any more overshadowing of this historic building is abhorrent.	NO	Public Access website	23-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Szlesinger	Brenda	Considering the recent designation of the Creechurch Conservation Area, it is perplexing that this application is once again before the Committee. The current scheme remains virtually unchanged from the previously rejected proposal. It fails to respect the conservation needs of a Grade I listed building located within a conservation area. Bevis Marks Synagogue is a cornerstone of Anglo-Jewish heritage. The scheme being proposed would have a material adverse impact on the setting of this important heritage asset. It will obstruct the religiously significant southern sky-view and cast a shadow over the synagogue and its courtyard, thereby depriving it of much-needed natural light. Such a proposal would never be entertained in proximity to St. Paul's Cathedral and should certainly not be permitted just meters from British Jewry's "Cathedral synagogue," particularly given its sensitive southern exposure. I urge the planning officers and the Members of the Corporation to REJECT this scheme.	NO	Public Access website	23-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rodrigues Pereira	Nachshon	I object to this project because it takes away a significant part of daylight from the Bevis Marks Synagogue. The sunlight is an essential part of the character of this oldest synagogue in the UK and it would be a monumental blow and monumental mistake to take this away. Please protect this incredible and unique piece of British heritage and do not let any tower block any more sunlight of this synagogue. This is not only important for the congregants and for the UK, but Jews all over the world feel connected to this cause. Please!	NO	Public Access website	23-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Filer	Wendy	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). I'm an academic specialising in the legal history of Bevis Marks's Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community, the UK's oldest Jewish community. The Grade I listed Bevis Marks synagogue site is of incalculable value to the UK's rich historical story of religious and cultural minorities. The new planning application barely changes the original rejected proposal. The proposed building height irreversibly impacts the religious use of the synagogue, where electric lighting cannot be installed; shadows the courtyard preventing religious use and blocks the southern sky view necessary for religious ritual. Such irreversible, detrimental impacts on this historic site within the Creechurch Conservation Area cannot be mitigated by the Developers' weak arguments to support supposed benefits of their proposed scheme. Their arguments apply to all commercial buildings and are not unique mitigations addressing the irreparable harm to this historic site. They would be quickly dismissed if made in relation to St Paul's Cathedral, in relation to which Bevis Marks is the Jewish community's historical equivalent. Moreover it is environmentally indefensible to replace an existing building, which can be refurbished, with a new building. Bevis Marks's history is inextricably interwoven with that of the City Corporation and it is the duty of the Corporation robustly to defend its statutory development plan, to protect Bevis Marks as a working, vibrant building and community within the Creechurch Conservation Area. I urge the Corporation to reject this new application.	NO	Public Access website	23-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Reeve	Yvonne	This proposal is riding roughshod over God's chosen people. It denies their religious freedom.	NO	Public Access	24-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Evans	Nicholas	This proposal would be detrimental to one of the oldest synagogues in the UK and Anglophone world. The City of London has a proud tradition of preserving spaces of world class cultural importance- Bevis Marks now needs thus support.	NO	Public Access website	24-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	King	Norman	This building needs to be protected from all aspects of intrusion and spoiling. It is one of the most important houses of worship in London. It is at this time of war in the middle east that London must show respect to the Jewish community.	NO	Public Access website	24-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Grossman	Jenni	It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked. The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place.	NO	Public Access website	24-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	O'Shea	Elaine	This is a historic building and in London we have lost so much of our past that we should've saved or kept. I object very strongly to losing another building because developers want to make money. I say no to losing this building too redevelopment.	NO	Public Access website	25-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Papouchado	Elliot	Inappropriate structure alongside a historic place of worship.	NO	Public Access	25-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goldberg	Ivor	The new building would overshadow a historic building, blocking light necessary for the continual function of the oldest functioning synagogue in the country. The building needs to be preserved.	NO	Public Access website	25-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hanks	John	This development is detrimental to the setting of Bevis Marks Synagogue (Grade I listed) and will adversely affect the community that has worshipped there since 1701.	NO	Public Access website	25-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	Jonathan	A 43-storey building looming over the historic Bevis Marks synagogue dating from 1701 is highly inappropriate and objectionable. Bevis Marks is an architectural and historical gem, important both as Britain's oldest synagogue in continuous use and also a symbol of Britain's Jewish community. Its immediate surroundings must be treated with sensitivity and restraint. The proposed development runs counter to this and I urge the local planning authority to refuse this and any similar application, as they have before.	NO	Public Access website	25-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Burg	Jonathan	Very concerned this development will obstruct the proper operation of Bevis Marks Synagogue which requires access to sky for light for worship, including the weekly end of Sabbath (to see the stars) and monthly new moon, and the morning shadows caused by the new building making the sanctuary nearly unusable. Its not appropriate to build such a colossal structure in close proximity, potentially also damaging Bevis Marks ability to provide residential space for its porter or rabbi. The blockage of the Bevis Marks sanctuary would then result in a diminution of the City's history and diversity with over 300 years as an uninterrupted place of worship. The plans should be amended to ensure neither darkening nor damage to the Bevis Marks sanctuary by reflection/sunlight from glass in the new building. If necessary it should be designed around or the building set back to permit continuous light for Bevis Marks. Additionally the noise of visitors, retail and commercial ventilation exhausts and other HVAC will destroy the tranquility of the area especially at weekends, where quiet spots are rare to come by indeed, and those the City has, like Bevis Marks Synagogue, must be preserved.	NO	Public Access website	26-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gilbert	Richard	To allow this proposed development would amount to environmental vandalism of what should be a world heritage site. Bevis Marks is a unique landmark that has been in consistent and daily for over 300 years. The proposed development could harm the fabric of the synagogue and jeopardise its amenities and ambience. Some developments do more harm than good and this would be one of them.	NO	Public Access website	26-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Marks	June	Don't spoil this beautiful and very special building which should be preserved for posterity	NO	Public Access	26-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Marnham	Andrew	Disrespectful	NO	Public Access	26-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sepulveda	Elishevah	To disturb the spiritual ambience with environmental factors such as overshadowing, increased traffic noise, foot traffic, building clamor and debris would be so very wrong.	NO	Public Access website	27-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gocman	Michael	It would be detrimental	NO	Public Access	27-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Needham	William	The nearby Synagoge has been there for more than 300 years and is not only an historic cultural treasure, but also a living one. The blockage of natural like would severely compromise the integrity and functioning of this living legacy and give the wrong message about how we value our cultural heritage.	NO	Public Access website	27-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fairbairn	Barrie	It seems to me that the benefits of the development to the developer are disproportionately small to the significant losses incurred by the Synagogue should the application be approved.	NO	Public Access website	28-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Judah	Jonny	I object to this and previously objected to a similar application	NO	Public Access	28-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Johnson	Colin	For a tower block to be built and allowed to overshadow next to such a Beautiful Religious Building is a Desecration of the Building and an insult to all who worship there. The fact that it has held religious services and stood there for over 300 years and is grade 1 listed says something about the Building. You would not build a tower block next to Saint Paul's Cathedral so why allow this ?	NO	Public Access website	28-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hales	Allan	This is the greatest symbol of my heritage in the UK as a Sefardi. It's means more widely the post-Cromwellian readmission of Jews into England. It's cultural, architectural and historic significance cannot be overlooked. Sefardi Jews such as Montefiore, Sassoon, Henriques, Toledano, Navarro, Caro, Sebag and Serota. We've given much and asked for nothing. Please don't return us to the shadows like we don't matter	NO	Public Access website	28-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mendoza	David		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ehrlich	Daniel		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rafaelli	Barbara		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Roback	Deborah		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Leigh	Lord		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Edwards	L Joan		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bear	Michael		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rothman	Gerald		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sloam	Nigel		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morganstein	Louise		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Eskenzi	Anthony N		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rowland	Jon		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lincoln	Francesca Raphael		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gordon	Mark		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jacobus	Laura		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dimoldenberg	Paul		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jorgensen	Reverend Laura		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brennan	Clare M		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Callaghan SJ	Brendan		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cherry	Brigid	The proposed building will ruin the setting of the Bevis Marks synagogue - an historically and culturally significant listed building, depriving it of light and ambiance of a important religious building which means much to the community of Jews throughout the UK.	NO	Public Access website	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Parkes	Henrietta	Respect for an historical important building and place of worship, older than St Paul's Cathedral and a place that the Jewish community has as a symbol of their fleeing from the Inquisition to safety in London. This wouldn't be allowed to happen next to St Paul's or Westminster Abbey, why is it even being considered to allowed to be here?	NO	Public Access website	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ruben	Caroline	The proposed Tower would be totally out of character in the area and will completely overshadow the Synagogue. It will shut out the light necessary to part of the Synagogue's services. The Synagogue is an historical building and any building particularly such a tall one would totally detract from the history of London.	NO	Public Access website	29-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Barkway	Janet	Why destroy this heritage for generations to come . Why can we not be proud to be the custodians of such heritage that has Been left to us to care for . We have in London and elsewhere plenty of modernism This is history for years to come to cherish and teach to our future families Don't let our future children think badly of us for destroying an icon what on earth will they think of us A generation who did not care	NO	Public Access website	30-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stern	Sharon	I am writing to object to the proposal which will result in a building that will overshadow Bevis Marks Synagogue, which is a Grade 1 listed building. There are too many high rises and empty buildings in the area as it is. Please do not destroy yet another area which has religious significance to a lot of people.	NO	Public Access website	30-May-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Birrell	Stephen	<p>It is about time this site gets developed to it's fullest potential. This has been delayed for so long and for no reason. The design has been well thought out and is of a high quality design. The architects should be very pleased with this.</p> <p>I understand that the (Bevis Marks) Conservation Area is now a material planning consideration but the supporting documents have clearly considered this alongside any potential impacts on surrounding properties, including that of the synagogue. That organisation uses their seven chandeliers (representing days of the week) all the time to light the interior space. The synagogue even uses the chandelier as its logo, hence they are nearly always turned on to light the space. Having one extra tower nearby will not make a noticeable difference, which I'm sure the Daylighting Assessment has taken account of. That site is already surrounded by buildings and purposefully hidden from public view anyway, except when viewed down the narrow passage of Heneage Lane but that elevation is not affected by the proposed development.</p> <p>I hope that the politics surrounding this site do not prevent further development which this City desperately needs to stay in competition with the other cities of the world. It would be a shame if it were refused simply because one organisation has contacted a worldwide network that have not even been to the site.</p> <p>London is a great city and the juxtaposition of the old and new fascinates one and all, keep up the good work town planners in the Corporation and approve this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	30-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kaufmann	Aviva	<p>This will block the light to one of the most important religious and historic buildings in London. Bevis Marks is part of the fabric of London and this would be an absolute travesty. I am in disbelief that this is actually being considered seriously. Where are the adults in the room? Just shocking.</p>	NO	Public Access website	30-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Eirew	Gabrielle	<p>I write this my letter to you, London.</p> <p>You are a magnificent city full of gems of one kind or other. But the care please because in Bevis Marks you have a jewel especially of the British and Sephardi Jewish people and a jewel of what it looks like and stands for.</p> <p>Bevis Marks signalled the return of the Jewish people to London after their Medieval expulsion. Not only is it communally and architecturally significant but it is emotionally important as a symbol of integrity and perseverance. It has beautiful light figuratively and actually and the proposed buildings risks destroying and reducing that light. The proposed buildings will make it much lesser than it is - like the Crown Jewels, the jewel of Bevis Marks needs protecting properly please</p> <p>Shine on Bevis Marks, London! Thank you.</p>	NO	Public Access website	30-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Baum	Colin		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	30-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Samuels	Adrian	<p>This 300 year old example of Jewish heritage deserves to be respected. Building very tall skyscrapers adjacent to it makes no sense.</p>	NO	Public Access website	30-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kielty	Pauline	<p>Every one has the right to light no matter who they are.</p> <p>To demolish this building is insane, it is a historical building. We are loosing to many of our historical buildings in this country to these logo type buildings which will not last as long as these precious buildings</p> <p>Pauline Kielty</p>	NO	Public Access website	31-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Royo Moreno	Luis J	<p>A listed building is more than the building itself: it is the landscape around it, because otherwise we miss the context and part of its history with it.</p> <p>That new building will not only spoil the historical context of Bevis Marks Synagogue, it can even become at one point a target for terrorism aiming to hit the beating heart of the Jewish community in London.</p>	NO	Public Access website	31-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Applegate	Sharon	<p>How dare you demolish this building ! What you want it for another mosque anti semitism</p>	NO	Public Access website	31-May-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Booth	Judith	<p>This building was built in 1701 and is important for Jewish heritage and deserves to be respected. Building a very tall skyscrapers adjacent to it makes no sense. It will block the natural light from the building and leave it in perpetual darkness.</p>	NO	Public Access website	01-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Richardson	Will	<p>The idea of allowing such a tall structure to overshadow the Bevis Marks synagogue is grotesque. We already have several old buildings (often places of worship) overshadowed in the City by modern tall buildings. I don't object to high-rise buildings per se, but we must look after our architectural heritage, and site them where they don't change the character of an important building.</p>	NO	Public Access website	01-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cable	Rory	<p>This sounds like part of the coordinated attack on the Jewish community, we must look after all communities and preserve their rights and dignity and history.</p>	NO	Public Access website	02-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shahrabani	Ihsan or Eliyahu	<p>I always prayed at Bevis Marks when I was living in the east end of London. Sometimes I participated in the prayers on Saturdays and weekdays.</p> <p>Local people and members remember me cycling from N16 to EC3A Sometimes 6 days a week.</p>	NO	Public Access website	02-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Viana de Oliveira	Sezefredo	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. - It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection? - It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked. - The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place. - It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations. - It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible. - The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. - The City Corporation is required to identify locations suitable for tall buildings. It has done some work on this, but there is much more work to be done, and their work eventually has to be assessed by an independent inspector. This process is unlikely to be complete before late 2025. - The developers are arguing that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. These benefits do not stand up to scrutiny. Many - for example, being car free, demonstrating holistic environmental design, having consolidated deliveries - are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building, and they do not represent a gain to the community. 	NO	Public Access website	02-Jun-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Viana de Oliveira	Sezefredo	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. - It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection? - It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked. - The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place. <p>It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible. - The development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. - The City Corporation is required to identify locations suitable for tall buildings. It has done some work on this, but there is much more work to be done, and their work eventually has to be assessed by an independent inspector. This process is unlikely to be complete before late 2025. - The developers are arguing that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. These benefits do not stand up to scrutiny. Many - for example, being car free, demonstrating holistic environmental design, having consolidated deliveries - are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building, and they do not represent a gain to the community. 	NO	Public Access website	02-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Howell	Jacqueline	This takes away the religious practice of an ancient house of worship. The proposal is exclusive and undermines the right for worship.	NO	Public Access website	02-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levin	Brian	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance. - It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection? - It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked. - The proposed building may be well designed and an exemplar of sustainability, but it is simply in the wrong place. <p>It would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible. - The development site is within the Creechchurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan. - The City Corporation is required to identify locations suitable for tall buildings. It has done some work on this, but there is much more work to be done, and their work eventually has to be assessed by an independent inspector. This process is unlikely to be complete before late 2025. - The developers are arguing that they are providing lots of planning benefits that outweigh the harm to the synagogue. These benefits do not stand up to scrutiny. Many - for example, being car free, demonstrating holistic environmental design, having consolidated deliveries - are simply features that would be expected in any modern commercial building, and they do not represent a gain to the community 	NO	Public Access website	03-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goodman	Namy	This beautiful building is Historic that has been Built in 1701, before ST Paul's Cathedral. Would you build a 45 Storey near it, to shadow it ? and take away, the light, might disturb the foundations and make the synagogue looking like a draft. It will look too odd next to a very modern, too tall building. We certainly British people WON'T LIKE it.	NO	Public Access website	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Matthew	Will block all the light to the historical synagogue I use regularly	NO	Public Access	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Spencer	Michael	nothing should inhibit the integrity and beauty of this longstanding beautiful synagogue	NO	Public Access	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Johnson	Rachel	<p>I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application (24/00021/FULEIA). As a British Jew I am beyond disappointed at the lack of respect for a Jewish place of worship. There have been enough assaults in the UK on Jews. This new application shows lack of consideration or respect for the essence of a place of worship. This would not be considered if it were Westminster Abbey.</p> <p>I am appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechchurch Conservation Area. This new application, barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to refuse this application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gubbay	Candice	Blocking light in a religious institution BEAVIS Marks that has been on this location for decades.	NO	Public Access	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Glyn	Simon	This proposed development is totally unacceptable - it will block the light to the building which is integral to the synagogue experience.	NO	Public Access website	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Glyn	Tamar	This proposed development is completely unacceptable. It will block the light to the building putting it almost entirely in the shade. Access to light is fundamental element of the religious and cultural ethos of this historic building. It is a vital part of London's Jewish history and multicultural society. I can not imagine a similar development with similar aspect being permitted for example next to Westminster Abbey or St.Paul's Cathedral. I most strongly object to this build being permitted it would be a travesty.	NO	Public Access website	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Singer	Amanda	Will block light	NO	Public Access	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Barrie	Francine	This building will completely overshadow Bevis Marks Synagogue. The synagogue will, lose light, and a view of anything other than the new skyscraper .	NO	Public Access website	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	Sasha	Would block the light at Bevis Marks which would affect our ability to worship there. A new tower block of should not be allowed to be built on a conservation area	NO	Public Access website	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Cohen	Warren	This is a place of worship and I strongly object to a tower block being built close by	NO	Public Access	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Barrie	Michael	Much less light, only view will be a skyscraper. This is particularly disrespectful to a place of worship	NO	Public Access	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shaw	Julian	This will block out natural light from adjacent buildings.	NO	Public Access	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shaw	Karen	I object to the proposed tower and need to protect Bevis Marks synagogue. The proposed tower would block the sky view which is fundamental to Jewish worship. It would keep the synagogue in mostly shade. This is an iconic place of worship since 1701 . This historic building needs to be protected. This area is a conservation area which makes this tower even more unacceptable.	NO	Public Access website	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Einhorn	Hilary	This synagogue building is of great historical interest and important to the city of London and a special religious community. It should not be shut out by yet another city bully building which will probably not last the several hundred years as the synagogue has. It is part of London heritage and should be cherished and respected. There are surely enough business premises in that part of the city already	NO	Public Access website	04-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Tenzer	Karen	Save this beautiful building	NO	Public Access	05-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Parton	Adam	An attractive proposal with a slim profile to help minimise any impact on nearby sites	NO	Public Access	05-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Smith	Amanda	Concerns around Loss of Light from the rear of our building, not to mention Bevis Marks Synagogue.	NO	Public Access	07-Jun-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Beloff	Jonathan	Bevis Marks Synagogue must be protected from this unnecessarily large construction protect that will directly and negatively impact the synagogue and religious prayer. With the recent wave of anti-semitism, one would expect the City of London to do all it could to protect this historic synagogue. However, they seem to fail in their duty to protect it. The building will overshadow the synagogue's primary light source, making it impossible to conduct certain religious practices require seeing both daylight and the moon at night. The City of London must reject this call to construct an unneeded new skyscraper that will damage Bevis Marks Synagogue. Do the right thing that will preserve the City's legacy of caring of its religious institutions and history.	NO	Public Access website	07-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Henley	R	I wish to express my strong objection to this application. This excessive tower scheme will completely obliterate the setting and amenities of the Bevis Marks synagogue (Grade I listed building). Having designated the area within which the synagogue and proposed development as a conservation area this should afford an additional layer of protection to the building. There is no reasoned justification to justify accepting the proposed development which will cause significant and material harm to the heritage and setting of the heritage asset and the character and appearance of the conservation area. There are no public benefits presented for the proposed tower which substantively outweigh the harm that would be caused to Bevis Marks synagogue and the deleterious effect of the loss of light that should the scheme be approved and built. I object to this application in the strongest terms to avoid the destruction of the setting of such an important historic and religious building in the City of London and to the Anglo and World Jewry.	NO	Public Access website	08-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jacobs	Richard	The synagogue is a jewel, and it should not be overshadowed by the proposed new building.	NO	Public Access	12-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Clapinson	Hannah		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	18-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Forshaw	Kirsty	<p>The proposed 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the nearby Bevis Marks Synagogue, a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance; the only synagogue in Europe that has held regular services continuously for over 300 years. The impact would be catastrophic for the synagogue and its community as not only would the proposed tower overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard for much of the day, reducing the useability of the courtyard for rituals and celebrations, but it would also destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. As well as blocking the view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, the proposed tower would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship.</p> <p>The proposed building would damage the setting of heritage assets like this in the local area, and would compromise the qualities of the Conservation Area as a whole.</p> <p>I feel that the City Corporation is being disingenuous in claiming to recognise the importance of the synagogue, yet simultaneously facilitating this monstrous development. There is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan to propose this within the Creechurch Conservation Area too, as current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas.</p> <p>I believe we should be protecting London's heritage and buildings of such historical and cultural importance as Bevis Marks Synagogue, and expect the same protective standards to be upheld by you, Britain's most prestigious local authority.</p> <p>Would you allow such a tower to be built next to St Paul's Cathedral? If not, we must question why Bevis Marks Synagogue is not being afforded the same protection.</p>	NO	Public Access website	18-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rosen	Andrea		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	18-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Keeney	Katie		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	18-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	The Honourable Company of Master Mariners			N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lester	Sara Kyte		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hepher	Roger		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Arwas	Paul		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Filipe	Andre		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shiach	Gordon		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Woolich	Anthony		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Beenstock	Gerrard		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Florentin-Lee	Balthazar		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Emerson	Christina		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Aiken MP	Nickie		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	McLeod	Stuart		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lyons	Simon H		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Samuel	Hope		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Jun-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Lebon	Scott	<p>This impressive proposal has been designed as a slim building to thoughtfully reduce any impact on the neighbourhood.</p> <p>There have been many exaggerated and false claims in the protests. It is wrong to claim that such a slim building will eliminate the view of the sky. The difference in light will be minimal. It is also wrong to claim that the existing light structures in a listed building cannot be updated.</p> <p>The developer has gone to significant lengths to provide important public benefits, which outweigh any perceived disadvantages. This quality proposal is important to the City's success and should be supported.</p>	NO	Public Access website	20-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Miles	Keith		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Winston	Steven		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	25-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kalev	David		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	25-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Levy	Daniel		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	25-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kett	Russell		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	25-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Burchell	James		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	25-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Loftus	Jacob		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	25-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Martins	Jonathan		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Verber	Naomi		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Benjamin	William		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ross	Michael		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Alexandra		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Jun-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Garbett	Zoe		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morris	Alexander		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jacobus	Laura		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	BEN-NATHAN	Geoffrey	Please see comments dated 22.05.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Judaism	Messiah Is		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morris	Shalom		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Tidswell	Bella		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	01-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Black	Keith		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	02-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Der Zyl	Marie Van		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	02-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	E M Johnson	Rachel		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	02-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gartenberg	Peter		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	02-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Heller	Daniel		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	02-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Salem	David		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	03-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Samuel	Tony		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	03-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shaw	Geoffrey		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	03-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Whyte	Christopher		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Eldridge	Charlotte D'Alton		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Phillips	Jackie		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goldberg	Rachelle		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Barber	Keith		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	08-Jul-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Frankenberg	Paul		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	09-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	The Honourable Company of Master Mariners			N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	09-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	The Honourable Company of Master Mariners			N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	09-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dremach	Agniya		NO	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	10-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Tessa Sanderson	Troy Richards &		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	10-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Salem	Freddy		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	10-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Carp	Debbie	Please see document tab for comments dated 28.06.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	10-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sackman	Sarah		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	10-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fox	Julie		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	15-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Einhorn	Stanley	This tall building would put this old and wonderful prayer house in darkness. If its not protected now, it should be. I strongly protest and trust any planning or building permissions are turned down.	NO	Public Access website	15-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gleen	Carmen		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Magnus	Alfred		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Yudkin	Michael		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dweck	Joseph		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	23-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Palmer	Joe		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	23-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mirvis	Ephraim		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Jul-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Chambers	Christopher	I and some work acquaintances have been well received in the community space provided over the past year. A continuation of an open community space in this area would be a great addition providing much needed for facilities for community activities as well as useful additional space for an historic building.	NO	Public Access website	03-Sep-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Keliris	Jan		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	11-Sep-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Samuels	Lydia		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	11-Sep-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shovelton	Claire		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	11-Sep-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Asare-Djan	George		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	11-Sep-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Chohan	Tej		NO	Public Access	11-Sep-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Greenhalf	Mike	I oppose this proposed development on the basis of the adverse impact on an important part of Britains diverse cultural heritage. Bevis Marks Synagogue is a remarkable institution with over 3 centuries of continuous history whose longevity itself speaks to Britain's tolerance and continuity through periods of cataclysmic upheaval that affected Europe throughout the long period of the Synagogue's existence. This development irrevocably impairs its geographic context and diminishes it's unique setting.	NO	Public Access website	16-Sep-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hood	Ralph		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	18-Sep-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lemanski	Mark	I object to the proposal on the basis that the proposal will cause a substantial loss of sunlight and daylight to the adjacent public realm, and the nearby Middlesex Street estate. I believe that the cumulative effect of ever higher buildings is not being sufficiently considered and contradicts the City's aspirations for quality of public spaces and residents' quality of life. I am also concerned about the embedded carbon and don't see how that could possibly tally with the City's sustainability targets.	NO	Public Access website	18-Sep-24
24/00021/FULEIA	King	Emma		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Sep-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dell	Becky		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	24-Sep-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Blain	Barry	I strongly oppose this new application. The proposal is for a tower block adjacent to one of the oldest synagogues in Europe, which is still in regular use, a listed building, famous around the world, and a part of the heritage of not only UK Jews but of the whole population. The proposed skyscraper, in a conservation area and immediately next to the synagogue, would dominate and overshadow its setting and the courtyard, reducing the light, and block out the southern sky-view. A similar proposal was rejected a year or two ago, and I hope this appalling proposal is also refused.	NO	Public Access website	09-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gauthier	Charlotte	The proposed structure will negatively affect the Creechurch Conservation Area by bringing additional crowds, noise, and traffic, by causing the part-demolition of one historic building, and most of all by blocking the light to the historic Bevis Marks Synagogue, a Grade I-listed place of worship. In a City with hundreds of thousands of square metres of unoccupied office space, this building is simply not needed, nor can the high environmental and amenity costs of its construction be justified.	NO	Public Access website	09-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jacobson	David	Having examined the additional information and revised drawings submitted more recently to your office, I cannot see that they affect in any way the numerous objections already lodged against planning application 24/00021/FULEIA.	NO	Public Access website	10-Oct-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Bennett	sarah	I'm writing to express my strong opposition to this new application which is largely similar to the previous application just a couple of years ago. I'm a regular attendee at Bevis Marks' Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community and I am shocked and appalled to see this new proposal, particularly after its refusal two years ago, and the recent adoption of the Creechurch Conservation Area. This latest application has barely changed since the last time it was submitted, is not in keeping with the conservation of a Grade-1 listed building, which is now also in a conservation area, and is the most important Jewish site in the UK. The new tower, to the synagogue's immediate south, would dominate the setting of the synagogue, block out the religiously important southern sky-view, and overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard, dwindling its remaining light. This kind of proposal would never be considered within the vicinity of St Paul's Cathedral, and should certainly not be permitted just metres from British Jewry's Cathedral synagogue, particularly along its sensitive southern exposure. I urge you to please refuse this application in the strongest sense.	NO	Public Access website	14-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Baharier	Linda		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	15-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Goldsmith	Jonathan	Please see document tab for comments dated 08.10.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	15-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rose	Peter		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	15-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Green	Edwin	Please see document tab for comments dated 08.10.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	15-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Frost	Adele	Please see document tab for comments dated 08.10.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	15-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mendoza	David		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	15-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Amado	Can	Please see document tab for comments dated 08.10.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	15-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Parsons	Kathleen	Please see document tab for comments dated 09.10.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	15-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Eskenzi	Anthony N		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	15-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Judy		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	15-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Wakeman	Lindsay	Please see document tab for comments dated 14.10.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	16-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Platt KC	Eleanor	Please see document tab for comments dated 14.10.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	16-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Salako	Ara	It's a beautiful area that deserves justice to be saved and preserved especially for tourists. This free space should be used for museum spaces and more! The public should be able to go out after work.	NO	Public Access website	16-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Parker	Christopher	Working close by, I believe that this will only add to the amenities in the immediate area.	NO	Public Access	16-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Blaga	Erika	lovely area which needs more attention and fun places	NO	Public Access	16-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Salter	Amy	Please see document tab for comments dated 15.10.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	21-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mendoza	David		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	21-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Burg	Jonathan	Please see document tab for comments dated 15.10.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Solomons	Jonathan		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Denham	J	Please see comments dated 25.10.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stone	Jeremy	I would like to comment on the Lunar Transit Study performed by the consultants gia and submitted on behalf of Bentall Green Oak. The underlying thesis of this study is that the claims made for the sanctity of the Kiddush Levana ("KL") at Bevis Marks Synagogue are based on the supposedly false premise that there is a meaningful amount of visibility of the lunar disc at night in the relevant parts of the month. The implied argument (the more insidious for being tacit) is that there is in fact so little such visibility that diminishing what there is cannot be regarded as an infringement of the right of worship. However, this implied argument is without merit, and it is important that it should not be allowed to carry the day. I do not wish to dispute the methodology of the study, or its conclusions. The chart of the sky as seen from Point P in January 2024 (Fig 01) makes it clear that the amount of the lunar arc that can be seen is halved, as detailed in Table 01. Thus, the opportunity to observe KL still exists, but is constrained. It is clearly the case that KL can be performed on at least some occasions. But the existing gap in the built skyline is broken into by the profile of the two buildings (one assented, one proposed) and hence the time available for KL is reduced. It could be argued that since some amount of such time remains, infringement on the right of worship would not take place, hence objections grounded on such alleged infringement should be disregarded. This would, in my view, be mistaken. The fact that the existing built environment is already very restrictive does not mean that no damage is done by halving size of the aperture. At times, as can be seen even from Fig 01, the Moon is clearly visible in the night sky for an appreciable period of time, and this would no longer be the case. The complaints remain soundly based, and should be taken properly into account.	NO	Public Access website	31-Oct-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Amado	Can	Please see document tab for comments dated 29.10.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	05-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Callaghan SJ	Brendan		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	05-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gauthier	Charlotte	Please see document tab for comments dated 31.10.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	05-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Teeger	Edward		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	05-Nov-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Moreland	Lee S		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	05-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Patel	Dhruv		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	05-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Roache	Anne		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	05-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jessop	Manuella	another suggestion of a building that will totally overshadow the only Synagogue in Europe to have been in continuous use for over 300 years. Such a building would completely overwhelm this historic building - such a thing would never be allowed to Westminster Abbey or St Pauls Cathedral - why is this being allowed for a Jewish building? There is already very limited natural light into this beautiful building - adding a 45 storey monstrosity (against all the rules of a conservation area such as Creechurch) would only block out what is remaining. I am utterly appalled that you continue to consider applications that encroach on the Grade 1 listed Bevis Marks synagogue, particularly as there is no perceptible difference between this new application and the previous (rightly) rejected applications. Bevis Marks is the most historically significant place of worship for the Jewish Community in England, and allowing such overbearing, intrusive development around the Synagogue is patently ridiculous. This would be immediately refused around St Pauls Cathedral or Westminster Abbey, and begs the question of why the needs of the Jewish community who regularly worship at Bevis Marks are being ignored.	NO	Public Access website	07-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jessop	David	Once again this plan is submitted which will ruin the important and historic Bevis Marks Synagogue. This, the oldest in the UK, already has lost a great deal of light and this frankly unneeded building will make the situation even worse. It will also interfere with the new Creechurch conservation area.	NO	Public Access website	07-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Moses	Selina	Once again, Bevis Marks needs to be left alone as an important heritage site	NO	Public Access	11-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Burg	Jonathan	Please see document tab for comments dated 06.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	12-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Dimoldenberg	Paul		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	12-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ben García	Lawrence		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	12-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Green	Charlotte	Please see document tab for comments dated 07.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bonnet	Joel	Please see document tab for comments dated 07.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Judy		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sicsic	Laurent		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Timan	Ezra	Please see document tab for comments dated 07.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Timan	Ruth	Please see document tab for comments dated 07.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Obadiah	Sophie	Please see document tab for comments dated 07.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morgan	Leslie	Please see document tab for comments dated 07.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morgan	Cyril	Please see document tab for comments dated 07.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Zubaida	David	Please see document tab for comments dated 07.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Mendoza	Alan	Please see document tab for comments dated 07.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	shamash	david	Please see document tab for comments dated 08.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Baroukh	Vivien	Please see document tab for comments dated 09.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bilbul	Jonathan	Please see document tab for comments dated 09.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lampert	Dorothy		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Salem	Freddy		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	13-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Conolly	Paul		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	18-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Yudkin	Ben		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Bogod	Howard	Please see document tab for comments dated 11.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ridler	Katharine	Please see document tab for comments dated 12.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jacobs	Everett M		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Felcher	Dave		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lawrence	Beverley		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Nov-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Lieber	Stephanie		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Timan	Ruth	Please see document atb for comments dated 16.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sacerdoti	Daniel	Please see document tab for comments dated 17.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Schmool	Marlena		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kramer	Miriam	Please see document tab for comments dated 18.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kramer	Stephen		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	19-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hepher	Roger		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	20-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Green	Michael	Please see document tab for comments dated 19.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	20-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Album	Edward		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	20-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rosen	Andrea		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	20-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	vogel	mira	Please respect this grade 1 listed building and its conservation area which will likely outlast the short term buildings erected around it. Please respect the place of the synagogue in the history of British Jewry	NO	Public Access website	20-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Halpern-Matthews	Dalia	<p>Ms A Tastsoglou, Planning Department, City of London PO Box 270 Guildhall, London EC2P 2EJ</p> <p>21st November 2024</p> <p>Dear Ms Tastsoglou,</p> <p>Re: Planning application 24/00021/FULEIA Bury House London EC3A SAR</p> <p>I am writing on behalf of Chatham Memorial Synagogue and the Jewish community of Kent. We strongly object to the above planning application on the grounds of the negative impact it will have on the Grade I listed Bevis Marks Synagogue (BMS) and the communities who use it.</p> <p>BMS is the oldest surviving synagogue in the UK, and is the only one which has been continually in use in Europe for over 320 years, since soon after Jews were allowed back into England. It is deeply significant to Jews across the UK, and is deeply resonant of our freedom here.</p> <p>Any works which impede the use in any way of this significant site are deeply offensive; in particular, the impact of the above development which, through its reduction in natural daylight to the synagogue and courtyard, will disrupt the ability of the synagogue to worship in accordance with our faith.</p> <p>We believe that this proposal breaches the rights of the Jewish community under the Equalities Act 2010, and sincerely hope that permission is, again refused.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p>	NO	Public Access website	21-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Fox	Vicki		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	22-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Elizabeth	<p>This building, of immense cultural & historic importance, would be overwhelmed by the sheer scale & inappropriate placement of the proposed tower.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a structure would be allowed at St. Paul's Cathedral, yet the synagogue is not afforded the same protections. The development would obliterate the southern sky view, integral to its religious rituals, including the observation of celestial events. The resulting overshadowing would render the synagogue courtyard unusable for many rituals & celebrations, while the already limited natural light within the building would be further diminished. Due to the synagogue's historic nature, additional electric lighting is not an option.</p> <p>This site falls within the Creechurch Conservation Area, where tall buildings are NOT permitted under current planning policy. The development directly conflicts with the statutory development plan; the City Corporation's work on identifying suitable sites for tall buildings is incomplete & not independently assessed. Approving this development ahead of this process would undermine proper planning protocols.</p> <p>The developers claims do not withstand scrutiny and do not justify the harm to the synagogue. The restoration of Holland House, should not be used to justify such an inappropriate scheme, particularly when its purported community benefits are questionable.</p> <p>Furthermore, the existing building at 31 Bury Street is only 40 years old & capable of refurbishment, avoiding the environmental cost of unnecessary demolition. The proposed tower would also damage the setting of other heritage assets and compromise the character of the Conservation Area as a whole. It is deeply disappointing that the City Corporation is facilitating this monstrous development. We are to expect much higher standards from Britain's prestigious local authority. I urge you to reject this proposal & protect the integrity of our heritage and planning policies.</p>	NO	Public Access website	22-Nov-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Kirby	Jack	<p>I am a museums and heritage professional and have personally visited Bevis Marks Synagogue and appreciated its heritage significance. This is an extremely important building in the history of England's Jewish population, and as the list entry notes is of exceptional historical interest.</p> <p>I note that this application follows a refused application (20/00848/FULEIA). I have read a number of reports and letters prepared by consultants acting on behalf of the applicant, and while I acknowledge that the current application differs in details, I do not consider that these have demonstrated significant variations that would impact the reasons that the previous application was refused. The scheme is fundamentally unsuitable for this sensitive site.</p> <p>I concur with Historic England's opinion that the proposed development would cause substantial harm to both Tower of London World Heritage Site and the Grade I listed Bevis Marks Synagogue due to its overbearing and overshadowing impact on the synagogue and its courtyard. It would also cause substantial harm to the character and appearance of the Creechchurch Conservation Area.</p> <p>Daylight is of the highest significance to the largely unaltered listed interior of the Synagogue, and the proposed development would have an unacceptable impact on internal daylight levels. It would also unacceptably overshadow the courtyard of the Synagogue, and the ritually important view of the passage of the moon across the night sky. The Planning Statement and other reports and correspondence make a series of technical responses but these merely serve to identify that the scheme is little different in impact to that previously refused.</p> <p>The proposed development would also harm the Grade II* Holland House. I disagree with the assessment contained in Heritage Statement, and support the comments of Historic Buildings and Places and the Twentieth Century Society.</p> <p>I call upon the City of London to refuse this unsuitable application.</p>	NO	Public Access website	22-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Shindler	Jeremy	<p>I would ask the committee to consider if this development would be permitted if it were overshadowing St Pauls cathedral in the same proportions: one suspects not. Bevis Marks Synagogue is a major part of the heritage of the City of London and it's history as a trading city. A construction of the size and location proposed will fundamentally change the atmosphere, light and ambience of this Grade 1 listed building. Aside from the tragedy of this in it's own right, what precedence does this set about the future protection of other Grade 1 listed buildings both in the City and more widely.</p>	NO	Public Access website	23-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gomes da Costa	Marcus	<p>The proposed development would cause substantial harm in heritage terms to the significance of Bevis Marks Synagogue and its setting, substantial harm to the character and appearance of the Creechchurch Conservation Area, and less than substantial harm to a number of other heritage assets.</p> <p>The Synagogue is one of the most natural light-sensitive places in London, where extensive reading of printed scripts is fundamental to worship, and the proposal would have an unacceptable impact on internal daylight levels.</p> <p>The proposal would result in an unacceptable level of overshadowing to the courtyard of the Synagogue, which is used for a variety of community and religious uses.</p> <p>The view of the passage of the moon across the night sky is highly symbolic and intimately related to the traditions and rituals of the Synagogue. The proposal would block this critical view which is important to the functioning of the Synagogue.</p>	NO	Public Access website	25-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Singh	Param		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	25-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Halpern-Matthews	Dalia	Please see document atbb for comments dated 21.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	25-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Coriell	Lois		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	25-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jackson	Lili		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	25-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Kirby	Keith	<p>I object to this proposal which will have a very bad effect on the Grade 1 listed Bevis Marks Synagogue in the Creechchurch Conservation area.</p> <p>The tower block if constructed would block natural light to Bevis Marks, which would be detrimental to the religious experience of users. It would also prevent the view of the passage of the moon, which is very significant for the congregation. If approved this development would compromise the experience of worship for the users of the synagogue.</p> <p>Despite claims to the contrary, the proposal is not any improvement on the previous proposal which the City rightly rejected. I urge that the proposal should be rejected.</p>	NO	Public Access website	25-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gordon	Henrietta	St Paul's is protected why is the same protection not afforded to the place of worship of another religion? It would appear to amount to discrimination.	NO	Public Access website	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Clucas	Jane		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Jeanette R	Rosenberg		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Warlow	Neil	This is an unnecessary and outscale development within a newly designated Conservation Area, and which would materially harm a cultural and religious asset of irreparable public value and continued faith usage. As a local worker, I object to the further attempt to force through a plan that has already been rejected twice.	NO	Public Access website	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hopson	Jacqueline Langer		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brodie	Philip	Please see document tab for comments dated 23.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Brett	Richard	Please see document tab for comments dated 23.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Paldi	Amnon		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Say	Nathan		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Allan	Tony		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Seres	Jennye	Please see document tab for comments dated 24.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Musikant	Kris	Please see document tab for comments dated 24.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Hillman	Basil		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Patchick	Jonathan	Please see document tab for comments dated 24.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Costa	Marcus Da		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Blake	Rachel		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Stern	Gerald		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Musikant	Barry	Please see document tab for comments dated 25.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	hoffman	Jonathan	<p>A 45 storey tower would completely overwhelm the synagogue, which is a Grade 1 Listed building of enormous historic and cultural significance.</p> <p>It is inconceivable that such a building would be allowed next to St Paul's cathedral, so why is the synagogue not afforded the same protection?</p> <p>It would destroy the southern sky view, which is an essential part of the setting of the synagogue, and important for the intangible qualities it contributes to religious ritual. The view of the moon's passage across the sky, and many of the celestial bodies, would be blocked.</p> <p>It would also further reduce the already minimal daylight that penetrates into the synagogue, making it even more difficult to conduct worship. Because of the historic nature of the synagogue, installing additional electric lighting is not feasible.</p> <p>The development site is within the Creechurch Conservation Area. Current planning policy is not to allow tall buildings in Conservation Areas, so there is a direct conflict with the statutory development plan.</p>	NO	Public Access website	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ten Dam	Melissa	This is a devastation on a beautiful skyline of important architectural and religious buildings. These are pieces of our heritage and should not be dominated by a new building which is not reflective of the style of the area around it is far too tall. Therefore I think the new building should be much shorter about half the height. Not damage the skyline of London.	NO	Public Access website	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Barton	Isn	Given location in city of London, development of an under utilised and poorly maintained existing urban environment should be supported, the plans present a high quality development fitting the location and predominant use of land in the local proximity.	NO	Public Access website	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Reginald	S	<p>If approved, this proposal will become a wonderful addition to our skyline, I believe the design of the proposal to be unique and attractive, and would stand out well amongst what is otherwise a sea of mostly glass and steel.</p> <p>I like the art deco style of the cladding and the window arrangement, and the inclusion of the refurbishment of Holland House significantly improves the design.</p> <p>I think it's a little too late for some to be addressing the shadowing issues as the Synagogue has already been overshadowed by several taller towers including the Gherkin tower, and the tower at One Creechurch Place nextdoor. On the opposite end of the Synagogue are the soon to be build Bevis Marks House tower and 115 Houndsditch proposals. More than that, we cannot ignore the proposal for 100 Leadenhall which will add a 250m+ skyscraper, all in close proximity to the Synagogue. I don't see why this particular proposal should be isolated for critique if multiple skyscrapers have not just been planned and approved but also already built around the site.</p> <p>Furthermore, we cannot ignore the status of the City cluster as the global financial hub and has always consisted of a mix of old and new for over 2,000 years. There are other sites around the cluster, churches that are overshadowed by towers double in height to this one, and one could also note St Helen's church next to the now planned 310m 1 Undershaft.</p>	NO	Public Access website	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Risenbaum	Danny	In the words of Simon Sebag Montefiori: "Bevis Mark is a British historic treasure as well as a Jewish one. It is a place of great beauty and history. And it is vital that it is protected into the future from looming brutal tower-blocks that will ruin and overshadow this exquisite jewel of British and Jewish history and culture." I concur and this sentiment forms the main grounds for my objection.	NO	Public Access website	26-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Pollak	Miriam A.		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	27-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Yudkin	Michael		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	27-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Black	Pat	Please see document tab for comments dated 26.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	27-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Walker	Anne	Please see document tab for comments dated 26.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	27-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Keiner	Judy (Celia Judith)	Please see document tab for comments dated 26.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	27-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Musikant	Adam		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	27-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Simon	Barbara		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	27-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Schonfield	Jeremy	Please see document tab for comments dated 27.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	27-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Schonfield	Tamar	Please see document tab for comments dated 27.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	27-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Johns	Leslie	Bevis Mark is a historical treasure known throughout the world as a building of unique cultural and religious significance. This building project will have a detrimental effect on the building, the local Jewish community, tourism, and London's reputation as a protector of the Jewish people. Please do not diminish this treasure.	NO	Public Access website	27-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Rabbs	Margaret	<p>Desecration</p> <p>Like destroying Westminster Cathedral in terms of religious importance to the Jewish faith.</p>	NO	Public Access website	28-Nov-24

24/00021/FULEIA	Winch	Dinah	I am writing to object to this proposed development which will cause significant harm to Grade I-listed Bevis Marks synagogue, and the very recently designated Creechurch conservation area, which includes the Grade II* listed Holland House. A previous application was refused because of the harm that it would cause to the synagogue due to the overbearing and overshadowing impact on the building and courtyard. The current proposal does not overcome the previous reasons for refusal, and now includes unacceptable impact on Holland House as well. Bevis Marks synagogue was designed with large clear windows to maximise light in the building and light continues to be essential to worship there, not least because additional electric light can't easily be installed without damaging the fabric of the interior, largely unchanged since 1701, and because electricity is not permitted on the sabbath and festivals. Additionally the development would block moonlight, a crucial component of Jewish worship. Bevis Marks synagogue is not only the oldest purpose-built synagogue in Britain but the home of a living congregation. Its significance as the only synagogue in Europe to maintain uninterrupted worship since its founding over 320 years ago, and its historic, architectural and cultural importance to Britain cannot be overstated. I urge you to refuse this application.	NO	Public Access website	28-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Lawson	Susannah	This is a hugely insensitive proposal which will impact worshippers at the synagogue, blocking light from the courtyard for events and also reducing light levels inside, in a building that cannot be altered because it's listed. Bevis Marks is the oldest synagogue in the country and a unique architectural gem. This kind of development would never be allowed right next to St Paul's. The Jewish community also deserves the same consideration for their place of worship.	NO	Public Access website	28-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Ozer	Ketty		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	28-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Sackman	Simon	I strongly object to this application. I have been a member of the Spanish & Portuguese Jewish community for over 40 years. I regularly attend services at Bevis Marks Synagogue and I and two of my daughters were married there. I am very familiar with this part of the City, as my office for over 30 years was in Camomile Street. Bevis Marks Synagogue is the jewel in the crown of this country's Jewish community and one of which the City of London should be proud and anxious to preserve. The current application has barely changed from the developer's original application in 2022, to which I also objected. In the context of the setting of the synagogue, the difference between a 45-storey tower and the previous 48-storey tower is negligible. The proposed development, like the original proposal, is totally at odds with the conservation of a Grade 1 listed building, which is now also in the Creechurch Conservation Area established by the City Corporation only a few months ago. The new tower would overshadow the synagogue and its courtyard and crucially reduce the natural light illuminating the synagogue's interior, materially impairing the environment in which religious services take place and have done so continuously since 1701. Further, the incorporation of 4 basement levels in the new building would risk damaging the very structure of the 323-year old synagogue. The City Corporation rightly rejected the 2022 application and should do the same again now; there are more than enough tall buildings in the area. What is the point of creating a conservation area and then allowing irreparable harm to one of the few remaining heritage buildings in that area? Such a proposal would never be entertained near St. Paul's Cathedral and should not be permitted just yards from the most important Jewish building in Britain. I urge the City Corporation to refuse this application and make clear that no application on anything like the proposed scale will ever be entertained. I obje	NO	Public Access website	28-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Chait	Stan	A 45 story complex would have a detrimental affect on the synagogue. This would not be allowed close to St Paul cathedral.	NO	Public Access website	28-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Collins	Peta	Totally inappropriate height of building for the area Detrimental to the world renowned grade 1 listed synagogue	NO	Public Access website	28-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Berman	Gerald	It is an overpowering presence...blocking views of an historic building..blocking light from a religious building that relies on it for services...and it is yet another penile structure without any visual architectural merit...neither modernist, post modern or brutalist. It is purely a tower of rampant greed. DO NOT ALLOW THIS TO BE BUILT.	NO	Public Access website	28-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Issroff	Rahle	Think the synagogue should be preserved	NO	Public Access	29-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Natali	P		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	29-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Gardiner	Marc	Please respect the sanctity and dignity of a 320 year old place of worship - a unique building with an extraordinary history that deserves to be cherished and not buried between skyscrapers - would you do that to St Paul's?	NO	Public Access website	29-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Freeman	Julian	I can only endorse the most comprehensive of the objections raised: it is surprising that the area around Bevis Marks remains so attractive to developers, when they know that noise, detritus and overpowering height will cause physical and environmental damage for years to come. For all the reasons cited - its age, visual beauty and historical value, not to mention its continued use - the planning application should be shelved once and for all. To allow the proposal to go ahead would be an act of folly.	NO	Public Access website	30-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Baxter	Christina	A place of worship for 100s of years. The synagogue is a rare and beautiful building with connections worldwide. The new buildings will block out light which is crucial to the site and further crowd in on this religious site. Either build something sensible low rise housing would be wonderful but of course the developers need to make the money. It will be another building with out style and no doubt be empty for years. Whereas the synagogue is a place of worship and a delightful place to visit whether of the faith or not. As a City of London Guide, please do not allow this place to be crowded in and hidden further from the public.	NO	Public Access website	30-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	O'Hara	Moya	The new development would have a negative effect on Bevis Marks synagogue and the local area, impacting on the aesthetic appearance and local character and cutting out natural light to the synagogue.	NO	Public Access website	30-Nov-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Morley	James	I fully support this application as the provision of additional office space in the city. The proposed small plates will help fill a gap in the market and contribute to continued jobs and employment. I trust that the benefits will be recognised and the project approved.	NO	Public Access website	01-Dec-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Segall	Edwin	Please see document tab for comments dated 28.11.2024.	N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	02-Dec-24
24/00021/FULEIA	Natali	P		N/A	Email (attached in DC- received by email file)	02-Dec-24

